

No. 11871

Supreme Court of Illinois

H  
Colmes.

VS.

People.

71641 7

et al. vs.  
William Holmes et al.  
The People vs.

1849

Book  
167  
11871

11871

State of Illinois,  
Kane County Circuit Clerks Office  
Issue 2<sup>d</sup> 1849

Be it remembered that on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of April  
AD 1848 the Grand Jury Elected impaneled and Sworn  
in Court for said County of Kane at the April Term of  
said Court AD 1848 presented to the Court a Bill  
of Indictment of which the following is a copy

State of Illinois  
Kane County AD 1848

Of the April Term of the Kane  
County Circuit Court in the Year  
of Our Lord one thousand eight  
hundred and forty eight.

The Grand Juries Chosen Selected and Sworn in Court  
for the County of Kane aforesaid, in the Name and by  
the Authority of the People of the State of Illinois upon  
their Oaths present; That William Holmes and  
Edward Ame, late of said County on the Eighth day of  
April in the Year of our Lord one thousand eight  
hundred and forty eight, with force and arms, at  
and within the County aforesaid, two horses of the  
Value of One hundred and Ten dollars each of the  
principal property Goods and Chattels, of William Gage  
then and there being found feloniously did Steal, take  
and Carry away Contrary to the Form of the Statute in such  
Case Made and Provided and against the Peace and  
Dignity of the said People of the State of Illinois

P.C. Cook

State Attorney of the Circuit

The said Bill was endorsed as follows

The People & William Holmes & Edmund Ames  
Indictment for Larceny. True bill & Chas. H. Brown  
Witness: Wm. Lance Bartholomew & Gates Alfred  
Huntington. Illa 26<sup>th</sup> April 1848 M. W. Fletcher Clk

And Afterwards to wit on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April  
AD 1848 it being one of the days of the April term 1848 of  
Civil Court the Defendants filed the following Affidavit for  
Change of venue and Continuance to wit

State of Illinois }  
The People } April Term 1848  
v  
William Holmes }  
Edmund Ames } Kew Circuit Court.

Indictment for Larceny  
Kew County, Ill.

William Holmes and  
Edmund Ames, being severally sworn deposes and says  
that they cannot safely proceed to the trial of this  
cause at this term of the court, on account of the absence  
of material testimony which they cannot procure at  
this term and also on account of the great and violent  
excitement which prevails against them in this County  
so that they cannot as they nearly believe obtain  
a fair and impartial trial at this term: They are  
informed and believe that extraordinary and unusual  
efforts have been made to create prejudice against  
them, and that the judge and the inhabitants of the  
County are so prejudiced that it would be unsafe for  
them to go to trial this term - that they have been  
informed and believe that efforts have been made to  
get up a mob against them and to lynch them.  
And their defendants state that they cannot safely  
proceed to trial at this term on account of the absence  
of James Anderson, who is a material witness

for them without whose testimony they cannot  
safely proceed to trial: That said witness resides at  
the ~~is~~ <sup>and</sup> in said defendant Ames in the County of LaSalle  
in this State: That since they have been arraigned for  
trial, they have not been able to procure the attendance  
of said witness: That they have caused a Subpoena  
to be issued for him and placed the same in the hand  
of an Officer to be served, but the same has not been  
served, and it is wholly impossible for them to ~~procure~~  
procure the attendance of said witness this term. They  
expect to prove by said witness, that the defendant  
Edmund Ames purchased the Horses in said Indictment  
charged of said witness and obtained possession of them  
from him said Anderson, and their deponent state that  
there are two other witnesses whom names these defendants  
do not know, but who they are informed & believe  
reside in said County of LaSalle who are both material  
witnesses for the defendant, without whose testimony  
they cannot safely proceed to trial. Their defendant,  
expect to prove by said two last mentioned witnesses  
that they were present at the time of the purchase  
of the horses mentioned in the Indictment by said  
Ames of said Anderson and that such purchase  
was made, and that the horses were delivered by  
said Anderson to said Ames: That they cannot  
procure the testimony of said witness at the term of  
the Court, they know no other witness by whom  
the same facts can be proved, and they can  
procure said witness by next term: that they  
are now confined in jail and have been since  
the Indictment was found and had no

opportunity to prepare for trial, they ask for a  
continuance of this cause  
Subscribed and sworn }  
to before me this 18<sup>th</sup> day }  
of April 1868 }  
M.W. Fletcher  
CLM

Edward Oliver  
William Holmes

And the People by Cook State Attorney filed  
the following affidavits to wit  
The People vs

Edward Oliver & William Holmes  
Kan Circuit Court  
April 1868  
Arraignment for Larceny

3 P.C. Cook State Attorney respectfully states to the Court  
upon his Official Oath that he is informed and believes  
that the jail of said County is not in such a situation  
as to secure the safe keeping of the above named  
prisoners. That the Sheriff of said County is compelled  
to employ a nighty guard of two men to secure  
them at a cost of two dollars per day that the keeping  
of said prisoners in said jail to <sup>the next</sup> term of this Court  
will cost this County about \$300. That a special jury can  
be empannelled without much delay in said County who  
never had of said prisoners, or their crime at less than one  
fifth of that expense and he prays if in the opinion of  
the Court too much excitement exists in the present  
panel of petit jurors to secure them a fair trial, that  
a special panel be summoned forthwith and such  
restrictions to the Sheriff as shall secure a fair trial

P.C. Cook

State Attorney

4  
State of Illinois }  
Kank County ss } M. W. Hutchin Clerk of the Circuit  
Court and W. B. Shulding Sheriff of

Said County being sworn state on oath that the  
statements contained above in the statement of the  
State's Attorney are true according to their best knowledge  
and said Shulding states further that such a special  
jury as is above described he has no doubt may be  
assembled

M. W. Hutchin

W. B. Shulding

Sworn to and subscribed this  
27<sup>th</sup> of April 1868 J. D. Eaton

And Affirmed to me on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April  
AD 1868 the defendant, filed the following objections  
to the above affidavit

The People }  
vs  
Am. Holmes } Indictment for Larceny

5  
The defendants by their counsel  
object to my statement or affidavit as part  
of People in opposition to Motion for Continuance  
April 28<sup>th</sup> 1868. Arnold Wells  
J. W. Scott

And affirmed on the same day the following  
Affidavit was filed

The People vs  
Edward Ames } Kank Co Circuit Court  
William Holmes } April 28 AD 1868  
Indictment for Larceny

Peter J. Brushell, Deputy Sheriff of Said County,  
being first duly sworn on oath that he was the  
Officer who summoned the late panel of petit jurors  
summoned at this term of this Court; that he summoned  
said jurors away from the County seat, and took only  
such men as jurors as he became fully satisfied after  
consulting with them, had never heard of said  
defendants, or of their crimes alleged against  
them. That his Hon. self gave them no information  
on the subject and charged them to hear no communication  
upon the subject. And that he has often diligent and  
careful investigation of the relation of each juror summoned  
a full panel who as appears a informed by them  
and believe known nothing and have heard nothing  
of the matter.

P. J. Brushell

Schenectady and Sonnu.

Born the 11<sup>th</sup> day  
of April 1848

M. Whittemore  
Oler

Know before the Hon. John Dean Gatlin  
Associate Justice of the Supreme Court  
of the State of Illinois and Presiding Judge  
of the 9<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit at the Opicil  
Term of the Circuit Court of Kane County  
began and held at the Court House in Geneva in said County  
on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of April AD 1848

Result

the Hon John Dean Eaton Judge  
P. C. Cook State Attorney  
J. B. Spalding Sheriff  
M. W. Kelch Clerk

All set

Afterwards, to sit on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of April AD 1868 it being one of the days of the Autumnal April Term 1868 the following owing other proceedings over had to sit

The Grand Jury came in and presented to the Court an Indictment as a true bill which is addressed as follows to wit: "The People vs. William Holmes & Edward Gues, Indictment for Larceny: a true Bill Alchuchell foreman, which is Ordred by the Court to be filed

And Afterwards, to sit on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of April AD 1868 it being one of the days of the Autumnal Term Court still in session the following proceeding over had to sit

The People of the  
State of Illinois } Indictment for Larceny  
vs.

William Holmes  
Edward Gues

This day comes the people by Cook State Attorney, and the defendants being brought into Court in custody of the Sheriff. Moves to quash the Indictment herein for reasons on file, which is overruled by the Court and the defendants having been furnished with a copy of the Indictment and a list of the jurors and witness, herein. And asked by the Court to plead, stand and Mute: whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a

Be it not guilty be ented for them which  
is done.

And Afterward to wit on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of April  
AD 1848 it being one of the days of the Aforesaid  
term the Court still in session the following Proceedings  
were had to wit

The People of the  
State of Illinois      } Indictment for Larceny  
of

William Holmes      } This day comes the People by  
Edward Rues      } Cook State Attorney and the Defendant  
by Arnold Wells their Attorney  
and File, their affidavots and moves a Continuance  
which Motion is allowed by the Court

And Afterward to wit on the 29<sup>th</sup> day of April ad  
1848 it being one of the days of the Aforesaid term the  
Court still in session the following Proceedings were  
had to wit

The People of the  
State of Illinois      } Indictment for Larceny  
of

William Holmes      } This day comes the People  
Edward Rues      } by Cook State Attorney and  
the Defendant in Person and  
by Wells their Attorney also come and on Motion of  
State Attorney it is Ordred that a Jury come and the  
Jury of the Jury of good and lawful men to wit

A. G. Cerandall Jonathan Smock John S. Lee  
Franklin Ward Alyson Jewell J. M. Heighs  
R. J. Hains J. C. Eason Maria Eastman  
Timothy Parker J. P. Fernald William Parker

being severally elected  
trial and sum also come who after hearing the  
vidence and argument of Counsel return unto the  
Charge of a Swan Officer of the Court to Consider of  
the Verdict. Subsequently return come unto Court and  
on their Oaths do say. We the Jury find the defendant  
Guilty in manner and form as charged in the Indictment  
the value of the property stolen to be two hundred dollars  
and that the defendant be confined in the Penitentiary  
for the term of eight years each. It is therefore ordered  
by the Court that the Sheriff of this County Conway the said  
defendant to the Penitentiary in Altamont and that they  
be thus confined for the term of eight years each to  
hard labor except one day each which they shall  
be confined in solitary confinement. And that the  
Sheriff have ten days from the adjournment of this  
Court to execute this sentence; and that the  
People have judgment and execution against  
the defendant for their costs herein.

State of Illinois }  
Kane County, IL } I Charles P. Wells Atk of  
Kane County Circuit Court do  
humbly certify that the foregoing is a true and complete  
copy of all the original papers on file in my Office that  
relate to the above entitled cause, and a

True and Complete Copy of the Record as entered  
in Said Cause

In testimony whereof I have  
hereunto Subscribed my Name and  
Affixed the Seal of said Court at  
Honolulu this 5<sup>th</sup> day of June AD 1869

C. P. Mills.

Mr

And now comes the said Defendants  
by B. F. Gridley their attorney and  
make the following points and  
assign the number hereon

- 1 The Court erred in over Ruling  
the Defendant motion for a continuance  
upon affidavit
- 2 The Court Erred in Not changing  
the venue upon the affidavit of  
the Defendants
- 3 The court erred in permitting  
the affidavits of Cook States  
attorney Fletcher Spalding  
and Buckbee or either of them  
to Be Read
- 4 The court erred in Summoning  
a ~~the~~ <sup>several</sup> Jury to Try the Del

- 5 The court erred in putting the Defendants upon Trial after they had filed their affidavit and refused to plead to said Indictment  
6 The court erred in sentencing the Defendants to the Penitentiary  
And the Defendants say that in the Record and proceeding of the Court there is manifest error

B. J. Gidley  
attorney for Defendants

And the People of Cook <sup>said</sup> State attorney come and say that in the record and proceeding above mentioned there is no error at the Circuit Court  
: : : State attorney

- 7 It does not appear that the officers who had charge of the jury when they ~~were~~ returned to consider of their verdict was sworn in accordance with the law -

Arnold Holmes

The People vs

William Holmer  
Edward Ames

Plaint from Hale

Filed June 13. 1849.  
McLanahan Atk.

State of Illinois, Sct.

WRIT OF ERROR.—FREE TRADER, OTTAWA.

The people of the State of Illinois,

To the Clerk of the circuit court for the county of Kane — Greeting:

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Kane — county, before the Judge thereof, between

*The People of the State of Illinois*

plaintiff and

*William Holmes &*

*Edward Chase*

defendants, it is said manifest error hath intervened to the injury of the aforesaid *defendants*

as we are informed by *their* complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaintiff aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the county of La Salle, on the *second Monday*  
*of June next* — *next*, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon. *Samuel H. Treat*  
Chief Justice of our said Court, and the  
seal thereof at Ottawa, this *13<sup>th</sup>* day of  
*June* — in the year of our Lord  
one thousand eight hundred and forty-nine.

*Holand*

Clerk of the Supreme Court.

William Ames and  
Edward Ames

<sup>of</sup>  
The People of the State  
of Illinois  
Mit of error

Filed June 13. 1849.  
St. Louis C. H.

Plaintiffs will be entitled to

Interest upon the amount paid on  
the principal sum of one thousand dollars,  
and interest upon the principal sum of one thousand  
dollars for each year thereafter until paid.

Plaintiffs will be entitled to recover all expenses  
incurred in the prosecution of this cause of action  
and to have and recover all costs of suit and attorney's  
fees.

Plaintiffs will be entitled to recover all expenses  
incurred in the prosecution of this cause of action  
and to have and recover all costs of suit and attorney's  
fees.