

24

Jonathan Weldon
vs

William Busch et al.

Winnepago

~~1851 & 1852~~

94

11810

1851


No. 11810

Supreme Court of Illinois

Weldon

vs.

Busch, et al,

71641  7

State of Illinois, Oct.

The people of the State of Illinois,

To the Clerk of the circuit court for the county of *Winnebago*—Greeting :

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of *Winnebago* - county, before the Judge thereof, between *Jonathan Weldon*

Junia, Washington Moore, Rhyna Moore, Matthias Davis, William Davis, David W. Kott, & Emitt Purdy in pleads with *Curtis Briggs & Horace Hudson*

plaintiff and *William Burch, John Burch* defendant, it is said manifest error hath intervened to the injury of the aforesaid _____

Jonathan Weldon _____

as we are informed by *his* complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaint aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the county of La Salle, on the *Second Monday of June* _____ next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon. *Daniel A. Treat*
 _____ Chief Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof at Ottawa, this *15th* day of *April* _____ in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ~~forty~~ *fifty*.

A. Ireland

Clerk of the Supreme Court.

At a regular Term of the
Circuit Court within and for the County
of Wmmsburg in the State of Illinois, begun
and held on the 14th day of April A.D. 1845,
Present the Honorable

Thomas S. Brown, one of
the Justices of the Supreme Court and
presiding Judge of the Sixth Judicial
Circuit in said State,

James L. Cook State Attorney
Asa P. Barran Sheriff
James Mitchell, Clerk

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit
on the 22nd day of February A.D. 1844, the
following Precept was filed in the Office
of the Clerk of the Circuit of said County
of Wmmsburg; which is in the words and
figures following to wit.

In the Wmmsburg County Circuit Court

Wmmsburg
County

Command the Sheriff of
Wmmsburg County to summon William
Burch Jr. Washington Moore. Plin
Moore Matthias Davis William Davis
David M. Holt Curtis Briggs Emmet
Purdy and Horace Hudson to appear

Witness James Mitchell Clerk of
our Circuit Court at Rockford this
22nd day of February A.D. 1844.

Said

Attor James Mitchell Clerk

Which said summons has endorsed
thereon the words and figures following
to wit

"Duly served the within by reading
the same to Emmitt Perry and Philip
Moore April 6th 1844. The within writ
was not received until April 5th and
I have not served the balance for want
of time. April 8th 1844.

Fees, service, 1.00

W. A. Sewford Sheriff

mileage 4.25

Returns 12⁰ & 13⁷/₁₀

And afterwards to wit on the 9th day of
April A.D. 1844 in the Court of the said
April Term A.D. 1844 of said Court in the
Record of the proceedings thereof is the
following entry to wit.

Jonathan Walters

vs

William Bunch et al

} Sheriff

was at this day

Which said Ex Amos Sumner has
endorsed therein the words and figures
following to wit. &c

Duly served the within
by reading the same to the within named
William Bunch & Washington Moore,
Phina Moore Matthias Davis Emmitt
Purdy, the other named persons not
found in my County August 3rd 1844
G. A. Scarpord Sheriff W. C

Fees peruse 2.50

Mileage 27, Mo 1.69

Retnew .12¹/₂
\$4.31

And afterwards to wit
on the 9th day of August A.D. 1844 the said
Plaintiff filed in the Office of the Clerk of
said Court a his certain Declaration
in this Cause, which is in the words and
figures following to wit

In the Winnebago Circuit Court
Of April Term in the year
of our Lord one thousand eight
hundred and forty four.

Winnebago,
County, Wis.

William Birch John Birch Junior Wash-
ington Moore Plyno Moore Matthias Davis William
Davis O W Stolt Gustus Briggs Emith Puray and
Hiram Hudson were summoned by the Sheriff of
the County of Winnebago to answer unto Jonathan
Weldon in a Plea of Trespass, and thereupon the
said Jonathan Weldon by Francis Burras his
Attorney complains, For that the said Defendants
on the twenty sixth day of February in the year
of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty
two being armed with ropes clubs guns pistols
swords dikes and knives and other deadly
weapons with force and arms violently and
forcibly broke and entered the dwelling house of
the said Plaintiff situated in the County of Win-
nebago aforesaid and continuing therein conduct-
ing in such riotous butchering and out-
rageous manner for a long space of time to wit:
for the space of ten minutes then meset following
to the great alarm distress and terror of the said
Plaintiff and his family then there being, and
then and there forced and broke open beds to pieces
and damaged. Alseas to wit, two doors and four
windows of the said Plaintiff of and belonging
to the said dwelling house and broke in pieces
damaged and spoiled divers to wit two locks four
hinges and two staples where with the said doors
were then fastened to wit of the value of ten dollars

~~there with force and arms assaulted the said Plaintiff~~
~~and then and there with fire and arms assau-~~
~~ted the said plaintiff and with great force and~~
~~violence seized the said plaintiff of arms belonging to~~
~~the said dwelling house and took by force arms~~
~~and with great force and violence seized and~~
~~took and shut the said door of the said plaintiff~~
 and then and there gave and struck the said
 plaintiff a great many violent blows and strokes
 on and about divers parts of his body and also
 then and there with great force and violence struck
 and pulled about the said plaintiff and forced and
 dragged him out of the said dwelling house and
 cast and threw the said plaintiff down to and
 upon the ground and then and there with great
 force and violence pulled and dragged the said
 plaintiff along the ground and through the mud
 then and there being for a long distance to wit:
 the distance of forty rods within the twenty of
 said to the great torture and suffering of the
 said plaintiff and then and there benighted
 the said plaintiff with a bonnet which they then
 and there bound around the head of the said plain-
 tiff so tight as to give him great pain and un-
 easiness and then and there again gave and
 struck the said plaintiff a great many other
 violent blows and strokes and then and there
 raised and placed the said plaintiff prostrate
 upon the back of a certain hard going horse
 then there being with the breast of the said
 plaintiff lying upon the back and shoulders of
 the said horse and taking hold of the legs and
 arms of the said plaintiff the said defendants
 came forced and pressed the said plaintiff with
 force and violence to and upon the back of said

horse and then and there stile so holding and
pressing the said Plaintiff, caused the said
horse to move irregularly forward under and
bearing the said Plaintiff and to violently jolt
the said Plaintiff so being held and pressed down
upon the back of the said horse by the said de-
fendants for a long distance to wit for the dis-
tance of two miles within the County of Win-
nebago aforesaid threatening to destroy and
take the life of the said Plaintiff to the great
excruciating and insupportable agony, terror
and torment of the said Plaintiff and thereby
severely bruised hurt and wounded the said plain-
tiff on and about his breast and divers other parts
of his body, and the said defendants then and there
to wit within the County of Winnebago aforesaid
forcibly and violently pulled and stripped off and
rent and tore in pieces and destroyed the clothes
and wearing apparel to wit one coat one waist
coat one shirt and one flannel shirt of the said
Plaintiff of great value to wit of the value of
thirty dollars which the said Plaintiff then
and there wore and was clothed with and then
and there forcibly and violently strike and
threw down the said Plaintiff so stripes and
maked to and upon the ground and pulled
about and rolled him in the mud then and there
being, and then and there forcibly and violently
tamed and feathered the said Plaintiff upon
his head and naked body and upon the residue
of his clothing and wearing apparel not
stripped off and destroyed as aforesaid then and
there putting upon the said Plaintiff a large
quantity to wit six quarts of tar covering his

4
body therewith and then and there forcibly and
violently put the said plaintiff upon a rail
and carried him upon the said rail a long dis-
tance to wit the distance of half a mile within
the County of Winnebago ofresaid and other
wrongs injuries and indignities to the said
plaintiff and there did to the great damage of
the said plaintiff and against the peace of the
people of the State of Illinois by means of which
said premises not only were the said plaintiff
and his family put in great terror apprehension
and concern for his life but the said plaintiff
was then and there greatly hurt bruised and
wounded and became and was sick sore lame
and disordered and so remained and continued
for a long space of time to wit for the space of ten
weeks then next ensuing during all which
time the said plaintiff thereby suffered and
underwent great pain and was hindered and
prevented from performing and transacting
his necessary affairs and business by him
during that time to be transacted and performed
and thereby also the said plaintiff was forced and
obliged to and did necessarily pay lay out and
expense a large sum of money to wit the sum
of fifty dollars in and about endeavouring to be
cured of the bruises wounds sickness sorrows
lammences and disorder aforesaid occasioned
as aforesaid to wit in the County aforesaid,
And also for that the said defendants on
the twenty seventh day of February in the
Year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and forty two in the County aforesaid with

force and arms again assaulted the said plaintiff and with great force and violence again seized and laid hold of the said plaintiff and then and there again gave and struck the said plaintiff a great many other violent blows and strokes on and about divers parts of his body and also then and there with great force and violence shook and pulled about the said plaintiff and again cast and threw the said plaintiff down to and upon the ground and then and there with great force and violence again pulled and dragged the said plaintiff along the ground and thro the mud then there being for a long distance to wit for the distance of forty rods to the great torture and suffering of the said plaintiff to wit on the bounty of Minnebago abovesaid and then and there again blind forced the said plaintiff and again gave and struck the said plaintiff a great many other violent blows and strokes and then and there placed the said plaintiff prostrate upon the back of a certain hard going horse then there being with the breast of the said plaintiff lying against and upon the back and shoulders of of the said horse and taking hold of the legs and arms of the said plaintiff the said defendants again drew forced and pressed the said plaintiff with great force and violence to and upon the back and shoulders of the said horse and then and there still so holding and pressing the said plaintiff again caused the said horse to move irregularly forward under and bearing the said plaintiff

other
violent

6
and to violently jolt the said plaintiff to
being held and pressed down upon the back
and shoulders of the said horse by the said
defendants for a long distance to wit for
the distance of two miles within the County
of Winnebago aforesaid threatening to destroy
and take the life of the said plaintiff to the
great excruciating and insupportable agonies
terror and torment of the said plaintiff and
thereby severely bruised hurt and wounded
the said plaintiff on and about his breast
and divers other parts of his body and the said
defendants then and there forcibly and viol-
ently pulled and stripped off and rent and tore
in pieces and destroyed the clothes and wear-
ing apparel to wit one coat one waistcoat
one shirt and one flannel shirt of the said
plaintiff of great value to wit of the value
of thirty dollars which the said plaintiff
then and there wore and was clothed with
and then and there again forcibly and viol-
ently struck and threw down the said
plaintiff to stripes and marks to and upon
the ground and again pulled and rolled
about the said plaintiff in the mud then
there being and then and there ^{again} tarred and
feathered the said plaintiff upon his head
and naked body and upon the residue of his
clothing and wearing apparel not stripped
off and destroyed as aforesaid then and there
pulting upon the said plaintiff and upon
his head and divers other parts of his body
a large quantity to wit six other quarts of

of tar wherewith they then and there cov-
ered the said plaintiff and then and there
again freely and violently rode the said
plaintiff ^{upon a Rail} for a long distance to wit the dis-
tance of half a mile within the County of
Winnebago aforesaid and other wrongs
injuries and indignities to the said plaintiff
then and there did to the great damage of
the said plaintiff and against the peace of
the people of the State of Illinois by means
of which said several premises in this count
mentioned the said plaintiff was then and
there greatly bruised and wounded and
became lame and was sick sore lame and
disordered and so remained and continued
for a very long space of time to wit for the
space of ten weeks then next ensuing dur-
ing all which time the said plaintiff there-
by suffered and underwent great pain and
was obliged to and did necessarily lay out
and expend a large sum of money to wit
fifty dollars in and about endeavoring to
be cured of the bruises wounds sickness
lowness lameness and disorder aforesaid
occasioned as aforesaid to wit in the County
aforesaid and also for that the said defend-
ants on the day and year last aforesaid
with force and arms again assaulted the
said plaintiff to wit in the County of Winne-
bago aforesaid and then and there again
beat bruised wounded and ill treated him
inasmuch that his ~~life~~ ^{life} was then and there
greatly despaired of and other wrongs to
the said plaintiff then and there did to his

his great damage and against the people of the State of Illinois and also for that the said defendants on the twenty sixth day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty two with force and arms again broke and entered the dwelling house of the said plaintiff in the County of Winnebago and then and there conducted themselves in a riotous brutal and outrageous manner and made a great noise and disturbance therein and stayed and continued therein exhibiting such riotous brutal and outrageous conduct and making such noise and disturbance for a long space of time to wit for one hour then reset following and then and there forced and broke open broke to pieces and damaged divers to wit two doors and four windows of the said plaintiff of and belonging to the said dwelling house and broke in pieces damaged and spoiled divers to wit two locks four hinges and two staples wherewith the said doors were there fastened and other wrongs to the said plaintiff then and there did against the peace of the people of the State of Illinois, by means wherof the said plaintiff and his family were during all the time aforesaid greatly terrified distressed and annoyed and were greatly disturbed in the peaceable possession of the said dwelling house to wit in the County of Winnebago aforesaid to the damage of the said plaintiff of one thousand dollars, and therefore he brings suit &c

Francis Bunnah
 Pelfs Atty

And afterwards do wit on the 20th day of August A.D. 1844. at the August Term of said Circuit Court in the Record of the proceedings thereof is the following entry do wit

Donastone Welden

vs

William Birch et al

On motion of the Plaintiff's attorney the Defendants are ruled to plead herein by callery of Court on Friday morning.

And afterwards do wit on the 23rd day of August A.D. 1844. the following Pleas and

Demurrers ^{was} filed in this cause do wit

State of Illinois
Winneshago County on Court } August Term 1844

William Birch Washington Moore
Philip Moore Matthias Davis

and Emmet Pordy implor'd unto
John Birch Jr William Davis David W.
Holt Curtis Briggs and Horace Anderson
and
Jonathan Welden }
and the said

William Birch Washington Moore
Plaintiff versus Matthias Davis & Emmet
Pordy implor'd as aforesaid unto John
John Birch Jr William Davis David W. Holt
Curtis Briggs & Horace Anderson, by S. B.
Wells their Attorney come and defend
the force and injury when I do and say
that they are not guilty of the said supposed
trespasses in the Plaintiffs Declaration above
said to their charge, or any part thereof
in manner and form as the said Plaintiff
saith above thereof complained against them,
and of this they the said Defendants, implor'd
as aforesaid put themselves upon the country
&c.

And for a further plea in this behalf
as to the said supposed trespasses in the
second & third counts of the Plaintiffs
Declaration mentioned, the said Defendants
implor'd as aforesaid, by leave of the
Court here first had and obtained according
to the form of the statute in that case made
and provided, say that the said Plaintiff

ought not to have or maintain his
 aforesaid actions thereof against them
 because they say that the said Defendants
 are not guilty of the said supposed
 trespasses in the said second & third Counts
 in the said Declaration mentioned, or
 of any or either of them, or any part
 thereof, in manner and form as the said
 Plaintiff hath above thereof complained
 against them, at any time within
 two Years next before the commencement of
 suit, and that they are ready to verify,
 wherefore they pray judgment if the
 said Plaintiff ought to have or maintain
 his aforesaid actions against them &c
 H. B. Udell

Atty for Defts

And as to the 1st Count of the P^l's declaration
 the Defendants say the same is not sufficient
 in Law, and set down as causes of
 disclaimer to the Court, that the same is
 double including a breach of the breaking
 of the Cloe of the P^l, and an assault and
 battery upon him, and for other insufficien-
 ces &c.
 H. B. Udell

Atty for Defts

Which said Pleas & Dismisses have
 endorsed thereon the words, and figures
 following to wit,

Filed August 23rd 1744 J. Pritchard cler

Served thro. writs summons on William
Bunch this 20 day of March AD 1843 - by
reading the same to him, the writs named
John Bunch jr. David W. Holt & Curtis
Briggs not found in my county.

Fees Service . 50

Return . 12

Trunk . 36^{cts} p. 1. 1834.

A. Damm

Sheriff

Served the writs by reading
to Horace Hudson March 31. 1843 -
sum & return 62^{cts} A. Damm Sheriff by
Trunk 51. p. 1. 12 S. C. Fuller Deputy

And afterwards went on the
18th day of April at the April Term AD 1843 -
of said court in the Record of the proceedings
thereof the following was made. To wit

Jonathan Weldew

vs
William Bunch et al

J. Tripp

And on this day
came on to be heard the Demures heretofore
filed by the Defendants by their attorney & the
first count of the Plaintiffs declaration, which
after arguments of counsel is overruled by
the Court. And thereafter the said Defendants
have pleaded to the said Declaration.

And afterwards went on the

19th day of April at the said April Term
A.D. 1845. of said Court in the records thereof
the following was made to wit.

Donatus Meldre
vs
William Bunch et al

Now at this day
cause the said Defendants by their attorney
and file this plea to first count of Plaintiffs
declarations.

And on the same day to wit
the 19th day of April A.D. 1845. the following
plea was filed in this cause, which is in the words
and figures following to wit.

Wm Bunch
William Bunch Washington Wood
Plum More Matthews Davis
Emmet Pardy Horace Audis
David W. Holt, William Davis &
John Bunch Jr. implorers with
Gustus Briggs. exor

and the Defendants
above named implorers as above, as to
all of Plaintiffs first count, in his declaration
contained, except that part relating to the
assaulting and beating of the Defendants
Plaintiff say that they are not guilty of the

Said supposed trespass therein alleged
in manner and form as he has therein
alleged and of this they put themselves
upon the country, and as to the assenting
and vouching the Plaintiff as therein alleged
they were not guilty of, and did not com-
-mit the said several trespasses in manner
and form as the Plaintiff has therein alleged
at any time within two years next before
the commencement of this Suit, and this they
are ready to verify. Wherefore they pray
judgement &c

Amos Wright

Attorney

Which said Pleas have endorsed thereon
the words and figures following to wit,

Filed April 19th 1845

J. Hatched Clerk

And on the same day he doth

And afterwards doth on the
2nd day of April, 1845. the following Pleas
& Demures were filed in the Cause to wit

Wth in Court.

John Busch Jr. David W. Holt

William Davis Horace Anderson unpleaded

unto Gustav Briggs William Busch

Washington Moore Anna Moore Matthias Davis

and Emmet Family

and
Jonathan Willson

and the said
John Birch for David W. Holt, & Williams
Davis & Horace Hubbard implored as
aforesaid unto Daniel Briggs et al. by
Munsh Wright three attorneys, come and
defend the free and injury when & I
say that they are not guilty of the said several
supposed Trespases, in the said Plaintiff's
declaration above thereof laid to their
charge, or any part thereof in number
and form as the said Plaintiff hath above
them complained against them, and
of this they put themselves upon the Country.

And for a further plea in this
behalf, as to the said several supposed tres=
=pases as above thereof laid to their charge
or any part thereof in number & form as
the said Plaintiff hath in the said second &
third counts of his said declaration com=
=plained against them, by leave of the Court
here for this purpose first had & obtained,
say Actio. non, because they say that they
were not guilty of and did not commit
the said several trespases in the said
second & third counts of the said Plaintiff's
declaration mentioned, or any part thereof
or any or either of them in number & form
as the said Plaintiff hath above thereof

complained against them at any
time within two years next before the
commencement of this suit, and thus they
are ready to verify wherefore they pray
judgment &c.

Mush & Wright for said
Defendants,

And as to the first count of the said
Plaintiff's declaration the said Defendants
say that the same is not sufficient
in Law for the said Plaintiff to maintain
his said action & that the
said Defendants are not bound to answer
the same, and thus they are ready to verify
& wherefore they pray &c, and in answer
the said Defendants set forth & show to the
Court, First that the said count is double
including an breaking of the Plaintiff's door
& an assault & battery upon said Plaintiff
Second that it is in other respects informal
uncertain & insufficient

Mush & Wright
for said Defendants

Which said Pleas & Demurre have endorsed
thereon the words and figures following to wit

This April 21. 1843

J. Mitchell

Clerk

and afterwards to wit on the 21st day of
April A.D. 1845, the Plaintiff filed his Reply
to the Defendants Pleas herein which are
in the words and figures following to wit

In the Warrasago County Circuit Court
April Term, 1845

Constance Welden

vs
William Birch Matthias Davis
John Birch Jr William Davis
Washington Moore David W. Holt
Phina Moore Emmitt Perry &
Horse Hudson, impleaded with
Curtis Briggs.

And the said
Plaintiff as to all and singular the said
pleas of the said Defendants, William Birch
John Birch Jr Washington Moore, Phina
Moore Matthias Davis William Davis,
David W. Holt Emmitt Perry and
Horse Hudson, by them respectively aver
pleaded, and whereof they have put
themselves upon the Country doth the like.

And as to so much of the
said plea of the said Defendants by them
averpleaded to that part of the first count
of the said Plaintiffs declaration as
alleges the assaulting and beating of the
said Plaintiff, the said Plaintiff says that

the said Defendants were guilty of and
did commit the said several trespasses
in manner and form as the said Plaintiff
hath therein alleged, within two Years next
before the Commencement of this Suit, and
that the said Plaintiff's name may be
inquired of by the Country &c

And as to the said pleas
of the said Defendants by them severally
above pleaded to the said second and
third counts of the said Declaration, wherein
they charge that the ~~say~~ said Defendants
were not guilty of the Trespasses in the
said second and third count mentioned
within two Years next before the commencement
of this Suit, the said Plaintiff says that he
ought not to be barred &c, because he says
that the said Defendants were guilty of and
did commit the said several trespasses
in the said second and third counts,
mentioned in manner and form as the
said Plaintiff hath ^{in his said second & third counts} above thereof alleged
within two Years next before the commencement
of this Suit. And that the said Plaintiff's name
may be inquired of by the Country &c

Francis Barnum
Plff. Atty

And afterwards do wit on the 25th day of April
at the April Term A D 1845 of said Court in
the Record of the proceedings thereof the following
entire of Record do wit.

Cornelius Welders

vs

William Parach John Parach Jr
Washington Wmno Flynn Wmno

Matthias Davis William Davis

D. W. Holt. Curtis Briggs

Emmett Pardy and Horace Anderson

Trespass

Now at this day came the parties
and their attornies, and upon issue joined
thereupon came a jury of good and lawful
men to wit. D. C. Hoop Henry Van. Tullinbush
David Thomas. George M. Baker Anthony Cortright
Samuel Pears and Jonathan T. Miller James
C. Converse. James B. Howell Stevens. Cruise
Samuel Gibson and Stephen Robinson
who were severally duly elected, tried and
sworn, and after hearing part of the evidence
retired under the direction of the Court.

And on the same day to wit
the 25th day of April A.D. 1845 at the said April
Term of said Court, the following was returned
of record to wit

Cornelius Welder

vs

William Parach (Jr)

Trespass

Now at this time
again comes the said Plaintiff by his attorney

and says he will no further prosecute this
suit against Horace Hudson one of the Defendants
in the above entitled Cause. (It is therefore
Ordered by the Court that the ^{said} Horace Hudson
be discharged

And afterwards do ent on
the 26th Day of April at the said April Term
A.D. 1845 of said in the Record of the proceedings
thereof the following entry was made. To wit

Constance Welder
vs
William French et al } Trespass

now at this
day again come the said parties and
their Attornies, and the Jury yesterday
impanelled to try this Cause, and the Jury
after hearing all the evidence, retired to
consider of their verdict, and after a short
time they returned into Court with the
following verdict to wit: We find the Defendants
not guilty of the said Trespass, and therefore
It is ordered and considered by the Court,
that the said Defendants have and recover of
the said Plaintiff their costs and charges by
them about this suit in this behalf expended
and that they have execution therefor

(Signed)

Thomas C Brown

And afterwards to wit on the 26th day of
April at the said April Term AD 1845
of said Court the following entry
was entered of Record in this Cause to wit

Domestick Welders
vs
William P. Darch et al } Trespass

The said
Plaintiff comes by his Attorney and pays
for an appeal to the Supreme Court,
which is granted by the Court upon
condition that he enter into Bond with
William J. Cole as security within twenty
days from the rising of Court.

And on the same day to wit
the 26th day of April AD 1845. the following
Bill of exceptions was filed in this Cause
to wit,

[Faint, illegible handwriting at the top of the page]

In the Minnebuago Circuit Court
Jonathan Weldon Plaintiff

"vs."

William Birch Jr.
John Birch Jr.
Washington Moore
Plyma Moore
Matthias Davis
William Davis
David W. Hols and
Emmet Puray, defendants
implicated with
Horace Hudson & Curtis Briggs

Minnebuago
Circuit Court } ss

Be it remembered that on the twenty
fifth day of April in the April term of this
court in the year of our Lord one thousand eight
hundred and forty five the above entitled cause
came on to be tried before a jury, and upon
the said trial to prove his part of the issue the
above named plaintiff called Auline Weldon
his daughter who testified that in February
one thousand eight hundred and forty two the
occurrence happened on account of which said
action was brought that at the time she was
asleep at her father's house, that she was a-
wakened by ^{SCREAMS} ~~cries~~ of her mother and sister
and then heard the voice of a person whom she

took to be that of Plyma Moore ^{one} ~~the~~ of the a-
 bove named defendants that she got up and
 saw several persons dragging her father out
 of the door of the house and through a gate in
 front of the house and believed that there were
 eight or ten or twelve persons engaged in the
 affray but could not tell their number nor
 who they were except that she thought that
 she heard the voice of said Plyma Moore with
 whose voice she was acquainted. And the said
 plaintiff also called Spencer Nelson his son
 who testified that the occurrence for which
 this action was brought happened on the night
 of the twenty sixth day of February one thous-
 and eight hundred and forty two that ~~he~~
 his father was at work late in the evening
 preparing a barrel to salt pork in late in the
 evening in the house and the witness was
 holding a candle for him that the outside
 door which was latched was burst open and
 several persons entered the house with their
 faces blackened one of whom struck the witness
 two or three times on the arm and knocked the
 candle out of his hand that there was as many
 as ten or twelve persons entered the house as he
 thinks but cannot tell their number that
 after the candle was knocked out of his hand
 they seized his father and dragged him out of
 the house and thence about three quarters of a
 mile which distance the same witness followed
 them but that he could not identify one of
 those persons. And the said plaintiff ~~then~~
~~called~~ ~~an~~ ~~witness~~ to show that the said de-

defendants were the persons who committed the
said offence called as witnesses Jacob Hudson
Betsey Glens and Levi Birch who testi-
fied nothing material. And the said plain-
tiff then called Ambrose Burnum who was
sworn and Saem Marsh as Counsel for the said
witnesses interposed an objection that the said
Ambrose Burnum might claim the privilege
of refusing to answer any questions which
might implicate him ⁱⁿ the transaction for
which this suit was brought because it might
subject him to indictment and punishment
to which it was answered by the Council of the
plaintiff that the statute of limitations
had ~~run~~ ^{run} upon the offence and that it was
not indictable on account of time and there-
upon the said court decided that the ~~fact~~ fact
that the Statute of limitations have ~~run~~
upon the offence did not take away the
said ^{of the witness} privilege and that the court could
not judge whether all prosecutions arising
from this transaction against the said witness were barred
by the Statute of limitations ~~of the witness~~ and
that he could not be compelled to testify any thing
which could tend to implicate him in the transac-
tion in question. This witness then testified that
since the said occurrence he has resided in the
neighborhood of the said defendants in West-
field in the County of Mendocino and on being
asked whether he saw the said defendants or
any of them at his house on the evening of the
night on which the offence in question was com-

x the lapse of time

mitted, he claimed his said privilege and stated that he would not answer the said question without ~~committing~~ ^{criminating} himself and did not answer the said question, the witness was then asked if he had seen any of the said defendants on the same evening at the house of Guty Hudson in the neighborhood to which question he interposed his said privilege and refused to answer the said question on the same ground, the said witness was then asked whether he had since said time heard any of the said defendants state whether they were engaged in committing the said offence to which question he also interposed his said privilege and refused to answer the said question on the same ground, the witness was then asked whether a horse belonging to him was absent that night and whether on the next day the said horse had marks of tar on it to which questions he severally interposed his said privilege and refused to answer the said questions on the same ground and the privilege of said witness was allowed by the Court to all of which the said plaintiff excepted and his exception is allowed, the said plaintiff then called Jane Barnum wife of said Barnum and she was sworn, and thereupon Jason Marsh Esquire of counsel for the said defendants asked leave of the Court to retire with ~~with~~ this witness ~~and~~ from the Court ~~and~~ ^{room} and instruct her as to her rights as counsel for said witness with regard to refusing to testify in the case to which the said plaintiff by his counsel objected, and thereupon the said Court gave the said leave to which the said plaintiff excepted and his said exception is allowed, and thereupon

retire with

the said Jason Marsh retired with the said witness accordingly and on returning into Court she testified that ever since the time of the commission of the offense aforesaid she had resided in the neighbourhood of the said ^{Defendant} Plaintiff in Westfield aforesaid, and this said witness was then severally asked whether she saw Plyma Moore or Horace Hudson two of the said defendants on the evening on which the said offense was committed to which question the witness refused to make answer on the ground that her answer might tend to implicate her said husband or herself in the said offense and claimed her privilege not to answer the said question on that ground and the same is allowed by the said Court to which the said Plaintiff excepts and his said exception is allowed by the Court, and thereupon the said Plaintiff enters a Nolle prosequit in this action as to Horace Hudson and offers him as a witness in this cause and he is sworn, the said Horace Hudson is then asked on the part of the said Plaintiff whether he saw any of the said defendants on the night on which the said offense was committed, the said Horace Hudson stated that he could not answer the said question without committing himself and claims his privilege of refusing to answer the same on that ground, he also testified that he had resided in the neighbourhood of the said defendants in this county most of the time since the said offense happened, and the said Court allowed the said privilege claimed by the said Horace Hudson and he was excused

from answering the said question and the said
 plaintiff excepts to the opinion of the said court
 in this behalf and his said exception is
 allowed by the Court. Duty Hudson is then
 called by the said Plaintiff but testifies noth-
 ing material to identify the said defendants
 as the persons who committed the said offence
 and he says that the witness Ambrose Barinnum
 and his wife and Horace Hudson had resided
 in this and Ogle Counties in this State ever
 since the time of the committing of the said
 offence. Governor Sanford is then called as
 a witness by the said plaintiff but testifies
 nothing material to connect the said defendants
 with the said offence. Thomas J Jewell is then
 called by the said Plaintiff but testifies nothing
 material and the said Plaintiff gives no fur-
 ther evidence and the said Plaintiff prays
 that this his bill of exceptions may be signed
 and sealed by the Honorable Thomas B. Browne
 Judge of this Court in order that the same
 may become part of the record in this cause
 and it is so done accordingly by

Thomas B. Browne Seal

Agreed to by the
 counsel }

which said Bill of Exceptions has
 endorsed thereon the words and figures
 following to wit

Filed April 26th 1845
 J. Mitchell

clerk

State of Illinois
Winnebago County P. C. H. Spafford
Clerk of the Circuit Court within
said County do hereby certify the
foregoing to be a true copy of the
Record and the proceedings therein in
a certain cause lately pending in said Court
wherein Jonathan Welders was Plaintiff
and William Birch John Birch &
Pyrus Moore Matthew Davis William
Davis David M. Holt and Emitt Pender
are impleaded with others as defendants
In Testimony Whereof I hereunto
Subscribes my name and affix
the Seal of said Circuit Court
at Rockford in said County
this 6th day of June A.D. 1837
Attest P. C. H. Spafford

In the Illinois Supreme Court.

Jonathan Weldon

vs.

William Burch,
John Burch junior,
Plyma Moore,
Matthias Davis,
William Davis,
David W. Holt and
Emitt Purdy.

Of June Term, 1851.

And now before the justices of the Supreme Court of the state of Illinois, at the court-house in Ottawa, in the county of La Salle, to wit on the first day of the ^{term of the} same court of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty-one, being the second Monday in the same month of June, comes the said ~~Francis Burnap~~ Jonathan Weldon by Francis Burnap his attorney, and says that in the record and proceedings aforesaid, and in giving the judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, that at the trial of the said cause, the said Circuit Court ought not to have suffered the counsel of the defendants to interpose in advance the objection that the witness Ambrose Barnum might claim the privilege of refusing to answer any question which might implicate him in the transaction for which the suit was brought, because it might subject him to indictment and punishment. And also there is error in this, that the said Circuit Court ought not to have entertained the said objection when raised by the counsel of the defendants, or to have made any decision thereon, until and unless the said witness had claimed the said privilege in person. And also there is error in this, that the said Circuit Court ought not to have decided, that the fact that the statute of limitations

had, run upon the offence, did not take away the said privilege of the said witness. And also there is error in this, that the said Circuit Court ought not to have decided as it did, that the said Ambrose Barnum could not be compelled to testify any thing which would tend to implicate him in the transactions in question in ~~this cause~~ the said cause. And also there is error in this, that the said Circuit Court ought not to have decided that the court could not judge whether all prosecutions arising from this transaction against the said witness, were barred by the statute of limitations. And also there is error in this, that the said Circuit Court ought not to have allowed the privilege of the said Ambrose Barnum to refuse to answer whether he saw the said defendants or any of them at his house on the evening of the night on which the offence in question was committed. And also there is error in this, that the said Circuit Court ought not to have allowed the privilege of the said Ambrose Barnum to refuse to answer when asked if he had seen any of the said defendants on the same evening at the house of Duty Hudson, in the neighborhood. And also there is error in this, that the said Circuit Court ought not to have allowed the privilege of the said Ambrose Barnum to refuse to answer whether he had since the said time heard any of the said defendants state whether they were engaged in committing the said offence. And also there is error in this, that the said Circuit Court ought not to have allowed the privilege of the said Ambrose Barnum to ~~answer~~ refuse to answer whether a horse belonging to him was absent on that night, and whether on the next day the said horse had marks of tar on it. And also there is error in this, that the said Circuit Court ought not to have given

leave to the counsel of the defendants to retire from the court room with the plaintiffs witness Jane Barnum, after she was sworn, to instruct her as to her rights with regard to refusing to testify in the case. And also there is error in this, that the said circuit court ought not to have allowed the privilege of the said Jane Barnum to refuse to testify whether she saw Plynia Moore or Horace Hudson, two of the said defendants on the evening in which the said offence was committed. And also there is error in this, that the said circuit court ought not to have allowed the privilege of the said witness Horace Hudson to refuse to answer the question whether he saw any of the said defendants on the night on which the said offence was committed. And also there is error in this, that all and singular the decisions made by the said circuit court at the said trial were wrong and contrary to law, and ought not, nor ought any one of them to have been made. And also there is error in this, that the said plaintiff did not have a fair and impartial trial of the said cause before the said circuit court, as he is intitled by law to have had, as manifestly appears by the said bill of exceptions. And also there is error in this, that the judgment in the said cause, by the law of the land ought to have been given in favor of the said plaintiff against the said defendants, and not in favor of the said defendants against the said plaintiff. And the said Jonathan Weldon prays that the judgment aforesaid, for the errors aforesaid, and for other errors in the said record and proceedings being, may be reversed, annulled and altogether holden for nought, and that he

may be restored to all things he has lost by reason of the said judgment, &c.

Francis Burnap,
Attorney and Counsel for Plaintiff in error.

William Burch, Ryea Wood }
David W. Holt & Lewis Hardy }
implicated with Matthew }
Lewis Holt. }

vs.
Jonathan Hildon }

And the said Defendants
as above named, join in Error &
for

Mark.
pr. said Defts

The Court

Jonathan Hildon

vs

William Burch
et al

Francis Burnap

and Assignment of Error
in Error -

~~4873~~

Filed June 10. 1857.
V. (Leland Clerk)

1810

Vol. 4 p. 85

Rockford, 3 March, 1857.

L. Leland, Esq.
Clerk Supreme Court,
Ottawa.

Dear Sir

I wish you to publish the necessary notice in *Weldon vs. Burch* and others, in pursuance of the order made at the last term of the court containing the advertisement, and also to send me the *chas sure foris*. Indlosed are \$3 for the publication.

You will oblige me by inquiring of Judge Dickey what his intention is about holding a circuit court in De Kalb, and writing me the result.

Yours truly

Francis Burnap.

Rockford, 12 April, 1850.

J. Leland, Esq.
Clerk Sup. Court
Ottawa,

Dear Sir,

I inclose a praecipe for a writ of error in *Weldon vs. Burch* and others, and for a *sine facias*. Of the original defendants below, Curtis Briggs was not served with process, and a *note prosequi* was entered as to Horace Hudson. These two persons cannot therefore be parties to the writ of error.

The time for suing out the writ of error will expire in a few days. Some care will therefore be necessary to have the writ correct. I do not wish the praecipe to be taken as a direction about the form of the writ—only its substance. Be pleased to inclose it to me.

Yours truly,

Francis Burroughs.

Rockford, 30 June, 1850.

L. Leland, Esq.
Clerk Sup. Court
Ottawa.

D^r. Sir,

I inclose \$5.00
which I wish you to hand to my old friend Mr. Peters,
if he is still in attendance, and get him to regulate the
case of Weldon vs. Burns and others, by suggesting death
of Washington Moore, one of the defendants, entering order
for publication, and for time to return writ of error
abias sine facias and continuance, as indicated in
my letter of 13 June.

If Mr. Peters should have left, be pleased to hand the
cash to E. S. Leland, Esq. and get him to perform the
service.

Respectfully yours,

Francis Burnap.

In the Supreme Court of the state of Illinois.

Jonathan Weldon
vs.
William Burch,
John Burch junior,
Washington Moore,
Phyna Moore,
Matthias Davis,
William Davis,
David W. Kott and
Emitt Parody.

In error to Winnebago.

Francis Burrage, attorney for Jonathan Weldon, the above named plaintiff in error, maketh solemn affirmation and swears, that the errors relied upon to reverse the judgment in the above intitled cause, appear by a bill of exceptions taken at the trial thereof in the court below, and that the said bill of exceptions is not among the papers on file in the said cause, but is missing, that this deponent further says, that since the suing out of the writ of error in the above intitled cause, he has in conjunction with the clerk of the Winnebago Circuit Court, made search for the said bill of exceptions among a considerable part of the files of the said court, in which he believed the said bill of exceptions would be most likely to be misplaced, without being able to find the same. And this deponent further says, that he has been informed by the clerk of the said court, that he intends during the present season thoroughly to overhaul and rearrange all the papers in the files of the said court; and this deponent expects that in such process the said bill of exceptions will be found. And this deponent further says, that the said cause was tried in April, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and forty-five, and judgment therein was then rendered.

dered, more than five years having since past; and
that leave for further time for making return to the
said writ of error is not asked for delay, but for the sole
purpose of getting an effectual return to the same.

Francis Burnap.

Affirmed at Rockford in the
County of Winnebago, the 13th
day of June, 1850, before me,

William Hulin,
Clerk Winnebago County Court.

Supreme Court.

Jonathan Weldon

v.

William Burnap and others.

Affidavit of F. Burnap.

Filed June 17. 1850.

L. Deland Clk.

Burnap.

Rockford, 13 June, 1850.

S. Leland, Esq.

Clerk Sup. Court,

Ottawa.

D^r Sir,

I inclose you five dollars for fees in Weldon vs. Burch and others, which I did not receive until yesterday, the plaintiff being absent.

I inclose an affidavit for time to make return to writ of error, and another for order of publication, and also the scire facias ad audiendum, which was not served because the writ of error could not be returned, so that an alias may issue.

Washington Moore, one of the original defendants, was dead before the suing out of the writ of error. This ought perhaps to have been suggested in the writ, and his name omitted in the scire facias. The action being trespass he is wholly out of question. I suppose however the proper way to set this matter right now, is to enter a suggestion of his death since the rendition of the judgment below, and an order that his name be omitted in the further proceedings in the cause, and that an alias scire facias be issued against the other defendants in error.

I shall perhaps attend and see to this matter myself. If not, I shall get some one else to do it.

Respectfully yours,

Francis Burnap.

Burnap

1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900

1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900

In the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

Jonathan Weldon
vs.
William Burch,
John Burch junior
Washington Moore,
Phynas Moore,
Matthias Davis,
William Davis,
David W. Mott and
Ernest Purdy.

In error to Winnebago.

Jonathan Weldon, the above named plain-
tiff in error, maketh oath and saith, That John Burch
junior, one of the above named defendants, is not an
inhabitant of this state, and is absent therefrom, so that
the process of this court cannot be served upon him.
And this affiant further says, that ~~Matthias~~^{William} Davis, also
one of the above named defendants, is not an inhabitant
of this state, and is absent therefrom, so that he cannot be
served with process of this court, as this affiant is informed
and believes to be true.
J. Weldon.

Sworn at Rockford in the
county of Winnebago, this fourth
day of June, 1850, before me.

C. H. Spafford Clerk of Winnebago County
District Court, State Illinois

24

Supreme Court,
Jonathan Weldon
vs
William Burck and others

Affidavit of Puff.

Filed June 17. 1850.
L. Island Clk.

Burns

Jonathan Wilder }
 ^{vs} } Abstract,
William Burch et al }
 }

Ambrose Barnum sworn - Marsh
Counsel for Defts. interposed an objection
that witness might claim the privilege
of refusing to answer any questions which
might implicate him in the transaction
for which suit was brought, because
it might subject him to indictment
& punishment - to which it was answered
by the Counsel for Plff. that the Statute
of limitations had run upon the offence -
Court decided this did not take away
privilege of witness, that Court could not
judge whether all prosecutions arising
from the transaction were barred - that
he could not be compelled to testify
anything which could tend to implicate
him in the transactions in question.

Witness then testified that since the
said occurrence he had resided in the
neighborhood of Defts. in Westfield
Winnibago Co.

Being asked whether he he saw Defts.
or any of them at his house on the evening
of the night where, he claimed his
said privilege & that he would not
answer without criminating himself
& did not answer.

Being asked whether he ~~he~~ ^{he} saw
~~any of them~~ ^{any of them}

any of said Defts, at house of Duty
Hudson, he interposed his said privilege
& refused to answer -

Being asked whether he had since
said time heard any of said Defts,
say they were engaged in committing
said offence, he interposed his said
privilege & refused to answer on the
same ground.

Being asked whether a horse belonging
to him was absent that night & whether
on the next day he had marks of tar
on him, he interposed his said privilege
& refused &c.

The privilege of witness was allowed
by the Court - P^lff. excepted -
Exception allowed -

Jane Barnum being sworn Counsel
asked leave of Court to retire with her
instruct her as to her rights to refuse
to testify &c. P^lff. objected - leave
granted - P^lff. excepted - Marsh
Counsel & she retired together - On
her return she testified that ever
since the time when she had re-
sided in neighborhood of Defts,

Being severally asked whether she saw
Plyna Wood & Horace Hudson two of Defts,
on the evening when &c, she refused to
answer on the ground that her answer
might tend to implicate her or her husband

Stolle proseyui to Horace Hudson - who
being sworn as a witness, & asked whether
he saw any of the Depts, on the night
where, stated that he could not, an-
swer without criminating himself
& claims his privilege on that ground
- testified that he had resided in
neighborhood of Depts, most of
time since offence happened -
Privilege allowed by Court &c,

The errors assigned are -
Allowing the privilege & permitting
March & the lady to withdraw
from the Court for the purpose
of instructing being instructed
in relation to said privilege -

Jonathan Wildon

vs.

William Burchetts,

Abstract

Filed June 20th 1837

2 1/2
32

64
16

80
40800

State of Illinois, }
 Supreme Court, } SS.

The People of the State of Illinois

TO THE SHERIFF OF *Winnebago* County.

Because in the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment, of a plea which was in the circuit court of *Winnebago* county, before the Judge thereof, between

Jonathan Weldon plaintiff,
William Burch, John Burch junior, Washington Cooze,
Plyna Cooze, Matthias Davis, William Davis, David
W. Holt, & Emitt Purdy, impleaded &c.

defendants; it is said that manifest error hath intervened to the injury of the said *Weldon*

as we are informed by *his* complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment, we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, at Ottawa, before the justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said

William Burch, John Burch junior,
Washington Cooze, Plyna Cooze, Matthias Davis,
William Davis, David W. Holt & Emitt Purdy—

that *they* be and appear before the Justices of our said Supreme Court, at the next term of said Court, to be holden at Ottawa, in said State, on the *second* Monday in *June* next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if *they* shall think fit; and further to do and receive what the said Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said *Burch & others* notice, together with this writ.

WITNESS, the Hon. *Samuel A. Treat*
 Chief Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof,
 at Ottawa, this *15th* day of *April*
 in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred
 and *forty-fifty*.

V. C. Land

Clerk of the Supreme Court.

Jonathan Welder
vs
William Buck et al.

Deire Facias

To June Term 1850.

Filed June 17. 1850.
J. Kellogg, Clk.

TO THE SHERIFF OF
the People of the State of Illinois
County.

Reasons in the record and proceedings, and also in the exhibition of

the said
this writ
shall think fit and further to do and receive what the said Court shall
notice, together with

WITNESS, the Hon. *James M. McKendree*
Chief Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof,
in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred
and *thirty* day of *June*

J. Kellogg
Clerk of the Supreme Court.

STATE OF ILLINOIS, }
Supreme Court.

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Sheriff of the County of Winnebago — Greeting:

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the circuit court of Winnebago — county, before the Judge thereof, between Jonathan Weldon plaintiff, & William Burch, John Burch junior, Rhyna Moore, Matthias Davis, William Davis, David W. Holt, & Emitt Purdy, impleaded &c.

defendants, it is said that manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the said Weldon

as we are informed by his complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the state of Illinois, at Ottawa, before the justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, ^{as we have heretofore commanded you} that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said William Burch, John Burch junior, Rhyna Moore, Matthias Davis, William Davis, David W. Holt & Emitt Purdy

that they be and appear before the justices of our said supreme court, at the next term of said court, to be holden at Ottawa, in said state, on the second Monday in June — next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if they — shall see fit; and further to do and receive what said court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said defendants — notice, together with this writ.

Witness, the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof, at Ottawa, this seventh day of March — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty one —

L. Leland Clerk of the Supreme Court.

2187-31
I have given notice to the within named Matthias Davis as within & am commanded this 13th day of May A.D. 1851
J. Johnson Sheriff
of Winnebago County

CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT

The People of the State of Illinois

Know all men by these presents that the within and subscribed

BECAUSE in the second part hereof appearing and also in the testimony of the judgment of

the court in the within and subscribed case and also in the testimony of the judgment of

the court in the within and subscribed case and also in the testimony of the judgment of

the court in the within and subscribed case and also in the testimony of the judgment of

Filed June 10, 1857
L. Leland Clerk

Jonathan Weldon
vs
William Burch et als.
Alias Scire Facias
To June Term 1857.

Summoners of the within named defendant
John Doe
Richard Roe
By virtue of the within writ I have given notice to the within named William Burch Rhyna Moore David W. Holt and Emitt Randy by reading the same to them respectively this 8th day of April A.D. 1857
P.P. Johnson
Shriff Minnehago County

The within named ~~William Burch Rhyna~~
William Davis and John Burch junior
are not found in my County
P.P. Johnson Sheriff Min. Co

Fees - Service \$ 2.40
Mileage - 1.40
10
Returning \$ 3.90
Service on M. Davis 1.08
\$ 4.98

Received, Ottawa, Illinois, March 7, 1857, of George
Seland, Clk Sup. Court, three dollars for publishing
in the Ottawa Free Trader four weeks a legal notice
in the case of "Jonathan Welden vs. William Burch et
als., Error to Waukegan."

Wm. & M. Osage

Recd. for \$3.
for pub. notes

In the Supreme Court of Illinois.
Third Grand Division.

A writ of error directed to the clerk of the Circuit Court for the county of Winnebago, to certify and send up the record and proceedings in a certain cause lately depending in the said Circuit Court, wherein ~~the~~ Jonathan Weldon was plaintiff and William Burch, John Burch junior, Washington Moore, Plyna Moore, Matthias Davis, William Davis, David W. Mott and Emitt Purdy, impleaded with Curtis Briggs and Horace Hudson were defendants, of a plea of trespass, where in judgment was rendered in favor of the said defendants William Burch, John Burch junior, Washington Moore, Plyna Moore, Matthias Davis, William Davis, David W. Mott and Emitt Purdy against the said Jonathan Weldon, on complaint of the said Jonathan Weldon that manifest error hath intervened.

Francis Burnap
Atty for said Weldon.

Jonathan Weldon
vs.
William Burch,
John Burch junior,
Washington Moore,
Plyna Moore,
Matthias Davis,
William Davis,
David W. Mott and
Emitt Purdy.

Error to Winnebago.

in this cause.

A writ of sine facias to hear errors

Francis Burnap
Atty. for Plff in error.

Supreme Court.

Jonathan Weldon

vs.

William Brooks,

John Brook junior,

Washington Moore,

Olivia Moore,

Matthias Davis,

William Davis,

David W. Mott and

Emitt Purdy.

Præcipe for writ of error
and sine feñas.

Filed Apl. 15. 1850.
Chelms Ch.

Burrup.