

No. 8524

# Supreme Court of Illinois

F. H. Edwards

---

vs.

H. T. Pace

---

71641  7

In the Jefferson Circuit Court May Term A.D. 1857  
Pleas held before the Honorable Samuel Scott Marshall  
Judge of the Judicial Circuit, present and presiding.

Be it remembered that on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of May  
A.D. 1857, the following Bill. to wit.

"May Term of the Jefferson Circuit Court A.D. 1857.

Recd. Feb. State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County }  
3

To the Hon<sup>ble</sup> the Judge of the twenty<sup>th</sup> Judicial  
Circuit in Chancery sitting.

Your petitioner Francis  
H. Edwards would respectfully represent that on or about  
the 16<sup>th</sup> day of April 1838 one Downing Baugh sold to one  
William Allen a certain lot of ground situate lying and  
being in the County & State aforesaid & town of Mt Vernon  
and Union and designated as follows to wit, beginning  
at the South East Corner of lot No. twenty six on Marion  
Street running thence West twenty two feet or to a lot of  
ground now owned by William B. Thom thence North  
to the Alley thence East to the South East Corner of said  
lot No 26 thence South to the beginning for the sum  
of five hundred dollars, that the said Allen  
executed his notes to the said Baugh payable in  
one or two years, and that said Baugh executed  
and delivered to said Allen his bond conditioned  
to make to said Allen a deed to said premises  
when the said Allen should pay or cause to be  
paid the amount of said notes, which said bond  
is here attached & prayed to be considered, which  
said bond said Allen failed to have recorded,  
that afterwards, to wit, on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of August  
1847, one Harvey J. Pace recovered in the said  
Jefferson Circuit Court a Judgment against said  
Downing Baugh for \$289<sup>90</sup> /<sup>100</sup>, on which said Judgment

2  
 Said Pace has issued an execution and delivered the same to the Sheriff of said County and has directed & procured said Sheriff to levy said execution of the premises aforesaid.

That afterwards to wit on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of September 1849 said Allen sold said land to Complainant and assigned the same to Complainant by endorsement, that afterwards to wit on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of September 1849 said Baugh made to Complainant a deed in conformity to said Bond for said premises which deed is hereto attached and prays to be considered. That afterwards to wit on the 13<sup>th</sup> day of January 1857, said Pace said out on said Judgment an execution and caused the same to be delivered to John R Satterfield the Sheriff of said County, and ordered and caused said execution to be levied on said premises and has it now advertised for sale, and said Complainant distinctly avers that said Pace well knew of said sale by said Baugh to said Allen, that he saw said Allen take possession & occupy & improve said premises and saw said Allen execute said notes to said Baugh, well knowing at the time for what said notes were executed, at the time of said sale, to wit on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of April 1838 and also said Pace saw this Complainant at the time of his purchase take possession & make large improvements on said premises, said Complainant would therefore pray that said Harvey & Pace be made defendant to this bill, that a writ of injunction issue restraining said Pace, and said John R Satterfield, Sheriff as aforesaid, from proceeding on said execution, and that said ~~被告~~ Def<sup>t</sup>, be summoned to appear at the next term of said Court and answer this bill and particu-

No 3

3

-Early that he answered under oath whether he was  
 not well informed of said Sale by said Plaintiff to  
 said Allen and the Conditions thereof, and that  
 upon a final hearing said defendant be perpetually  
 enjoined from proceeding further against said prem-  
 ises of said Complainant, and that all such other  
 & further relief be granted Complainant as Equity &  
 good Conscience may dictate. And said Complainant  
 would further shew unto your Honor that at the  
 time of his purchase from said Allen the houses  
 on said premises were in a ruinous and decayed  
 condition wholly tenanted and worth little if  
 any thing, that he proceeded immediately to  
 repair and improve said buildings and to  
 add to the same other and entirely new build-  
 ings & out houses, thereby laying out and  
 expending large sums of money amounting in  
 all to at least \$250- said Price standing by &  
 seeing said improvements going up & waiting until  
 they were completed before he would disturb Com-  
 plainant, that said premises in consequence of said  
 expenditure are now valuable, whereas without  
 said improvements said premises were of very  
 trifling value, and your petitioner would therefore  
 pray that should a perpetual injunction be  
 denied him that your Honor Court will order  
 adjudge & decree that out of the Sale of said  
 premises he your petitioner may be remun-  
 erated for the improvements he has caused  
 to be put upon said premises, & your petitioner  
 as in duty bound will ever pray &c.

D. V. Burch Sol  
 for Complainant.

State of Illinois }  
 Jefferson County } The Clerk of the Circuit

Order of  
 Master  
 58524-2

4. Court within and for said County and State will  
 issue writ of Injunction, restraining the within named  
 defendant H. J. Pace, and John R. Stetterfield, Sheriff  
 of said County and State, from selling the premises  
 herein described and that he the said Clerk also  
 issue Summons to the said defendant summoning  
 him to be and appear before the Circuit Court of  
 said County and State on the first day of the next  
 term thereof to be commenced and holden at  
 the Court House in the town of Mt Vernon on the  
 second Monday in the month of May next to answer  
 the allegations contained in said bill - Conditions  
 - that the said complainant enter into bond to &  
 with said defendant with sufficient security  
 in double the sum of the amount enjoined.

May 27<sup>th</sup> 1857.

Samuel D. Packer, Attorney  
 in Chancery,  
 Jefferson County, Ills.

(Summons)

State of Illinois }  
 Jefferson County } ss.

The people of the State of Illinois  
 to the Sheriff of Jefferson County Greeting.

We Command  
 you to Summon Harvey J. Pace to be and appear  
 before the Circuit Court within and for said  
 County and State aforesaid on the first day  
 of the next term thereof to be commenced and  
 holden at the Court House in the town of  
 Mt Vernon in said County on the second Monday  
 in the month of May next to answer the Com-  
 plaint of Francis H. Edwards in a bill of  
 Chancery for an injunction and whosoever  
 (himself) may due return according to law.

Know all men by these presents that we Francis A Edwards, Charles W. Stearnes, Harry Decker, D C Warren all of the County of Jefferson & State of Illinois are held & firmly bound unto Harry T Pace of the same County & State in the sum of six hundred dollars lawful money of the United States, to be paid to the said Harry T Pace his heirs Executors & Assigns for which payments well and truly to be made we bind ourselves jointly & severally & our respective heirs, Executors & Administrators, firmly by these presents, Sealed with our seals & dated this first day of March A. D. 1857.

Whereas the above named Francis A Edwards has filed his bill of Complaint in the Jefferson County Circuit Court or the Chancery side thereof, against the said Harry T Pace praying among other things an injunction to restrain the further proceedings by the said Harry T Pace, on an execution in the hands of John R. Sattler Sheriff of Jefferson County and State of Illinois in which said execution the said Harry T Pace is plaintiff, and Downing Beugh is defendant, and which said execution the said Harry T Pace has caused to be levied on a part of Lot No 26, on Main Street in the Town of Mt Vernon & County of Jefferson & State of Missouri, which said property above described is claimed by the said Francis A Edwards.

And therefore the condition of this obligation is such that if the above named Francis A Edwards, their heirs Executors Administrators & Assigns, or any of them shall & do well & truly pay or cause to be paid to the said Harry T Pace, his heirs Executors & Assigns, all such damages & costs as shall be awarded against the said Francis A Edwards by the said Circuit Court of Jefferson County in Chancery sitting as aforesaid to the said Harry T Pace on his bill filed by the

6. Lucia Francis & Edwards, then the above obligation to be void, otherwise to remain in full force & effect.

Francis & Edwards (Seal)

Taken & Approved by me  
this 7<sup>th</sup> day of March A.D.  
1857. Timney D. Preston,  
Master in Chancery Jefferson  
County Illinois

Charles W. Stearns (Seal)  
Harry Hicks (Seal)  
D. C. Warner (Seal)

(Enclosure)

"Francis & Edwards vs. Harvey J. Pae, Injunction Bond.  
Filed March 8<sup>th</sup> 1852. John Milburn, Clerk."

(Writ of Injunction)

Writ of  
injunction

State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County } ss.

The people of the State of Illinois to Harvey J. Pae & to John R. Satterfield Sheriff of said County and State and their Councillors, Attorneys, Selectors and Agents and each and every of them Greeting.

Whereas it has been represented to us in our Court of Chancery on the part of Francis & Edwards, Complainant, that he has lately exhibited his bill of Complaint in our Circuit Court in and for said County and State on the Chancery side thereof against you the said Harvey J. Pae and also John R. Satterfield Sheriff as aforesaid, to be relieved touching the matters therein complained of; in which bill it is stated among other things: that you are combining & confederating each other to injure the said Complainant touching the matters set forth in the said bill and that your doings and doings in the premises are contrary to Equity & Conscience.

We therefore, in consideration thereof, and of the particular matters in said bill set forth, do strictly command you the said Harvey J. Pae and the said John R. Satterfield Sheriff of Jefferson County and State

of Illinois and the persons before mentioned, and each and every of you, that you do absolutely desist and refrain from selling the following described premises to wit, a part of Lot Numbera twenty six on Main Street in the Town of Mt Vernon Jefferson County and State of Illinois bounded as follows, beginning at the South East Corner of Lot twenty six on Main Street of said town and running thence West twenty two feet onto a lot of ground now owned by William B. Horn, thence North to the Alley thence East to South East Corner of Lot Numbera twenty six thence South to the place of beginning until the hearing of the Cause by our said Court of Chancery and our said Court shall hereinafter make further Order thereof.

Witness, John Wilbanks, Clerk of our said Court  
Circuit Court and the Judicial Seat thereof  
done at Mt Vernon the 7<sup>th</sup> day of March  
A D 1857. John Wilbanks, Clerk.

(Enclosure)

"Francis H Edwards vs Harey J Pace - Injunction mt"  
"Executed by delivering a copy to H J Pace, 8<sup>th</sup> day of  
March 1857. Serving 50 cents

Returning  $\frac{10}{60}$

J. H. Satterfield Sh. J. C."

"Filed March 8<sup>th</sup> 1857. John Wilbanks Clerk  
By J. B. Paine D. C."

(Summons)

State of Illinois } ss.  
Jefferson County }

The People of the State of Illinois to the  
Sheriff of Jefferson County Greeting.

do hereby command  
you to summon Harey J Pace to be and appear

sum.



before the Circuit Court within and for said County and State aforesaid on the first day of the next term thereof to be commenced and holden at the Court House in the town of Mt Vernon in said County on the second Monday in the month of May next to answer the Complaint of Francis & Edwards in a bill of Chancery for an injunction and to hereof, (hereof) make due return according to law.

Witness John Wilbents Clerk of said Court and the Judicial Seal thereof at Office in Mt Vernon 7th day of March 1857.  
John Wilbents Clerk

( Inclosures )

" Summons - Francis & Edwards vs Harvey T Pace."  
" Executed March 8th A.D. 1857, by handing copy to H T Pace - Serving 50 cents  
Returning  $\frac{10}{60}$  Co. Mt Satterfuk Shff,  
Jefferson Co. Ill.

And afterwards, to wit at May Term A.D. 1857, being on the 15th day of said Month, it being the day of said term, the following further proceedings were had and return of record, viz.

Francis & Edwards }  
vs } Injunction  
Harvey T Pace }

Ordn of Court

This day came the parties by their Solicitors, and the Defendant filed his answer to Complainants bill and moves to dissolve said injunction. Whereupon the Court not being fully advised in the premises. took time to consider.

( Defendants Answer )

7  
Answer

Harvey T. Pae } In Chancery.  
et }  
Francis H. Edwards } May term of the Suffolk  
Circuit Court A.D. 1857.

The answer of H. T. Pae defendant to the bill of Complaint of Francis H. Edwards - This respondent saving & reserving to himself all & all manner of exceptions, to the manifold errors, misstatements, inaccuracies, ~~contained~~ untrue & inadventurous in the said bill contained for answer to the said bill, or so much thereof as he is advised is material for him to answer unto Answering with - that true it is - that some time in the year 1838, the time not particularly recited, respondent did have some conversation between the said Dunning Baugh & ~~himself~~ Wm Allen relative to selling the said premises and saw said Allen sign what respondent understood to be a note which he understood from what was said by said Baugh & Allen was for said premises. That it is said Allen did enter into the possession of the said premises & continued to occupy the same for the period of about six or eight months, during which time he made some very slight improvements on the premises. Not worth more than about \$10.

But it is not true & respondent wholly denies, that he had he knew anything about the terms & conditions of the contract of sale if any was made except that the purchase money was to be five hundred dollars.

But respondent positively denies any & all knowledge of said Baugh's having executed to said Allen a bond for a title to said premises - until long after this respondent obtained a judgment against said Baugh as herein after stated, but true it is if any such bond ever was executed, such bond was not recorded as in Capt. bill alleged until long after respondent obtained judgment against said Baugh & had execution issued thereon.

Respondent further answering would state

that after said Allen quit the possession of the said premises & until & after the rendition of the <sup>sd</sup> Judgment in favour of Respondent against the said Baugh, said premises have frequently and for long intervals been & are occupied without the said Allen or any one for him exercising or claiming to exercise any acts of Ownership over the to the knowledge of this Respondent.

Respondent would further state that said Allen immediately on quitting the possession of the said premises left the County & has remained absent there from & hitherto, and has not to the knowledge of this Respondent, even at any time by himself or any agent claimed or exercised any acts of Ownership over said premises, nor has the said Allen as this Respondent is informed & believes, ever paid the purchase money therefor. Respondent further answering neither admits or denies that the said Court on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of September 1849 purchased said land from said Allen but calls for the proof. Respondent neither admits or denies that the said Baugh on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of September 1849 made to the said Court a deed to said premises but calls for the proof. But Respondent avers & charges that the said Court purchased the said premises of the said Baugh and paid him therefor the sum of seventy dollars as he is informed & believes & is ready to verify & prove. True it is that this Respondent did on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of August 1847 recover a Judgment against the said Baugh for the said sum of \$289.<sup>90</sup>/<sub>100</sub> with costs of suit which said Judgment remains in full force & unsatisfied. Said Respondent further answering states, that he caused execution to be issued upon said Judgment within a year after the rendition of the same, & no bond for a title to said premises from said Baugh to <sup>sd</sup> Allen had been filed & recorded until long afterwards. Respondent further answering admits

11 11 that said Compt. did enter into possession & make improve-  
ments upon said premises (but he states & avers that  
before said Compt. did enter into possession & make any  
improvements Respondent examined the proper records  
and <sup>no</sup> title papers from said Baugh to any person but  
for said premises had been filed or recorded - Respondent  
informs said Compt. that he had a Judgment against  
said Baugh and that Respondent intends to make  
the money out of said premises & notifies Compt.  
not to make improvements upon said premises  
before he the said Compt. made any improvements.

Respondent insists that his Judgment is a lien  
upon said premises & that they are subject to sale  
for the satisfaction of the same, notwithstanding  
all the statements & allegations in Compt. bill contained  
without that; that there is any other matter or thing,  
material or necessary for this Respondent to make  
answer unto, and not herein or hereby well &  
sufficiently answered unto, Confessed or avoided,  
traversed or denied is true, all which he is ready  
to verify & prove as this Honorable Court shall order  
& direct, and having fully answered he prays that  
the injunction herein granted may be dissolved  
& he dismissed here with his reasonable costs & he  
will ever pray as in duty bound &c.

Scats & Mings, Solicitors  
for Respondent.

State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County } Set.

Harecy & Pace being first duly sworn  
deposed say that the matters and things stated in  
facts in the foregoing answer are true, and those  
stated upon information & belief and advice of  
Counsel he believes to be true & heathen's oath not.

H. J. Pace.

Subscribed & sworn to before me

12 This day of May 1857.

Subscribed & sworn to before me

15<sup>th</sup> day of May 1857.

John Melbanks, CLK.

(Endorsements)

" H I Pace at H H Edwards - Answer "

" Filed the 15<sup>th</sup> day of May 1857.

John Melbanks CLK "

And afterwards, to wit at the September Term  
of the Supreme Court  
A D 1857, being the 15<sup>th</sup> day of the month, and the day  
of said term, the following further proceedings were  
had and entered of record.

Viz.

Francis & Edwards

vs

Harvey I Pace

Injunction

Ordered that the foregoing  
case be continued until the next term of  
this Court.

And afterwards, to wit at the Special December  
Term A D 1857, being the day of month and the day  
of said term, the following further proceedings  
were had and entered of record.

Viz.

Francis & Edwards

vs

Harvey I Pace

Injunction

This day comes the plaintiff  
by his Attorney and moves the Court to dissolve  
the injunction in this cause, but the Court  
being well advised in the matter overruled said  
motion wherefore it is further Commanded by

( Replication )

Francis A Edwards

vs

Bill for injunction

Harvey T Pace

And the said Complainant comes and  
for Replication to Defendants Answer says  
that the matters and things in Defendants  
Answer are untrue, and that the matters  
and things in Complainants bill are true,  
as therein set forth, and this he is ready to  
prove when and where this Honourable Court  
shall direct.

D. Baugh Sol.  
for Complainant

Replication

( Aff'd of J. H. Pace )

" State of Ills }  
Jefferson County } H. T. Pace

vs.

H. H. Edwards.

Aff'd of  
J. H. Pace

Before the undersigned Clerk of Jefferson Circuit Court in and for ~~the~~ County of Jefferson and State of Illinois personally came John H. Pace who after being duly sworn according to law on his oath says that in a conversation had by this affiant and said Pace soon after the said Edwards had executed a note to this affiant in behalf of Jefferson County in lieu of note which this affiant held against D. Raugh this affiant remarked to said Pace that if he had known of the arrangement entered into between the said Raugh and Edwards that by means of a Garnisher he the said Pace might have secured security dollars, upon which the said H. T. Pace said Frank (meaning the said Edwards) was a fool for paying downing (meaning said Raugh) a cent for his interest in the lot which Frank had bought and downing set up a claim to, for his interest was no a cent for if it had been I would have possessed it long ago.

John H. Pace

Subscribed & Sworn

before me the 16<sup>th</sup> May 1851.

John McManis, Clerk

By J. D. Preston D.C.

( Inclosures in the above )

" Francis H. Edwards } Filed 16<sup>th</sup> May 1851

Harvey T. Pace. " } John McManis Clerk

By J. B. Jamieson D.C.

( Affidavit of Wm Dodds )

Appt of }  
W Dodds }

" State of Illinois }  
Affusion County }

Before the Clerk of the Circuit Court personally came William Dodds who after being duly sworn according to law deposed and saith that in a conversation between Harvey J Paer and H. H. Edwards I heard said Paer say in conversation about the title to the lot that said Edwards says on that he the said Paer said that he saw & saw sign some notes or other papers and that it took him some half hour to sign the said notes or other papers and further this defendant saith not.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 16th day of May 1851.

W Dodds

John Milbanks Clk

( Endorsements )

" Francis H Edwards

By

H. J. Paer "

" Filed May 16<sup>th</sup> 1851.

John Milbanks Clk "



14 13 the Court - that this Cause be set down for hearing  
at the next term of this Court - with leave to take  
depositions.

And afterwards, to wit, at the May Term 1852,  
of the Supreme Circuit Court, and on the day of  
said month, being the day of said term, the following  
further proceeding have had and obtained of record.

By

Francis & Edwards

vs

Harvey J Pace

} Injunction

Ordered by the Court that  
the foregoing Cause stand continued  
until the next term of this Court.

Commission  
to Take  
Depositions

(Commission to take Deposition of William Allen)  
State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County } Set

The People of the State of Illinois To Writors  
A William Greeting.

Know ye, that we, in confidence  
of your prudence and fidelity, have appointed you  
and by these presents do give unto you full power  
and Authority, and do hereby authorize and require  
you that at a certain time and place, to be assign-  
ed and appointed by you, for that purpose,  
you do cause the Petrels, whose names is mentioned  
in the Caption of the enclosed interrogatories, as  
well on the part of the said Francis & Edwards  
Plaintiff, as on the part of Harvey J Pace Defendant,  
to come before you, and thus and thus diligently  
and faithfully examine him upon the said  
interrogatories, on his Corporal Oaths first taken,  
before you, both on the part of said Plaintiff and

14 Defendants, and none others; And that you take  
 such his examination, and cause the interrogatories  
 as they are propounded, together with the answers  
 of said witness thereto, to be reduced to writing, in the  
 order in which they shall be propounded and  
 answered, and when you shall have so taken them,  
 you shall cause the said witness, to sign his name  
 to the same, in its proper place, in your presence,  
 and thereupon you will annex at the foot thereof,  
 a Certificate subscribed by yourself, in which you  
 must state, that they were taken, sworn, and signed  
 by the deponent, and the time and place, when and  
 where the same were taken. After which you are  
 to send the said depositions together with this Commission  
 and the enclosed interrogatories carefully enclosed  
 and sealed up, to the Clerk of the Circuit Court, in  
 and for the County of Jefferson and State of Illinois,  
 with the names of the parties litigant endorsed  
 thereon. And this you shall in no wise omit.

(Seal)

Witness John Milbanks Clerk of our said  
 Court, and the Judicial Seal thereof,  
 this 26<sup>th</sup> day of March A.D. 1852.

John Milbanks Clerk  
 By J. P. Garner D.C.

(Notice)

Downing Baugh Sol for Francis H. Edwards.  
 Sir.

Notice

You will please take notice that on  
 the 26<sup>th</sup> day of March A.D. 1852, between the hours  
 of 10 O'clock A.M. and 4 O'clock P.M. of said day  
 I will attend before John Milbanks, Clerk of the Circuit  
 Court of Jefferson County at State of Illinois, at his office  
 in Intercourse, for the purpose of being out a Commission  
 or Dedimus Potestatem, directed to Frank A. Williams  
 a resident of Linn County and State of Iowa, directing

16 15 And empowering him as a Commissioner to take the deposition of William Allen who is also a resident of Linn County Iowa, upon the Subjoined interrogatories, to be read in evidence on the part of defendants, on the hearing of a certain cause now pending and undetermined in the said Circuit Court of Jefferson County Illinois aforesaid, on the Chancery side thereof, wherein the said Francis & Edwards is Complainant, and Harvey J. Paer is defendant, when and where you can attend & file cross interrogatories if you think proper to do.

H. J. Paer

per W. B. Lester & Wingate

Sol. for Deft.

( Endorsements on the above notices )

" Francis & Edwards }

vs

Harvey J. Paer "

} In Chancery.

" Notice & interrogatories to take deposition of William Allen "

" Executed by reading & delivering a copy of the within notice to Downing Baugh Esq. 15 day of March A.D. 1852. Serving 58. Return 10-60. John R. Satterfield Sheriff J. C. Ill. "

( Interrogatories )

Interrogatories to be put to William Allen a testator for the defendant in a certain bill in Chancery pending and undetermined in the Jefferson Circuit Court in the State of Illinois wherein Francis & Edwards is Complainant & Harvey J. Paer is defendant.

Interrogatory 1<sup>st</sup> Are you acquainted with the parties Complainant & defendant in this case. and if so, how long have you known them respectively.

Interrogatory 2<sup>nd</sup> State whether you purchased of Downing Baugh and took from him a bond for a title to Lot

17  
16 Number 26 on the town plat of the Town of Manhattan  
Jefferson County Illinois, or any part of said lot - If you  
and you do not recollect the number of said lot,  
please state which side of the public square said  
lot lay - and what kind of a house you left on said  
lot - or any other description that may identify said  
lot or part of the lot you so purchased.

Interrogatory 3<sup>rd</sup> State whether you ever sold said bonds for title from said  
Baugh - and if so, when, to whom, and for what price

Interrogatory 4<sup>th</sup> State whether you ever sold said title bonds to the  
Complainant Francis H Edwards - if so when did  
you so sell it and how much did he pay you for it.

Interrogatory 5<sup>th</sup> Please look upon the annexed Copy of a bond &  
Assignment thereof. marked as exhibit (A) and  
State whether it be a true Copy of the title bond  
from D Baugh to you - if not, whether it be or not  
a substantial Copy thereof

Interrogatory 6<sup>th</sup> State if you please whether you ever executed  
an Assignment of said title bonds, in the words  
and figures as marked upon the said Copy as  
exhibit A hereto annexed - to said Complainant  
Edwards - or any other Assignments thereof -  
And if so what did he pay you for it.

Interrogatory 7<sup>th</sup> If there be any other matter or thing of advantage  
to the defendant Paw - please state all you  
may know without further interrogatory.

R. H. Mingo & W. B. Scott for  
Defendants.

18  
17

Books Interrogatories to be propounded to said William Allen on behalf of said Complainant F. H. Edwards.

Q<sup>1</sup> Did you not endorse said Bond in Blank for the purpose of enabling one Stephen J. Hicks to sell said property for you? If so please state as near as you can recollect, the time you did so.

Q<sup>2</sup> Did you not write your name on the back of said Bond and authorize Stephen J. Hicks to fill up the Assignment to any person he might sell said lot for you? If so please state as near as you can recollect the time you did so.

(Endorsements)

"Francis H. Edwards

vs

Harey J. Pace

} "Sujunction"

"Books Interrogatories" Julia March 23<sup>d</sup> 1852,

John Wilbanks Clerk

By J. W. Tanner J.C.

(Copy of Title Bond)

Ex  
H. H.

Copy of  
Bond

In consideration of two several notes executed by William Allen to me bearing several hundredths, one for two hundred dollars, payable the 25<sup>th</sup> day of December 1838, and the other for three hundred dollars, payable the 25<sup>th</sup> day of December 1839, I hereby bind myself my heirs &c. to make to the said William Allen, on the said 25<sup>th</sup> day of December 1839, a good and lawful deed of conveyance to the following lot or parcel of ground, situate, lying and being in the town of Mount Vernon, County of Jefferson and State of Illinois, and bounded as

19

18

follows, to wit, beginning at the South West Corner of the  
 house sold to said Allen by me, on the East side of lot  
 No (26) twenty six, on Main Street, running thence North  
 to the Alley, thence East, to the North East Corner of said  
 lot, No. twenty six, thence South to the S.E. Corner of said  
 lot, thence West to the beginning, same Variation as  
 Lots in said Town. Provided however, that said obligation  
 is upon this express condition, that said Allen shall  
 pay or cause to be paid the above notes as they  
 respectively fall due and payable, and no other.

Witness my hand and seal this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April  
 1838. J. Baugh. Seal

(Assignment of Bond)

"For value received I assign the within Bond  
 Sept. 10<sup>th</sup> 1849.

Wm Allen "

(Certificate of Clerk)

State of Illinois }  
 Jefferson County } Jch

I, John Milbanks, Clerk of the  
 Circuit Court in and for the County and State aforesaid,  
 do hereby Certify that the within Bond, and Assign-  
 ments thereon, is a true and perfect Copy, as appears  
 of record in my Office in Book D, Pages 330-331.

Witness John Milbanks Clerk of the  
 aforesaid Court and the Judicial Seal  
 thereof this 13<sup>th</sup> day of March A.D. 1852.

John Milbanks Clerk  
 By J B Tanner D.C.

(Indorsement on Bond)

"A" Filed May 11<sup>th</sup> 1852. John Milbanks, Clerk.  
 By J B Tanner D.C.

(Deposition of William Allen)

In Conformity with a Commission directed to me  
 (Frederick A Williams of Clark Co. Iowa) Commissioner

Deposition of  
 Wm Allen

20 19 Appointed by the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Illinois,  
bearing date March 26<sup>th</sup> 1852, empowering and requiring  
me the said H. A. Williams to take the depositions of  
William Allen, a citizen of Sioux County Iowa, in  
conformity with said Commission Appointed Monday  
the 26<sup>th</sup> day of April A. D. 1852, at 9 O'clock A. M. of  
said place in the City of Cedar Rapids, State of  
Iowa, after administering an oath in due form  
of Law to said W<sup>m</sup> Allen, to answer the several  
interrogatories accompanying said Commission,  
proceed to take the deposition of the said W<sup>m</sup> Allen,  
as follows.

Interrogation 1<sup>st</sup> Are you acquainted with the parties Complainant &  
Defendant in this Case - And if so - how long have you  
known them respectively.

Answer. I have known Harney & Dea the Defendant, for some  
thirteen years. Francis H. Edwards I have no acquaint-  
ance with whatever.

Interrogation 2<sup>d</sup> State whether you purchased of Downing Beugh and took  
from him a bond for a title to Lot No 26, on the Town  
plot of the Town of Mt Vernon, Jefferson County Illinois,  
or any part of said lot, if yes - And you do not  
recollect the numbers of said Lot, please state  
which side of the public square said Lot lay -  
and what kind of a house you left on said Lot -  
or any other description that may identify  
said Lot, or part of the Lot you so purchased.

Ans. I purchased of Downing Beugh part of a lot  
in Mt Vernon Jefferson Co. Ill. lying on the  
north side of and fronting the public  
square. I left on the Lot a log house with  
-or boarder, having in front an Office and

porch, both of which had a Glass front: it also had a log stable on the back part. There was a Cistern dug on the Western line of said Lot, one half of which was in it.

Interrogatory 3<sup>d</sup>

State whether you ever sold said land for title from said Baugh, and if so, Whom, to whom and for what price.

Ans

I never sold said title land to any person whatever.

Interrogatory 4<sup>th</sup>

State whether you ever sold said title land to the Complainant Francis H Edwards, if so, Whom did you so sell it - and how much did he pay you for it.

Ans

I never did sell it to any one, neither did I ever receive any thing for it from any one.

Interrogatory 5<sup>th</sup>

Please look upon the annexed Copy of a Bond & Assignment thereof, marked as exhibit "A" and state whether it be a true Copy of the title Bond from D. Baugh to you - if not whether it be not a substantial Copy thereof.

Ans

As near as I can recollect it is a substantial Copy thereof.

Interrogatory 6<sup>th</sup>

State if you please whether you ever executed an Assignment of said title bond in the words and figures as marked upon the said Copy as exhibit "A" unto Annexed, to said Complainant Edwards - or any other Assignment thereof, if so, What did he pay you for it.



Ans 21

I never did. I wrote my name on the back of the title bonds - but there was no assignment made to any person.

Interrog 7<sup>th</sup>

If there be any other matter or thing of advantage to defendants Paces, Please state all you <sup>may</sup> know without further interrogatory.

Ans.

I never assigned said Bonds for a title for value received to any persons whatever. But merely gave the Bonds to Stephen G. Hicks (to do with it the best he could for my own and my heirs benefit) as an Attorney acting for me, and and it was so understood at the time.

F. M. Allen.

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this 26<sup>th</sup> day of April, Cedar Rapids, Iowa Co. Iowa, A.D. 1852

Frederick A. Willard

Commissioner.

Cross interrogatories propounded to said Willard Allen on behalf of said Complainant, G. A. Edwards.

Interrog 1<sup>st</sup>

Did you not endorse said Bonds in blank for the purpose of enabling one Stephen G. Hicks to sell said property for you. If so, state as near as you can recollect the time you did so.

Ans.

I did endorse in blank said Bonds for said purpose about three years ago this Spring, as near as I can recollect.

Inter 2<sup>nd</sup>

Did you not write your name on the back of said Bonds and authorize Stephen G. Hicks to file

up the Assignment to any person he might sell said lot to for you? If so, please state as near as you can recollect the time you done so.

Ans. I did, this Spring three years ago as near as I can recollect. Wm Allen

Sworn to and Subscribed before me this 26<sup>th</sup> day of April A.D. 1852. Cedar Rapids Iowa.

Frederick A. Williams, Commis.

(Endorsement)

"Filed May 11<sup>th</sup> 1852, John Wilbanks, Clerk

By J B Tanner S.C.

And afterwards, to wit, at the September Term 1852, of the Jefferson Circuit Court, and on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of said Term, being the day of said month, the following further proceedings were had and entered of record.

viz. Francis H. Edwards

vs

Harey J. Pace

} Motion to Dismiss

} Supjunction

On this day came the Complainant in this Cause, and moved the Court for leave to amend his bill, wherefore it is considered by the Court that said Complainant have leave to amend his bill upon condition that he pay all costs that have accrued up to this term.

And afterwards, to wit, at the May Term 1853, of the said Jefferson Circuit Court, on the 10<sup>th</sup> day thereof and the 19<sup>th</sup> day of said month, the following further proceedings were had in said case, and entered of record, viz.

Francis H. Edwards

vs

} Motion to Dismiss

Harvey T. Pae } Supplication.

This day came the Complainant by Nelson his Atty, Solicitor, and files his Amended bill herein. And also comes the Defendant by Mingo, his Solicitor, and files his Answer to said Amended bill. Wherefore again comes said Complainant by his said Solicitor, and files herein a replication to said Answer.

Verdict - Leave prima facie to Amended Bill upon payment of costs &c

( Amended Bill )

State of Illinois } ss.  
Jefferson County } Of the May Term of the Jefferson  
Circuit Court 1853.

Amended Bill

The Amended bill of Francis & Edwards Complainant in the original by him filed in the Chancery side of the Jefferson Circuit Court, against Harvey T. Pae Def<sup>t</sup> therein.

To the Hon<sup>ble</sup> J. S. Marshall, presiding Judge of the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, State of Illinois in Chancery sitting. Your Obedt<sup>ns</sup> Francis & Edwards, who are residents of LaSalle County in this State, Respectfully sheweth unto your Honor, that one William Allen formerly a resident of Jefferson County aforesaid, purchaser of one Darning Bough a part of a certain Town lot & Appurtenances in the Town of Mt. Vernon Jefferson County Illinois, on or about the 16<sup>th</sup> day of April A.D. 1838, for a certain valuable consideration, that is to say \$500. or thereabouts for which I<sup>d</sup>. sum of money he the I<sup>d</sup>. Allen executed his promissory note for the sum of \$300. or thereabouts more or less, being for part of the purchase money

I<sup>d</sup>. Town lot, which said note he the I<sup>d</sup>. Bough afterwards assigned & transferred to one Lewis M. Holcomb. who afterwards, to wit, at the September Term of the Jefferson Circuit Court 1840, obtained

24 a Judgment against him the S<sup>r</sup>. Allen for the Amount thereof, with Interest & Costs, making in all the sum of Three hundred & fifteen dollars, or thereabouts. That an Execution was afterwards issued against him the S<sup>r</sup>. Allen on S<sup>d</sup>. Judgment in favor of S<sup>r</sup>. Holcomb's debt, on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of October 1840 in favor of S<sup>r</sup>. Holcomb & against S<sup>r</sup>. William Allen, which S<sup>d</sup>. Execution was delivered to James Bowman who was then Sheriff of Jefferson County Illinois aforesaid, who levied upon the S<sup>d</sup>. Town lot within the lifetime of S<sup>d</sup>. Execution, but for some cause unknown did not sell the said property during the lifetime of S<sup>d</sup>. Execution, and the S<sup>d</sup>. Sheriff Bowman having gone out of Office another Execution was issued to his Successor in Office Elijah Piper upon said Judgment and for the Satisfaction thereof.

Your Orator further sheweth unto your Honor, that the S<sup>r</sup>. Blough when he sold the said Town lot to the S<sup>r</sup>. Allen did not make him the S<sup>r</sup>. Allen a general Warranty deed therefor, but only made him a bill for a title on payment of the purchase money of S<sup>d</sup>. lot. And that afterwards the S<sup>d</sup>. lot which is described & known as the East part of lot no. 26, situate in the town of Mt Vernon, say 27<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> feet front & the length of S<sup>d</sup>. lot back, was levied upon under an Execution or Writ of ~~sequestration~~ issued from the Jefferson Circuit Court in favor of the S<sup>r</sup>. Holcomb & against him the S<sup>r</sup>. Allen, as the property of him the S<sup>r</sup>. Allen, which S<sup>d</sup>. Execution which came to the hands of Elijah Piper, who was then Sheriff of Jefferson County aforesaid, all the right, title & interest of him the S<sup>r</sup>. Allen was on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of February 1849, after having been regularly advertised according to law, sold at public vendue at the Court house door in Mt Vernon aforesaid, for the Satisfaction of S<sup>d</sup>.

26  
25 Judgment, at which S<sup>r</sup> Dale Stephen G. Hicks & William  
Edwards, being the highest by bid bidder therefor,  
became the purchasers of the S<sup>d</sup> part of S<sup>d</sup> lot for the  
sum of fifty four dollars which was the full value  
or nearly so of the S<sup>d</sup> premises at the time as it was  
then situated & they the S<sup>r</sup> Hicks & Edwards did receive  
therefor a Certificate of purchase from S<sup>r</sup> Sheriff  
as prescribed by law.

Your Orator further sheweth  
unto your Honor that the S<sup>r</sup> Hicks & Edwards did  
afterwards for a valuable consideration transfer  
S<sup>d</sup> Certificate of purchase to your Orator on the 16<sup>th</sup> day  
of July 1850 & he the S<sup>r</sup> Hicks being also the agent and  
attorney of him the S<sup>r</sup> Allen and fully authorized as to  
do transfer & deliver the S<sup>d</sup> title bond to the S<sup>d</sup>  
part of Town Lot No. 26, to your Orator, and your Orator  
did afterwards to wit, on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of January  
A D 1857 obtain a deed from the Sheriff of S<sup>d</sup> County  
of Jefferson to S<sup>d</sup> property & took possession thereof  
by the authority & permission of the S<sup>r</sup> Stephen G. Hicks,  
he being the S<sup>r</sup> Allen's agent as aforesaid. And your  
Orator has remained in possession since & has put up improvements  
on S<sup>d</sup> lots worth of 200 & upwards.

That the S<sup>r</sup> Allen when he  
purchased the S<sup>d</sup> premises from the S<sup>r</sup> Baugh to reside  
upon the same & was well known to be the owner thereof  
up until the time he left Mt Vernon Jefferson Co. Ill  
which was about June 12 or 14 years ago, & the S<sup>d</sup>  
property was under the supervision and control  
of S<sup>r</sup> Hicks as agent of S<sup>r</sup> Allen until your Orator  
obtained S<sup>d</sup> Certificate of purchase from S<sup>r</sup> Hicks  
and Edwards as aforesaid.

That the S<sup>r</sup> Baugh after  
the sale thereof by him to S<sup>r</sup> Allen & the transfer of S<sup>d</sup>  
note to S<sup>r</sup> Hilcomb had no right & interest or claim

in or to the D<sup>d</sup> lot, but your Orator having requested S<sup>r</sup> Baugh to make to him a deed therefor according to the Constitution of the S<sup>d</sup> Bank, he your Orator having a perfect right to the said deed under and by virtue of his S<sup>d</sup> purchase at Sheriff Sale as afores<sup>d</sup>, he the S<sup>d</sup> Baugh declines he would not do so unless your Orator would give him off \$75, & your Orator not knowing any thing about said and relying upon the S<sup>d</sup> Baugh's opinion and his integrity in the premises, & supposing that he the S<sup>d</sup> Baugh was entitled to be paid him the S<sup>d</sup> Baugh, by taking up a promissory note due by the S<sup>d</sup> Baugh to the School Commissioners of Jefferson County for the amount. And he the S<sup>d</sup> Baugh did thereupon make your Orator a deed of conveyance to S<sup>d</sup> property part of lot No. 26, both of which said deeds are duly acknowledged by the S<sup>d</sup> Baugh & the S<sup>d</sup> Sheriff and are recorded as required by law.

The S<sup>d</sup> Harsey J<sup>d</sup> Pace, Defendant, recovers a Judgment against S<sup>d</sup> Baugh. After S<sup>d</sup> Baugh sold the S<sup>d</sup> property to the S<sup>d</sup> Allen and after the S<sup>d</sup> Baugh had sold the S<sup>d</sup> note to S<sup>d</sup> Holcomb, in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County and still afores<sup>d</sup>, which S<sup>d</sup> Judgment is unsatisfied, and the S<sup>d</sup> Harsey J<sup>d</sup> Pace has lately had an execution issued against S<sup>d</sup> Baugh in S<sup>d</sup> Court and is now endeavouring to sell and has actually levied upon 2<sup>d</sup> part of S<sup>d</sup> lot No. 2 is about to sell the same to satisfy the S<sup>d</sup> he holds against said Baugh, Well knowing that the S<sup>d</sup> Baugh had parted with all his equitable interest therein long before S<sup>d</sup> Pace obtained S<sup>d</sup> S<sup>d</sup> against S<sup>d</sup> Baugh, and also well knowing that the S<sup>d</sup> Baugh has not now, nor has at the time of the rendition of S<sup>d</sup> S<sup>d</sup> in his favor any interest whatever in the premises excepting that he has the legal title in him. & your Orator being without remedy does in this Honorable Court, prays the aid thereof, and that this Honorable Court may issue to his aid the writ of Injunction

to enjoin & restrain the D<sup>d</sup> Pace from selling the D<sup>d</sup> part of lot No. 26 and thus taking D<sup>d</sup> property against Equity and Conscience to satisfy D<sup>d</sup> Baugh's debts - he further prays, that the D<sup>d</sup> Hareay, J. Pace be made defendant to this Bill and answer the same upon oath - and that the people's writ of issue to his aid &c. requiring D<sup>d</sup> Pace to appear and answer this Bill & for such other and further relief in the premises as is just and Equitable he will sue pray as in duty bound &c.  
Attest for Compl.

State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County } This day personally appeared before me William Edwards, who is the Agent of Francis & Edwards Complainant in the foregoing Bill of Complaint and being by the duty sworn according to Law doth declare upon oath that to the best of his knowledge and belief the material allegations in the said Bill are true in substance and in fact.  
Wm Edwards.

Subscribed & sworn to before me this 19<sup>th</sup> day of May 1853.  
J S Marshall

( Answer to Amended Bill )

Answer to Hareay & Pace } In Honorsy.  
Amended Bill } vs } Yellow town of the Jefferson Circuit  
Francis & Edwards } Court A.D. 1853.

The Answer of said Hareay & Pace to the Amended bill of Complaint of said Francis & Edwards - This respondent having and reserving to himself all and all manner of exceptions, to the manifold errors, misstatements, inaccuracies, omissions and inadvertencies in the said Amended bill contained for answer thereto or to so much thereof as he is advised is material for him to answer unto, Answering, that; that true it is some time in the year 1838, the time not

particularly recollects, respondent has been since conversant  
 28 oration between the said Downing Baugh & Mr. Allen in relation  
 to selling the said premises, and said Allen says to the  
 respondent understood to be a note which he understood  
 from what was said by the said Baugh Allen was for  
 said premises, but for what amount said note was given  
 respondent does not know, and whether the said Baugh assigned  
 said note to Lewis & Hollenab, or whether the said Hollenab  
 ever obtained a judgment against said Allen for the same  
 amount, and upon 3<sup>d</sup> note, or whether execution was issued  
 in allaym this respondent of his own knowledge knows not  
 neither admits or denies but calls for the proof -

This respondent admits that said Baugh did not make and  
 execute to the said Allen a duplicate premises, but respondent  
 admits denies that he knows any thing about the terms and  
 conditions of the contract, or sale of any way made, except  
 that he has some recollection that the purchase money was  
 to be \$500, and respondent positively denies any and all  
 knowledge of said Baugh having executed to said Allen  
 a bond for title to said premises, but he long after respondent  
 obtained a judgment against the said Baugh  
 in herein after stated. This respondent further answering  
 states that of his own knowledge he knows nothing about  
 said premises being sold upon such bill as in Compts  
 bill allaym. Neither admits or denies but calls for  
 the proof. but this respondent most positively denies  
 that the said sum of fifty four dollars was the full  
 value or nearly so of said premises, on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of July  
 1857. This respondent states that he knows not whether  
 Wm Edwards, and Stephen J. Hicks, received a certificate  
 of purchase for said premises as in Compts bill allaym  
 neither admits or denies but calls for the proof.

This respondent further answering states that as to  
 whether the said Edwards & Hicks, for value received  
 transferred any certificate of purchase for said



premises to the said Court, as in said bill alleged, he does not know, neither admits or denies, but calls for the proof.

Respondent neither admits or denies that the said Stephen G. Hicks was the agent of the said Allen and fully authorized to transfer said supposed title Bonds, or that the said Hicks did transfer the same as in Courts bill alleged but calls for the proof.

Respondent neither admits or denies that the said Court obtained a decree from the Sheriff to said premises, as in Courts bill alleged but calls for the proof. Respondent admits that said Court did enter into possession & make improvements upon said premises, but he states and avers, that before said Court did enter into possession and make improvements and after the rendition of your respondents Judgment herein after mentioned, Respondent examined the the proper records and no title paper from said Sheriff to any person for said premises, had been filed or recorded, and this Respondent here states that at the time he obtained his Judgment there was nothing appearing from the proper records but that the title to said premises was in the said Baugh, and your Respondent charges such to be the fact that the title to said premises was in the said Baugh at the time of the rendition of his Respondents Judgment against said Baugh, and this Respondent states that he informed said Court that he had a Judgment against said Baugh, and that Respondent intended to make the money out of said premises, and notified Court not to make improvements upon said premises before he the said Court made any improvements thereon, and in this connection your Respondent states that his Judgment is a lien upon said premises, and that they are subject to sale for the satisfaction of the same notwithstanding all the statements and allegations in Courts amended bill contained. This Respondent

30 Neither admits or denies that said Hicks was the agent  
 of the said Allen in relation to said premises, or that said  
 Hicks put the L<sup>d</sup> Compt. into possession thereof, but calls  
 for the proof. This respondent further answering  
 admits that the said Allen some time in or about the  
 year 1838, occupied said premises for a short time but  
 by what authority respondent does not know, and that  
 after said Allen quit the possession of the said  
 premises and until and after the rendition of said Judgment  
 in favour of respondent and against the said Baugh,  
 said premises were frequently & for long intervals  
 vacant and unoccupied without the said Allen  
 or any one for him exercising or claiming to exercise  
 any acts of ownership over it, to the knowledge of this  
 respondent. Respondent would further state that said  
 Allen immediately on quitting the possession of the said  
 premises (which was to the best of this respondents rec-  
 ollection some time in the fall of the year 1838) left the said  
 County of Suffolk and has remained absent therefrom  
 from thence hitherto and has not to the knowledge of this  
 respondent ever at any time by himself, or any agent  
 claimer or exercised any acts of ownership over said  
 premises, but that the said premises at different times  
 and for long intervals remained vacant and unoccupied  
 and at other times was occupied by diverse persons  
 but by whose authority respondent does not know but  
 as he is informed and truly believes, without any authority  
 from him the said Allen or any person who was duty  
 by the said Allen. This respondent further answering  
 states, that he neither admits or denies that the said Compt.  
 requested said Baugh to make him a deed to said premises, as  
 in the said amended bill alleged, but calls for the proof.  
 And he sets up, charges and avers, that the said Compt.  
 was fully informed by the said Baugh of the true condition  
 of said premises, that the said Allen had no title thereto.

that the said Allen has not complied with the Condition of said bond, that he has no right to a deed from him the said Baugh on account of his pretended purchase of said premises at Sheriff's Sale. Respondent neither admits or denies that the said Baugh on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of September 1847 made to said Comptroller a deed to said premises as in & by Comptroller's bill alleges, but calls for the proof, and here avers & charges that the said Comptroller purchased the said premises of the said Baugh & paid him therefor the sum of seventy dollars as he is informed & verily believes, & is ready to verify & prove, & which purchase was long after your respondent had recovered his Judgment against said Baugh, and that the said Comptroller at the time of said purchase from said Baugh well knew or was presumed to know that your respondent's Judgment was a lien upon said premises, and this respondent further answering states that as he has been informed and verily believes, & here charges it as a fact that the said Allen was never paid the purchase money for said premises.

And it is, that this respondent did on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of August 1847. recover a Judgment against the said Baugh for the said sum of \$287.<sup>26</sup> with costs of suit, which said Judgment remains in full force and unsatisfied, said respondent further states that he caused execution to be issued upon said Judgment within a year of the rendition thereof, and no bond for a title to said premises from said Baugh to said Allen was filed or recorded until long afterwards, and this respondent denies that he ever had notice of the existence of such pretended bond, until long after the rendition of his said Judgment, nor did this respondent know in whom the title to said premises existed until long after the rendition of his said Judgment, as aforesaid.

This respondent further answering him sets up

Charger and Overt, that <sup>if</sup> the said Holcomb ever received  
 a Judgment against the said Allen as in said Amended  
 bill alleged, which he does not admit, that the same  
 at the time of the pretended issuance of said Execution  
 Thrown and pretended the record was in law presumed  
 to be true and satisfied and was not a lean upon  
 said premises in preference to this respondents' money  
 and by virtue of this respondents' Judgment, for the reason  
 for the reason as this respondents has been informed  
 and verily believe, no execution was ever issued  
 upon said pretended Judgment in favor of said  
 Holcomb and against said Allen, within one year  
 after the rendition thereof. And this respondents  
 here insists that his said Judgment is a lean upon  
 said premises & that they are subject to sale for the  
 satisfaction thereof, notwithstanding all the statements  
 & Allegations in said Amended bill contained ~~without~~  
 that, that there is any other matter or thing material  
 or necessary for this respondents to make answer  
 unto, and that herein or hereby well & sufficiently  
 answered unto; Confessed or avoided, traversed or  
 denied is true all which he is ready to verify & prove  
 in this Honorable Court shall order and direct, and  
 having fully answered he prays that the injunction  
 herein granted may be dissolved & dismissed and  
 he herein dismissed with his reasonable costs & he  
 will ever pray as in duty bound he.

R. H. Mangote, Sol. for Deft.

State of Illinois }  
 Jefferson County } Deft.

This Affiant, the Deft. in said bill  
 after being first duly sworn deposes & says that the  
 matters & things as set forth in the foregoing answer, so  
 far as stated from his own knowledge are true in  
 substance and fact, and so far as stated from

the information of others he believes to be true  
 Subscribed & sworn to }  
 before me this 19<sup>th</sup> day of } H. J. Pace.  
 May A.D. 1853. }  
 J. B. Tanner Clerk }

(Endorsement on ~~an~~ Answer to Amended bill)

"Francis H. Edwards

vs

Harvey J. Pace "

"Answer to Amended bill."

"Filed September 24. 1853.

J. B. Tanner Clerk

Replication:

Replecoteum Francis Edwards

vs

Harvey J. Pace

} in Chy

And the S<sup>d</sup> Compt. Court says  
 that matters and things in Compt. Vills are true  
 and the matters and things in ~~the~~ Respondents  
 answers are untrue & this in the P. Compt is ready  
 to verify when st. Helms for Compt.

And afterwards, to wit, at the last adjourned  
 term of the said Jefferson Circuit Court, and on the  
 day and date aforesaid, the following further  
 proceedings were had in said case, and entered  
 of record, to wit:

Francis H. Edwards

vs

Harvey J. Pace

} Motion to dissolve  
 } Injunction

Ordered by the Court  
 that this cause be set down for hearing at the  
 next term of this Court.

And afterwards, to wit, on the 20<sup>th</sup> of September 1853,  
the Court, by Nelson his Sol. filed the following repli-  
cation, viz.

Francis H Edwards }  
vs } Bill in Chancery.  
Harvey J Pace }

Repliation And the P.<sup>r</sup> Court by Nelson his Solicitor comes for  
repliation says that the matters and things in Court  
will be true and that the ~~relative~~ defendant, Allison  
is untrue & that he is ready to deny - when ~~it~~ he has  
wherefore he the P.<sup>r</sup> Court prays that Nelson for Court.

(Indorsement & replication)

" Edwards

vs

Pace

Repliation "

" Filed Sept. 20<sup>th</sup> 1853. Y B Yarrum Clerk "

And afterwards, to wit, at the September Term  
1853, of the Jefferson Circuit Court, the following  
further proceedings were had in said Cause,  
and inter alia of record.

viz.

Francis H Edwards }  
vs } Motion to dissolve  
Harvey J. Pace } Injunction

On this day came Complainant  
by Haugh, his Solicitor, and the Defendant by  
Wingate, his Solicitor, and submit this Cause,  
to the Court, upon Bill, Answer, Exhibits, Documents,  
and proofs by Depositions and Testimony taken in  
Open Court.

And afterwards to wit, at the May term  
A.D. 1854, of the Supreme Circuit Court  
aforesaid, the following further proceedings  
were had and entered of record.

Viz.

Francis H. Edwards Motion to dissolve  
vs } Injunction  
Harvey T. Pace }

On this day comes the Complai-  
nant by Nelson his Solicitor, and as  
well the Defendant by Wingate his Solicitor  
and the Cause coming on to be heard upon bill,  
Answers, Exhibits, Documents and proofs,  
by Depositions, and by Witnesses sworn in  
Open Court as submitted and established  
at the last term of this Court; and the  
~~Court~~ <sup>Court</sup> well considered the premises and  
being sufficiently advised therein, proceeds  
to decree as follows to wit. It is ordered  
adjudged and decreed by the Court,  
that the Injunction herein be and the  
same is hereby dissolved and that the  
Bill be dismissed at the Court  
of the Complainant

Same }  
vs } Motion  
Same }

And on this day again the Complain-  
ant by his said Solicitor, and prays an Appeal,  
Wherefore it is ordered and considered by the Court  
that said prayer be allowed, provided the said  
Complainant shall give bond, with Wm. Edwards

37

as his security within thirty days, concluded  
as the law directs, in the sum of \$200



35

And afterwards, to wit, at the May Term A.D. 1854, of the aforesaid Jefferson Circuit Court, the following further proceedings were had and return of record.

viz.

W. H. Edwards	} May Term 1854, Jefferson Circuit Court.
vs	
H. J. Parr	

Bill of Exception

Be it remembered that on the hearing of the above styled Cause the Complainant introduced in evidence the papers and files pertaining to a suit theretofore commenced & determined in the Jefferson Circuit Court by Serwis M. Holcomb as Assignee of Downing Baugh against William Allen in Debt. Also a promissory note made by William Allen in favour of Downing Baugh dated April 1838 and assigned by Baugh to Holcomb on the 5th day of May 1838 - Also a Judgment in favour of said Holcomb as Assignee of Downing Baugh against William Allen, rendered September term of Jefferson Circuit Court 1840, which said Documentary Evidence was received and considered in evidence by the Court and is marked and referred to as Exhibit No 1 - (Document exhibit No 1)

(Declaration)

Declaration	} State of Illinois	} Serwis M. Holcomb, Complainant of William Allen, summoned &c, of a plea of debt that he return to the said plaintiff the sum of \$300. which to him he owes and from him unjustly detains
Holcomb		
by		
Allen		

For that whereas the said deft, heretofore, to wit,  
 36 on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of April 1838, at Mount Vernon,  
 within the said County of Jefferson, by his certain  
 writing obligatory, sealed with his seal & now here  
 to the Court Shown, the said deft. in the day  
 & year last aforesaid, promised to pay, on or before the  
 the 25<sup>th</sup> day of December A.D. 1839, for value received,  
 to our Downing Baugh, or order the said sum of  
 three hundred Dollars, above demurred,  
 And the said Downing Baugh, to whom, or to  
 whose order, the said writing obligatory was  
 made payable, afterwards, to wit, on the 5<sup>th</sup>  
 day of May, 1838, at Mt Vernon, Ills, to wit, at  
 the County aforesaid assigned the said writing  
 obligatory, by endorsement, to the said plff, ack-  
 nowledging the said note to be just, and guar-  
 anteeing the payment thereof, in connection  
 with his said assignment, of all of which premises  
 the said deft. then & there has notice. Yet the  
 said deft. did not, on or before the 25<sup>th</sup> day of  
 Dec. 1839, pay the said sum of money in  
 writing obligatory specified, to the said Downing  
 Baugh, before said assignment, or to the said  
 plff since the said assignment. But to pay the  
 same or any part thereof to the said plff, since  
 the said assignment, the said deft. hath hitherto  
 wholly failed & refused and still doth fail &  
 refuse, to the damage of the said plff of \$300.00  
 and therefore he sues, &c.

H. Eddy. Atto. for plff.

(Copy of note)

Note  
 \$300. On or before the twenty-fifth day of  
 December 1839, for value rec<sup>d</sup>, I promise to pay  
 Downing Baugh or order three hundred Dollars,

37 Witness my hand & Seal this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April 1838.

(Signed) William Allen Seal

H. J. Pace.

(Indorsement)

I acknowledge the within note Just, and do assign the same to L. M. Holcomb, and guarantee the payment of the same. McAlmon Illinois 6<sup>th</sup> May 1838. (Signed) D. Baugh.

(Cost bond)

Lewis M Holcomb

vs

William Allen

I do hereby enter myself security for costs in this suit and acknowledge myself bound to pay or cause to be paid all costs which may accrue in this action either to the opposite party or to any of the Officers of this Court, in pursuance of the laws of this State.

Dated this 25<sup>th</sup> day of Feb. 1840.

(Signed) H. Eddy. Seal

(Indorsements & Declaration, Cost bond & Note)

"Lewis M Holcomb

vs

William Allen,

"Declaration, Cost-bond, & note"

"Note filed"

"Filed 28<sup>th</sup> Feb. 1840. J. Pace Clk"

(Summons)

Summ,

State of Illinois, }  
Jefferson County } vs.

The People of the State of Illinois  
To the Sheriff of Wayne County, Greeting.

We Command you that you summon William Allen

to be and appear before the Circuit Court of said County, on the first day of the next term, to be holden at Mt Vernon on the first Monday in the month of April next, to answer Lewis W Holcomb in a plea of Debt \$300 - Damages & costs And hear you then & there this writ.

Witness: the Clerk of said Court, at Mt Vernon  
This 28<sup>th</sup> day of February in the year of our Lord  
One thousand eight hundred and forty.

Joel Pace Clerk.

(Endorsements on Summons)

" Lewis W Holcomb }  
" }  
" William Allen }  
1<sup>st</sup> day April Term 1840."

" Executed on the 30<sup>th</sup> March 1840.

Serving	50
Returning	<u>12<sup>th</sup></u>
	62 <sup>th</sup> .

Charles Wood

Sheriff W. C. Ills.

(Note)

\$300.  
On or before the twenty fifth day of December 1839, for value rec<sup>d</sup> I promise to pay Downing Vaughn or order three hundred dollars,  
Witness my hand and seal this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April 1838. (Signed) William Allen (Seal)  
H. J. Dan.

(Endorsements on Note)

" I acknowledge the within Note Just and do assign the same to L. W. Holcomb and guarantee the payment of the same. Mt Vernon Illinois. 5<sup>th</sup> May 1838. (Signed) D. Vaughn

"W. Allen note of \$300"

"Filed 28 Feb 1840.

I Pass etto"

And afterwards, to wit, at the April Term 1840, of the said Jefferson Circuit Court, the following proceedings were had and return of record.

By

Servis M Holcomb

vs

William Allen

} In Debt.

It appearing to the Court that the summons herein was not served in time. Order that the same be continued until the next term of this Court.

And afterwards, to wit, at the September Term, 1840, of the aforesaid Jefferson Circuit Court, the following further proceedings were had and return of record.

By

Servis M Holcomb

vs

William Allen

} In Debt.

And now on this day came the Plaintiff by Eddy his attorney, and the said William Allen altho' three times solemnly called came not, but made default. It is therefore considered by the Court, that the said plaintiff recover against the said defendant his debt, and damages for the detention of the same, but in as much as it is uncertain what those damages are, therefore let the Clerk assess the same -

Judgment  
Holcomb  
vs  
Allen

forth with reports in writing; that he finds the debt due for to be \$300. and the damages, being interest due upon the same, to be \$13.65. It is therefore considered by the Court, that the said plaintiff do recover against the said Defendant his said debt of Three hundred Dollars, and the said sum of thirteen Dollars and sixty five Cents, his damages for the detention thereof, as above assessed, making in all the sum of Three hundred and thirteen Dollars and sixty five Cents; as also his costs and charges, by him the said plaintiff, about his suit in this behalf expended, and the said Defendant in Mercy &c.

The Court next introduced in evidence the following Executions in favor of the J. P. Lewis Mc Holcomb Assignee of Downing Baugle against J. William Allen, that is to say; One Execution dated October 3<sup>rd</sup> 1840 - Return thereon endorsed dated as follows - the 25<sup>th</sup> January 1841. The Sale by virtue of the above Execution appears to have been made on the Dec: 19<sup>th</sup> 1840, but had not time to sell -

Also a Conditional Express fi. fa. dated 1<sup>st</sup> March 1841 and levied April 28<sup>th</sup> 1841 on James Lot by James Bowman Sheriff of Jefferson County & Return endorsed thereon as follows "Appraised and not sold for want of bidders." Also an Execution dated May 9<sup>th</sup> 1842, and came to hand since Aug. - Also an Execution dated 12<sup>th</sup> September 1842, levied October 7<sup>th</sup> 1842, on said Lot by Stephenson Sheriff of Jefferson County. Return November 1<sup>st</sup> 1842, levied & not sold for want of bidders &c. - Also another writ of Execution dated 28<sup>th</sup> August 1845 returned no sale for want of bidders - Also an Execution dated 27<sup>th</sup>

November 1848, return February 16<sup>th</sup> 1849, property sold to Stephen G Hicks & William Edwards for \$54, by Elizabeth Piper Shuff - Said Shuff having received said Execution 14<sup>th</sup> December 1848.

All of which said Executions were procured to have been issued from the Circuit Court of Jefferson County in favor of S<sup>r</sup> Lewis Mc Holcomb Assignee of Downing Baugh & against William Allen on Judgment in favor of S<sup>r</sup> Holcomb as Assignee of S<sup>r</sup> Baugh against S<sup>r</sup> Allen for the sum of \$31<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> & 65 Cents debt with interest from 28<sup>th</sup> September 1840. - And it was further proven by Compt. that all of the above Executions were regularly docketed in the Execution docket belonging to the Office of the Circuit Clerk of Jefferson County. - Which said Executions & Entries on Execution docket are marked and referred to as Exhibit No 2. (See incost Exhibit No 2)

( Exhibit No 2 )

Exhibit  
No 2.  
Execution.  
Holcomb  
vs  
Allen  
3<sup>rd</sup> Oct. 40.

State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County Ct } to the Sheriff of said County, Greeting.

We command you that of the goods and chattels, lands, tenements & real estate of William Allen, of your bailiwick, you cause to be made, by levy & sale agreeably to law, the sum of three hundred and thirteen dollars & forty five cents, which Lewis Mc Holcomb lately in our Circuit Court for said County recovered against him for debt & damages in an action of debt, and also the sum of seven dollars & 3/4 cents, for his costs and charges by him the S<sup>r</sup> plff, about his suit in that behalf expended (with interest on the said debt, from the 28<sup>th</sup> day of Sept 1840 till paid) of which

42 the said William Allen is Committed, as appears to us of record and that you have those moneys before us, at the Clerk's Office of our said Court thirty days from the date hereof to render to the said Jeff. And have you then these this writ.

Witness our said Court, at  
 (Seal) and the Judicial Seal thereof, at  
 Mt Vernon, this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of Oct. 1840.

Just Pace Clerk

(Entry on Execution Docket)

Date	Parties	Debt	Costs	Process	County	Officer	By whom	To whom	Date of	Return
							Order	Delivered	Return	
1840										
Oct. 3 <sup>rd</sup>	Sevier M Holcomb vs William Allen	Debt of \$13.65 with interest at the rate of six percent from 25 <sup>th</sup> Sept. 1840 until paid	7.37	fi fa	Jefferson	Shff	Eddy	Bowman	1840 Jan 25	Sevier on East part of Lot 26. 27 1/2 feet front the length of S. part of Lot 26 in Mt Vernon County Dec 29 <sup>th</sup> 1840 Sevier on East part of Lot 26 not time to sell Jan 25 1841

(Enclosures on Execution)

" Came to hand Oct 3<sup>rd</sup> 1840, at 12 O'clock P.M.

J Bowman Shff. J.C."

" Sevier on the East part of Lot No 26 - 27 1/2 feet front  
 & the length of S. part of Lot No 26. back. S. part lying in the  
 Town of Mt Vernon, Dec 29<sup>th</sup> 1840.

J. Bowman Shff. J.C."

" Sevier not time to sell, Jan 25<sup>th</sup> 1841.

J. Bowman Shff. J.C."

Veri At Test

Holcomb }  
 vs }  
 Allen }  
 State of Illinois }  
 Jefferson County } ss. The People of the State of Illinois to the  
 said County Meeting. Whereas by virtue of our writ of



43  
 Jurafacis, We hereby Command you that of the goods  
 and Chattels, Lands, and tenements of William Allen - in  
 your County you shall cause to be made the sum of  
 three hundred and fifteen dollars and sixty five Cents,  
 which Lewis Mc Holcomb lately in the Circuit Court  
 of said County recovered against him for Debt and  
 seven dollars and thirty seven and a half Cents  
 Costs as by the records and proceedings of the said  
 Court in that behalf appears, And you having  
 made return on that writ that you have Lewis the  
 same on the following described real estate the  
 property of the said William Allen, to wit: "The  
 East part of lot No 20 say 2 1/2 feet front and the length  
 of said lot No 20 back, said lot lying in the Town of  
 Mount Vernon" which said real estate remains in  
 your hands, unless (for want of time, We therefore  
 Command you to sell or cause to be sold the said  
 real estate above described, the property of the said  
 William Allen by you in form aforesaid taken, with-  
 out delay, and have that money ready in ninety days  
 from the date hereof to render to the said Lewis  
 Mc Holcomb for his Debt and Costs aforesaid, being  
 full met and make return of this writ in the said  
 space of ninety days with an endorsement thereon  
 as to the manner in which you execute the same,  
 and have then this writ. Make also the  
 Costs of this execution.

Witness Joel Paer Clerk of our said Court at  
 Mount Vernon this 1<sup>st</sup> day of March A.D. 1844  
 Joel Paer clk

( Endorsements on the above Execution )

" Came to hand March 3<sup>rd</sup> 1844, at 2 o'clock P.M.  
 J. Brownen Shff. J. C.

117  
44

"<sup>24</sup> Survey on lot No 26, in the Town of Mt Vernon, say  
27½ feet front and the length of 2<sup>d</sup> lot No 26 back.  
April 28<sup>th</sup> 1841. J Bowman Sheriff J. C."

" The western part of lot No 26. Offered for sale  
May 21<sup>st</sup> 1841, at two thirds of its value  
of \$211.10½ cts. since would not see for the  
want of bidders. J. Bowman, Sheriff J. C."

" Lewis M. Holcomb  
vs

William Allen

Execution expmas.

Rec<sup>d</sup> Sept. 3. 1845

Surveying 50

Advertising 25

Returning 12½

May 21<sup>st</sup> 1841.

J Bowman Sheriff J. C."

(Copy of paper attached to execution)

State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County }

We the undersigned,

Appointed and qualified by the  
Sheriff said County to value  
the property, to wit, so much  
of lot No 26 as the execution  
appears to be levied on; do  
appraise the same to be worth  
the sum of three hundred and  
sixteen dollars & 66 cents.

Given under our  
hands this 21<sup>st</sup> day

45 of May 1841 -

Wash Schuster  
A M Grant  
E B Huggins

Ex.  
Holecomb  
by  
Allen

State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County } Let. The People of the State of  
Illinois, to the Sheriff of said County - Greeting:

We command you that of the goods and chattels, lands, tenements and real estate of William Allen in your County, you cause to be made by levy and sale thereof, agreeably to law, the sum of three thousand & fifteen dollars and 65 cents, which Lewis M Holecomb lately, in our Circuit Court for said County, recovered against him for his debt and damages, in an action of debt together with interest thereon, at the rate of 6 per centum per annum, from the 28<sup>th</sup> day of Sept 1840 till paid; and also the sum of nine (\$9) dollars and 50 cents, which were awarded to the said Lewis M Holecomb in our same Court, for his costs and charges, which he laid out and expended in and about his suit in that behalf, and to him of the said William Allen convicted, as appears to us of record: and have you the said moneys before us, at the Clerk's Office of our said Court, no longer

49  
46  
Cenon, ninety days from the date hereof, to render  
unto the said plaintiff in this execution, his debts,  
damages and costs aforesaid, and have you show  
and there this writ.

Witness Edward H. Ridgeway, Clerk of our  
said Court, and the Judicial Seal thereof,  
at Office, in Mount Cenon, this 9<sup>th</sup> day  
of May A. D. 1841.

E. H. Ridgeway Clerk

( Endorsements on Execution )

" Sereis M. Holcomb  
vs } *Si Ha*  
William Allen }  
Dets 313. 65  
Cost 9. 50 "

" Dets Contracta previous to 12<sup>th</sup> May 1841 "No 2"

" Came to hand May 9<sup>th</sup> 1842 at 12 O'clock  
Jas Bowman Shff. J. C.

( Entries applicable to the above Execution,  
as found on the Execution Docket )

" No 2, "Sereis M. Holcomb. vs William Allen" - Dets "313. 65"  
- Cost of 9. 50 - Kind of writ. "Si Ha" - County District - "Effusion"  
- by John Adams - "Edity" - to who advised - "Bowman" of  
May 1842 - Return of Execution & Remarks - "12<sup>th</sup> Sept  
1842. Execution handed into Office"

Est. 47

Holcomb

vs

Allen

State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County, } Sct.

The People of the State of Illinois, to the Sheriff  
of said County - Greeting.

We Command you, that of  
the goods and chattels, lands, tenements and  
real estate of William Allen in your County,  
you cause to be made, by levy and sale thereof,  
agreeably to law, the sum of three hundred  
and thirteen dollars and sixty-five cents,  
which Lewis M. Holcomb lately, ~~in an~~  
~~action of debt~~ ~~together~~ in our Circuit Court  
for said County, recovered against him for  
his debt and damages, in an action of debt,  
together with interest thereon, at the rate  
of 6 per centum per annum, from the  
28<sup>th</sup> day of September 1840 till paid: And  
also the sum of twelve dollars and 43<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>  
cents, which were awarded to the said Lewis  
M. Holcomb in our same Court, for his costs  
and charges, which he laid out and expensed  
in and about his suit in that behalf, and  
whereof the said William Allen is convicted,  
as appears to us of record: And have you  
the said moneys before us, at the Clerk's  
Office of our said Court, in Mount Vernon,  
within days from the date hereof, to render  
with the said plaintiff in this execution,  
his debt, damages and costs aforesaid,  
and have you then thus this writ.

Witness Edwina H. Prayson, Clerk of our  
said Court, and the Judicial Seal  
thereof, at Office, in Mount-  
Vernon, this 12<sup>th</sup> day of

September A.D. 1842.

E. H. Kinsley Clerk  
By N. Johnston J. C.

(Endorsement on the above Execution)

"Came to hand at 1. O'clock P.M. Sept. 15. 1842.  
W. J. Stephenson Sheriff J. C.""Seized on a part of lot No. 26, commencing  
at the South East Corner running West 18 feet,  
and running back 169. Said lot or part of  
lot being and lying in the town of McHenry  
Jefferson County Illinois, October 7<sup>th</sup> 1842.  
W. J. Stephenson Sheriff J. C.""State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County }  
We the undersigned, selected and sworn  
by the Sheriff of Jefferson County to appraise  
that part of lot No. 26, above described,  
do value the same at three hundred dollars,  
given under our hands on both the 7<sup>th</sup>  
of October 1842. Noah Johnston  
James Dusen  
A. V. Watson."Sworn to before me this 7<sup>th</sup> day of October  
1842. W. J. Stephenson Sheriff of Jefferson County.""Not sold for the want of bidders  
November 1<sup>st</sup> 1842, and no other property  
found."Selling 50. Advertising 25. Returning 12 $\frac{1}{2}$ . \$ - 87 $\frac{1}{2}$   
W. J. Stephenson Sheriff J. C."

5249

" Susie M Holcomb

vs

William Allen

fifa

debt —

\$313.65

Cost

12.43 3/4

Interest from 28<sup>th</sup> of Sept. A.D. 1840 "

" the debt — was p<sup>ly</sup> not wore "

Entries, which Apply to the above Executions,

(as found upon the Execution Docket)

	1842	Parties	debt	Cost	Price of Co. M <sup>ts</sup>	By who	to who	Return to
		Susie M Holcomb	\$313.65			John	Amos	1 <sup>st</sup> Nov 1842 - fifa
Sept. 12.		vs	debt from 28 <sup>th</sup> Sept. A.D. 1840	\$12.43 3/4	fifa	John	Amos	Return endorsed as follows to wit:
Nov. 10.		William Allen						Service on part of Act No. 26, in Holcomb vs Allen & property not sold for want of buyers - fees 8 <sup>cts</sup> W.S. Stephenson Sheriff. S. C.

Ex.

State of Illinois

Holcomb

Jefferson County

vs. The People of the

vs

State of Illinois to the Sheriff of said County.

Allen

Greeting, Whereas by virtue of our writ of fieri facias see lately commanded you that of the goods and chattels lands and tenements of William Allen in your County you should make cause to be made the sum of three hundred and thirteen dollars and sixty five cents, which Susie M. Holcomb in the Circuit Court of said County recovered against him for his debt, and seven dollars and thirty seven 1/2 cents costs, as by the records and proceedings of said Court in that behalf appears, and return having been made in said writ, that levy had been made on the following described property, to wit, "the east

part of Lot No 26, say 27<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> feet front, and the length of said lot no 26 back, said lot lying in the town of Mount Vernon. Which said real estate remains unsold, and said debt and cost unsatisfied.

We therefore command you as we have heretofore commanded you that you sell or cause to be sold, according to law the said real estate above described the property of the said William Allen by you as in form of process taken, to satisfy the said Lewis M Holcomb of his said debt of Ten hundred and thirty two dollars and sixty five cents, reckoned as aforesaid together with interest thereon at the rate of six per centum per annum from the 28<sup>th</sup> day of September A D 1840 until paid: Also the sum of nine dollars and twenty five cents increased costs by the said Holcomb expended as aforesaid. And that you have said moneys <sup>ready</sup> at the Clerk's Office of our said Court, ninety days from the date hereof to render unto the said Plaintiff in this execution his debt & cost aforesaid, & how you execute this writ make return, & have you then there this writ.

Witness Edward H Ridgway Clerk of our said Court & the seal thereof at  
 Mt Vernon this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of August 1845  
 E. H. Ridgway Clk  
 W. B. Smith J. C.

(Enclosures on above execution)  
 "Came to hand at 5 o'clock P.M. September  
 3<sup>rd</sup> 1845.

W. J. Stephenson Shff. J. C. "



" No Sale for the of hidders, December  
 19<sup>th</sup> 1845. Advertising 25  
 Returning 12<sup>1/2</sup>  
 W. J. Stephenson  
 Shff. S. C. "

" 443.

Severis M. Holcomb }  
 by } Alias Bonds  
 William Allen

Debt \$313. 65  
 Cost 9. 75

Int. fr. 28<sup>th</sup> Sept. 1840 "

( Entries, Applicable to the Above Execution, )  
 as found on the Execution Docket

No.	Parties	Debt	Cost	Time of Mat	Locality	Date	Who owned	Who deliv'd	Return
443	Severis M. Holcomb by William Allen	313. 65	\$9. 75		Stephenson	August 23, 1845	Severis	Stephenson	No Sale for want of hidders. Dec. 19. 1845. Adv. 2 27 - 37 <sup>1/2</sup> W. J. Stephenson Shff.

52

Ex. No 571

Holcomb

vs

Allen

State of Illinois

Jefferson County

The People of the State of Illinois  
to the Sheriff of said County, Greeting:

Whereas by Virtue of our writ of fieri facias we lately  
Commanded you that of the goods and Chattels lands  
and tenements of William Allen, in your County,  
you should cause to be made the sum of three  
hundred & thirty seven dollars and sixty five cents  
which Lewis M Holcomb lately in the Circuit Court  
of said County recovered against him for his debt,  
and seven dollars  $37\frac{1}{2}$  per for his cost as by the  
record and proceedings of said Court appears; And  
return having been made on said writ, that levy  
had been made on the following described property  
to wit; " The East part of lot N<sup>o</sup> 26 Day 2<sup>nd</sup> front  
and the length of said lot N<sup>o</sup> 26 back, said lot  
lying in the Town of Mount Vernon, County and State  
aforesaid, which said real estate remains unsold  
and said debt & cost unsatisfied.

We therefore Command

you as we have heretofore Commanded you, that you  
sell or cause to be sold according to law the said  
real estate above described the property of the  
said William Allen by you or in your name taken  
to satisfy the said Lewis M Holcomb of his said debt  
of three hundred and thirty seven and sixty five cents,  
recovered as aforesaid together with interest thereon  
at the rate of six per centum per Annum from the  
28<sup>th</sup> day of September A D 1840, until paid, and  
also the sum of ten dollars  $93\frac{1}{2}$  per original  
and increased cost by the said Lewis M Holcomb  
expended in this behalf. And that you  
never said money ready at our Clerk's Office

in thirty days from the date hereof to cause unto the said plaintiff in execution his debt and costs aforesaid, & how you execute this writ make return as the law directs.

Witness Edward H Ridgeway Clerk of our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Mt Vernon this 27<sup>th</sup> day of November A D 1848. E H Ridgeway Clerk  
Jr. W. H. Wells J. C.

( Endorsements on above Execution )  
" No. 571. "

" Lewis M Holcomb }  
" } Pleas in Equity  
William Allen }  
Debt \$313. 65  
Cost 14. 50<sup>1/4</sup>  
Dated from 28<sup>th</sup> Sept. 1840. "

" Came to hand the 14<sup>th</sup> day of December 1848,  
By virtue of this Pleas in Equity I have levied on the following described real estate, to wit.  
The East part of Lot Number 26 say 27<sup>1/2</sup> front and the length of said lot N. 26 back, taken as the property of William Allen and in favor of Lewis M Holcomb, January 29<sup>th</sup> 1849.  
E. Piper Shff. J. C. J. C. "

" February the 16<sup>th</sup> 1849, sold the above described Land at the door of the Court House in Jefferson County to S. G. Hitts & William Edwards for the sum of fifty four dollars, they being the highest and the last bidders at the sale

57

under the Order of the Court in Execution, the said Sols

574

having been duly advertised according to Law.

Elijah Piper Shff. J. C. "

"Sweeping and advertising February the 16<sup>th</sup> 1849 - 75."

"Credits by sale of Land to J. E. Hicks \$574.00."

"Commission on Sols - 270

Making Certificate 50

Sweeping & advertising 10.93

Clubs fee 14.88

Sherriff Allen

1.12

Docket fee

2.50

18.50

E Piper Shff. J. C. "

"Receipts of E Piper Thirty five Dollars & 50 cents on this execution, 9<sup>th</sup> day of December A D 1852. R H Wingate Atty for plffs. "

( Entries, Applicable to this execution, as found on Execution Docket )

11

571

Parties	Debt	Cost	Writ	County	Date	By Who	To Who
Servis M Holcomb	debt	Cost			date		
by	\$313.65		Writ	Wendi	1848		
William Allen	Ind. fr	\$144.56		Shff.	Nov. 27	Eddy Shff.	
	28. Sep.						
	1840						

By Order of this  
Wendi, I have laid  
on the following divided  
Real Estate, to wit,  
the East part of  
lot N. 26, by 2 1/2  
front and the  
lengths of said lot  
to 26 back, taken  
as the property of  
William Allen and  
in favour of Servis  
M Holcomb.  
January 27<sup>th</sup> 1849.  
E Piper Shff. J. C. "

one copy, further introduced in volume one

55  
58

Certificate of Elijah Piper, Sheriff of Jefferson County -  
Also the Deed made by the Sheriff to William Edwards,  
the Crupt - which said Certificate and the  
Assignment there enclosed are marked &  
referred to as Exhibit N<sup>o</sup> 3.

(Certificate of Sheriff Piper, to S. G. Hicks & William  
Edwards.)

Sheriff's  
Certificate  
to Hicks &  
Edwards

" State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County } S. T.

I, Elijah Piper, Sheriff of  
Jefferson County do hereby Certify that by Virtue of a  
Writ of fieri facias to me directed from the Clerk's Office  
of the Circuit Court of Jefferson County Illinois, I did  
on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of February A. D. 1849, expose to publick  
Sale a Certain House and Lot situated lying and being  
in the County of Jefferson and State aforesaid, and known  
and described as follows to wit, The East part of Lot  
N<sup>o</sup> 26 say 2 1/2 front and the length of said Lot N<sup>o</sup> 26  
Back with all the Improvements thereunto belonging,  
and that Stephen G Hicks & William Edwards did  
bid the sum of fifty four dollars therefor which being  
the highest and best bid for the same lot and house  
was struck off to them the said Stephen G Hicks &  
William Edwards, and that the said Stephen G Hicks  
and William Edwards will be entitled to a deed  
on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of July A. D. 1850 unless the same  
be redeemed according to law.

Given under my hand and seal the 16<sup>th</sup> day of  
February A. D. 1849. Elijah Piper, Sheriff, J. Co. "

(Enclosures on the above Certificate)

" Certificate to S. G. Hicks & William Edwards,  
made the 16<sup>th</sup> of February 1849."

" For Value rec<sup>d</sup>, we Assign the within to  
S. H. Edwards this Sept. 1<sup>st</sup> 1849.

S. G. Hicks

Wm Edwards "

( Dear Sheriff, Satisfaction, to Compt<sup>r</sup> )

Whereas Lewis W. Holcomb at the September term  
One thousand eight hundred and forty, of the Jefferson  
County Circuit Court in the State of Illinois, did recover  
a Judgment against William Allen in an action of  
debt, for the sum of three hundred dollars and sixty five  
cents debt, and fourteen dollars and fifty six and fourths  
cents cost which he laid out in and about his service  
out, upon which Judgment, an execution issued from  
the Clerk's office of the said Circuit Court, on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day  
of October, One thousand eight hundred and forty, to James  
Horsman Sheriff, which said execution was returned and  
in follows, to wit. Service on East part of lot No. 26, say 27<sup>th</sup>  
feet front and the length of said lot No. 26 in Mount  
Union back. Dec. 29<sup>th</sup> 1840. Also returned Service but  
not time to sell January 25<sup>th</sup> 1841.

And whereas another  
Execution was issued from the Clerk's office of said Circuit  
Court on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of November One thousand  
eight hundred and forty-eight directed to Elyah  
Piper Sheriff of said Jefferson County and State of  
Illinois to execute, and by virtue of the said Execution,  
the said Elyah Piper, Sheriff as aforesaid, lies  
upon the following parcel of ground or Town lot

657

herein after described, and the same being advertised according to law, was sold and struck off to Stephen G Hicks and William Edwards, they being the highest and best bidders therefor, and the time and place being duly advertised according to law, and the said Stephen G Hicks and William Edwards having received from Elijah Piper, Sheriff as aforesaid, a Certificate of purchase entitling them to a deed to the premises hereinafter described, on the sixteenth day of July one thousand eight hundred and fifty, and the said Stephen G Hicks and William Edwards having duly assigned the said Certificate of purchase to Francis H Edwards.

Now therefore I, John R Sattugfull, Sheriff of said County of Jefferson, and Successor of Elijah Piper aforesaid, by Authority of my said Office, and in consideration of the sum of fifty-four dollars, to the said Elijah Piper, Sheriff as aforesaid, in hand paid, and in consideration of the premises, have granted, bargained, sold and conveyed, & do hereby sell and convey unto Francis H Edwards of Jefferson County and State of Illinois and to his heirs and assigns forever the following described piece of land known and described as the East part of Lot N: 26. say 27<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> feet front, and the length of said lot N: 26 back, with all the improvements thereon belonging or in anywise appertaining thereto.

In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 20<sup>th</sup> day of January

A D 1857. John R Sattugfull  
Sheriff of  
Jefferson County  
Illinois

( Endorsement: on the foregoing Deeds )

" Filed for records on the 20<sup>th</sup> day of January A.D. 1857.  
Book "E" Page 185. John Melbanks, Clerk "

" State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County } Be it remembered, that on this  
20<sup>th</sup> day of January, A.D. one thousand eight hun-  
dra and fifty one personally appeared before me the  
undersigned Clerk of the Circuit Court within and  
for said County and State, John R. Satterfield Sheriff  
of said County and State personally known to me  
to be the identical person, represented in, and who  
executed the foregoing deed and who acknowledged  
the same to be his free act and deed for the  
purposes therein mentioned.

In testimony whereof I have here-  
unto set my hand and affixed  
the Seal of my said Office this the  
20<sup>th</sup> day of January, A.D. 1857.  
John Melbanks, Clerk "

Elizah Popier bear then introduced as a witness  
on the part of the Crupt, who states that he was the  
Sheriff of Jefferson County the 16<sup>th</sup> day of January  
1849 & that he as such Sheriff sold the property  
described in Crupts bill to Stephen S. Hicks &  
William Edwards and made them a Certificate  
of purchase therefor - that said Certificate was  
assigned by the S. Hicks & Edwards to Crupt,  
and that Riley Satterfield afterwards made  
a deed to the Crupt, for the same property  
which is the same Certificate of purchase



Downing Baugh was next introduced as a witness on the part of the Compt, who stated after examining the Bond given by D. Baugh to D. Allen & Note given by D. Allen to D. Baugh, that D. Note is the same that Allen gave to him, that H. S. Pace is a witness to the D. Note - that Allen came here from Fairfield and purchased the D. Lot - that he soon after moved into it - that D. Allen made some improvements thereon - that said Allen lived on the D. property nearly a year - that he then moved from that place - that D. Allen's occupation of D. Lot was public, open and notorious - that D. Allen lived on the Public Square in Mt Vernon, some few rods from Pace's residence - that D. Allen was a practicing Physician - and as such had a good deal of practice - and kept his Shop in the house on said Lot. That said Downing Baugh made a Deed to said Lot to the Compt, on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of September 1849 - that D. deed was filed for record on the next day. - That said Downing Baugh made said Deed to said H. S. Pace as Compt, as assignee of the Bond made by him D. Baugh to him D. Allen - that D. Baugh did not remember whether he took up D. Bond or not. That D. Edwards the Compt paid to him the D. Baugh \$70. when the D. Baugh executed the D. Deed to the D. Compt. - That the D. Baugh assigned the \$200 Note to H. S. Pace at the same time that the D. Baugh assigned the \$200 note to him the D. H. S. Pace, for value received by him the D. Baugh of him the D. H. S. Pace - that the D. Allen has never been in possession of D. Lot since he moved away therefrom.

That since said Allen's removal therefore several persons have been in possession of said Lot - to wit - Hicks - Thomas - Mrs Beach - George Pattis, Clemons, Gray & Beach and Monroe - that none of s<sup>d</sup> last mentioned Occupiers of s<sup>d</sup> Lot have possession thereof by any Authority given by s<sup>d</sup> Baugh - that s<sup>d</sup> Baugh has never set up any claim or right to control s<sup>d</sup> Lot other than he has made no deed to s<sup>d</sup> property to any person - that the legal title to s<sup>d</sup> Lot was vested in s<sup>d</sup> Baugh, as s<sup>d</sup> Baugh supposed told said Compt. - that s<sup>d</sup> Baugh refused to make s<sup>d</sup> Edwards a deed to s<sup>d</sup> Lot except he the s<sup>d</sup> Edwards would pay him the s<sup>d</sup> Baugh something in consideration of s<sup>d</sup> Baugh's perfecting Edwards title to s<sup>d</sup> Lot, & told Edwards his title was not good without his Baugh's deed, but that s<sup>d</sup> Baugh had no equitable interest whatever in the premises

John H Pace was then introduced as a witness on the part of the Compt. who states that he supposes that he was the first person who told s<sup>d</sup> Pace that s<sup>d</sup> Edwards had paid s<sup>d</sup> Baugh \$70 when the s<sup>d</sup> Edwards got the deed to s<sup>d</sup> Lot from s<sup>d</sup> Baugh. That s<sup>d</sup> H Pace asked s<sup>d</sup> what s<sup>d</sup> Edwards gave \$70 to s<sup>d</sup> Baugh for - that s<sup>d</sup> John H Pace replied that he the s<sup>d</sup> Edwards might get s<sup>d</sup> Baugh's quit claim to s<sup>d</sup> Lot - that s<sup>d</sup> H. S. Pace then said that s<sup>d</sup> Baugh had no interest in s<sup>d</sup> Lot - for if he the s<sup>d</sup> Baugh had had any interest therein he the s<sup>d</sup> H. S. Pace would have pounced it long ago - that the foregoing conversation occurred in September

64 1849 - And that D<sup>r</sup> Lot was the same property in dispute  
& described in Compt<sup>s</sup> Bill.

S. G. Hicks was then introduced as a witness on  
the part of the Compt<sup>s</sup>. who states that the bond  
was assigned by William Allen to him the S. G. Hicks,  
on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of September 1849 and handed to him.  
That H. H. Edwards paid the amount bid at Sheriff's  
Sale of D<sup>r</sup> Lot held by the Sheriff of Jefferson County by  
the S. Hicks & W. Edwards - to them the S. Hicks & Edwards  
& that thereupon the S. Hicks & Edwards assigned the  
Certificate of purchase given by the Sheriff of Jefferson  
County to them the S. Hicks & Edwards to him the S.  
H. H. Edwards Compt<sup>s</sup>. - That S. Allen was indebted  
to S. Hicks for his trouble in attending to S. Allen's business  
and that when the D. Hicks informed S. Allen that the  
S. Hicks & Edwards had purchased D<sup>r</sup> Lot at S. Sheriff's  
Sale - he the S. Allen assigned said bond to him the  
S. Hicks. That S. Hicks was S. Allen's agent up to  
the time D<sup>r</sup> Lot was sold by S. Sheriff - That all the  
tenants who had occupied D<sup>r</sup> Lot before S. Sheriff's  
Sale got possession thereof from S. Hicks as S. Allen's  
agent. That the improvements on D<sup>r</sup> Lot were very  
much dilapidated at the time it was sold by S.  
Sheriff - and that \$54 was a high price for D<sup>r</sup> Lot  
at the time it was sold by S. Sheriff. That S.  
Hicks assigned the D<sup>r</sup> Bond before assigned by S. Allen  
to him the S. H. H. Edwards Compt<sup>s</sup> for a valuable  
consideration. - That S. Hicks thinks that he received  
a little rent from some of the tenants who occupied  
D<sup>r</sup> Lot but that the amount was very small.  
The S. S. G. Hicks having been examined  
on the part of the Defendant states that the

65 House on D. Lot was a log pen without a chimney —  
floor — roof &c in fact scarcely constituting a house  
at the time that said Edward Compt. purchased  
the same of him the D. Hicks & D. <sup>Edwards</sup> — the chimney  
cost about \$30 to put it up.

William Thom was then introduced as a  
witness on the part of the D. Compt. and stated that  
he knew that Wm Allen had lived in the house on  
D. Lot — that he lived there about a year — that  
D. House was not more than one hundred yards  
from H. Y. Paces residence — that D. Allen made  
a glass front to D. House & erected a stable on  
D. Lot since that D. Allen kept a medical shop  
in D. House — that it was a matter of common  
repute that D. Bungle had sold D. Lot to D. Allen  
— D. Thom thinks in 1837. That D. Lot was afterwards  
sold at the Court House door by the Sheriff of  
Jefferson County — and that S. G. Hicks & Wm  
Edwards were the purchasers thereof —  
that the time D. Hicks & Edwards purchased  
D. Lot the buildings there were in a very  
dilapidated condition — and therefore D.  
Thom thinks that \$54 was as much as D. Lot  
was worth at the time.

Mr Moss was then introduced as a  
witness on the part of the Compt. and stated  
that he was a House Carpenter — that he  
examined certain repairs made upon

66 a house situated on Lot 2 which were pointed out to him by old man Edwards - that he estimated the repairs to be worth \$124 without including the Chimney.

J R Satterfield was then introduced and examined as a witness on the part of the Compt. and stated that he was Sheriff of Jefferson on the day of 18 - that the Certificate of purchase to Lot 26. made by J. Elijah Piper to J. G. Hicks & W. Edwards was taken from the files of the Circuit Clerk's Office of Jefferson County and that J. Piper who was Sheriff of Jefferson County at the time of the purchase of the aforesaid Lot by Hicks & Edwards - filed the said Certificate of purchase in proper time in the Office of the Circuit Clerk of Jefferson County aforesaid & that when the deed became due by virtue of said Certificate of purchase he the J. R. Satterfield was Sheriff of Jefferson County - & was such on the J. R. Certificate being presented to him. made a deed to J. R. property to Francis H. Edwards as assignee of J. G. Hicks & W. Edwards.

The Compt. before closing his case introduced a certain paper writing purporting to be a ~~title~~ <sup>title</sup> Bond made by Downing Baugh to William Allen to the property in dispute - which J. R. title Bond is the Bond refused by Witness Downing

67 Baugh & Stephen G. Hicks in their testimony & was proven by S. Baugh to be the same bond which he Baugh executed to William Allen for the property in dispute & that it was the same bond that was assigned by W. Allen to S. Stephen G. Hicks - and furthermore that S. Bond was the same bond referred to by S. G. Hicks in his testimony as having been assigned to him S. Hicks by S. W. Allen. And that upon S. bond having been delivered up to him S. Baugh by S. Edwards Compt. he S. Baugh made S. Edwards a deed to S. property - The Compt. also introduced in testimony before closing his case a deed made by S. Baugh to S. G. Edwards Compt. to the property in dispute which S. Little Bond is marked & referred to as Exhibit No 4

(Copy of Bond above referred to by S. G. Hicks)  
(and Downing Baugh)

Little Bond  
Baugh  
to Allen

"In consideration of two several notes executed by William Allen to me bearing even date herewith one for two hundred dollars payable the 25<sup>th</sup> day of December 1838 and the other for three hundred dollars payable the 25<sup>th</sup> day of December 1839. I hereby bind myself my heirs &c. to make to the said William Allen on the said 25<sup>th</sup> day of December 1839. a good and lawful deed of conveyance to the following lot or parcel of ground situated lying and being in the town of Mt Vernon County of Jefferson and

State of Illinois and Township as follows  
to wit. beginning at the South West  
68 Corner of the house sold to said Allen  
by me on the East side of lot No (26)  
Twenty Six on Main Street, running thence  
North to the Alley, thence East to the N. E.  
Corner of said lot No: Twenty Six, thence  
South to the S. E. Corner of said lot, thence  
West to the beginning. Same variations as  
lots in said town.

Provided however that  
this obligation is upon the express condition  
that said Allen shall pay or cause to be  
paid the above notes as they respectively  
fall due and payable, and on no  
other.

Witness my hand and seal this  
16<sup>th</sup> day of April 1838.

J. Baugh Seal

( Endorsements on said Bonds )

" For value received I assign the within  
Bond. Sept. 10<sup>th</sup> 1849. W<sup>m</sup> Allen "

" Baugh's Bond "

" Filed for record Sept. 24<sup>th</sup> 1849.

Jail Pass Record "

" State of Illinois } and hereby certify the within bond was  
Jefferson County } on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of Sept. 1849, recorded  
in Book "D" pages 330 & 331, at the Recorder's  
Office in Mt. Vernon. Jail Pass Record.

"Giles March 8<sup>th</sup> 1852. John Milbourn, Clerk."

69

(Ann said Deed is marked & referred to)  
as Exhibit No. 5.

Exhibit No 5.  
Deed.  
Bought  
To  
Edwards.

"Whereas on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of April A.D. 1838  
I sold to William Allen a certain lot or  
parcel of ground situated in McDonough  
County of Jefferson and State of Illinois  
designated as follows to wit beginning  
at the South West corner of a house  
sold by me to said Allen by me on the  
East side of lot No. twenty six on main  
street running thence north to the Alley  
thence East to the S. E. corner of said  
lot No. 26 thence South to the S. E. corner  
of said lot No. 26 thence West to the  
beginning. Same variations as lots in  
said town. And whereas said  
Allen has assigned the bond I gave  
him for a title, dated the day and  
year aforesaid, to Francis H. Edwards

And therefore this indenture made and  
entered into this 24<sup>th</sup> day of September A.D.  
1849 by and between Downing Bough and  
Sophronia his wife of the County of Jefferson  
and State of Illinois of the first part and  
Francis H. Edwards of the County & State  
aforesaid of the other part witnesseth  
that the said party of the first part for  
and in consideration of the sum of  
five hundred dollars to him paid



by the said William Allen, and for and in consideration of the Assignment of said bond by said Allen to Francis & Edwards hath this day sold and conveyed and by these presents doth sell and convey unto him the said Francis & Edwards, his heirs and assigns forever the following described lot or parcel of ground situated lying and being in the said town of Mt Vernon County of Jefferson and State of Illinois to wit, beginning at the South East Corner of lot No. twenty-six on Main Street running thence West twenty-two feet or to a lot of ground now owned by William B Thom, thence North to the Alley thence East to the North East Corner of said lot No. 26, thence South to the beginning to have and to have the above described premises unto him the said Francis & Edwards and his heirs and assigns forever as a good and indefeasible estate in fee simple.

In witness whereof we the said parties of the first part have hereunto set our hands and seals this day and year last above written.

D. Raugh      *(Seal)*  
 S. Raugh      *(Seal)*

In presence of  
 Joel F. Watson  
 A. H. Taylor

State of Illinois }  
 Jefferson County }  
 Before me Joel F. Watson, Clerk

Acknowledged  
 -gements of  
 Deeds  
 [8524-36]

71  
68

of the County Commissioners Court in and  
 for said County, personally appeared D'Beugh  
 & Sophronia Beugh Harmon to me to be the  
 the persons described in said who executed  
 the above deed, and acknowledged that  
 they had signed sealed & delivered said  
 deed freely and voluntarily for the  
 uses and purposes therein contained.  
 And the said Sophronia Beugh being  
 by me made acquainted with the  
 contents of said deed and examined  
 separate & apart from her husband ack-  
 nowledged that she had signed the  
 same and relinquished her dower  
 in D.<sup>d</sup> premises freely & voluntarily and  
 without compulsion of her said  
 husband.

Given under my hand and the seal  
 of said Court on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of Sept-  
 ember 1849.

J. H. Watson Clk

(Endorsements on said Deed)

" Beugh

"

" Edwards "

" Julia given on Sept. 25<sup>th</sup> 1849. Jail Doc Records "

" State of Illinois } I hereby certify that the said  
 Jefferson County } of Commerce was on the 25<sup>th</sup>  
 day of September 1849. Recorded in Book "D" Page  
 331 & 332, at the Recorder's Office in Mt Vernon.

" Rec. Recording 84 "  
 Certified  $\frac{25}{109}$  "

Jail Doc Records "

" Julia May 16<sup>th</sup> 1857. John McCumbly, Clerk "

I do hereby certify that all the documentary & oral testimony & exhibits stated & referred to in the 9 foregoing pages were offered & considered in evidence by the Court, in the Cause wherein Francis H. Edwards was Compt. & Harvey J. Paer was Deft. in Chancery on a final hearing of said Cause at May Term Jefferson Circuit Court A. D. 1854. # S. S. Marshall.

# Note on margin. " Several of the papers referred to above as executions were Venditio. &c. Cuius non fi. fas as heirs appear by reference to the Copies of the papers themselves."

Defendant then introduced his Judgment on the records of the Jefferson Circuit Court in his favor and against Downing Baugh and on August 16<sup>th</sup> 1847 for \$289.90.

Judgment }  
vs } Debt  
H. J. Paer }  
D. Baugh }

On this day came the parties into Court and by Consent Judgment is ordered by the Court and Clerk ordered to assess & report the Debt and Damages and that P<sup>r</sup>. plaintiff have execution against P<sup>r</sup>. Defendant for the amt. of P<sup>r</sup>. debt & Damages as herein ass<sup>d</sup>.

viz. Debt & Damages \$289.90.  
together with costs therefor "

Deft then introduced fi. fa. No issued

73  
In Sa  
H. J. Pace  
vs  
D. Baugh

On said Judgment dated 31<sup>st</sup> August 1847, which  
was returned levied on horse saddled bridle &c.

"State of Illinois }  
Jefferson County } vs.

The People of the State  
of Illinois, To the Sheriff of said County, Greeting.

We Command you that of the goods & Chattels,  
lands and tenements of Downing Baugh in your  
County, you cause to be made the sum of two hundred  
& eighty nine & 9/100 which H. J. Pace on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of  
August before the Circuit Court of Jefferson County,  
recovered against him for an action of debt. Also  
the sum of three dollars and 50<sup>th</sup> cents, which was  
by the said Court adjudged to him for his costs  
and charges by him about said suit in that behalf  
expended, to the said Downing Baugh is convicted,  
as appears to us of record; and that you have said  
money ready at the office of the Clerk of said Court  
within ninety days from the date hereof, to render  
unto the said H. J. Pace together with the costs  
and charges aforesaid. And have you then thus  
this writ. Return: E. H. Ridgeway Clerk of said  
Court, who has hereunto set his name

(Seal)

and affixed the Seal thereof, at M<sup>o</sup>W<sup>o</sup>  
-erran this 31<sup>st</sup> day of August A. D.  
1847. E. H. Ridgeway Clerk  
per W. W. Bennett D. C.

(Enclosure to on the above Execution) s<sup>h</sup> s<sup>t</sup> s<sup>c</sup>  
"Came to hand the 2 day of September 1847"  
"By virtue of this fi-fa I have levied on one  
Roan horse saddle and bridle taken as the  
property of Downing Baugh this 27<sup>th</sup> of November  
1847. E. Piper Sheriff

" 526 "

" H. S. Pace vs D. Baugh " fi-fa "

" Debt — — \$289-90

Costs 3.56 1/4

Shrieffs 62 1/2

Swearing 50

Returning \$1 12 1/2 "

" Returned by order of the plaintiff

H S Pace exp. this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of

November 1847. E. Piper Shff "

Def. then introduced in evidence Executions

No. Dated Day 12<sup>th</sup> 1849.

No 586.

fi-fa  
Pace  
vs  
Baugh

State of Illinois vs Jefferson County The People of the State of Illinois to the Sheriff of said County - Greeting.

We command you that of the goods chattels lands tenements and real estate of Downing Baugh in your County you cause to be made by levy and sale thereof according to law, the sum of two hundred and eighty nine dollars and ninety cents which Harvey S Pace lately in our Circuit Court for the said County recovered against him debt and damages in an action of debt together with interest thereon at the rate of six per cent per annum from the 18<sup>th</sup> day of August 1847, till paid, and also the sum of five Dollars and 8 1/4 Cents which have awarded to the said Harvey S. Pace in our said Court, for his costs and charges, which he laid out and expensed in and about

75 his suit in that behalf, and to the said Downing  
 Baugh is convicted as appears to us of records, and  
 have you the said moneys before us at Clerk's Office  
 of said Court in full within ninety days from the  
 date hereof, to render unto the said plaintiff  
 in this execution debt, damages and costs afore-  
 said; and have you thus and thus this writ.

Attest John Milbank, Clerk of our said  
 Court and the Judicial Seat thereof  
 (Seal) At Mount Vernon this 12<sup>th</sup> day of January  
 A. D. 1849. John Milbank, Clerk  
 for Lewis F. Casey J. C.

(Endorsements on above execution)

" 586 "

" Harvey S. Paer

vs  $\frac{3}{4}$  fifa

Downing Baugh "

" Debt \$289.90

Cost 5.81 $\frac{1}{2}$  "

" Came to hand the 12<sup>th</sup> day of January 1849, at 12 o'clock P. M. "

" By virtue of this execution I have levied on one  
 horse taken as the property of D. Baugh, in favor  
 of H. S. Paer, January 14<sup>th</sup> 1849.

Elijah Piper Shff, J. C. Ill "

" This the 8<sup>th</sup> day of February 1849,

been by sale of one horse \$29.12 $\frac{1}{2}$

Expenses & advertising 75

Commission on Sale 1.45

Returning 12 $\frac{1}{2}$

Keeping horse 2 day 35

E Piper Shff "

" As a the property found to say on

E Piper Shff "

Defendant then introduced Execution No. , dated Jan'y 13<sup>th</sup> 1857 which bears on the lot in dispute.

No 620.  
Execution.  
H. J. Pace  
vs  
D. Raugh

" State of Illinois } The People of the State of  
Jefferson County } Illinois to the Sheriff of  
Jefferson County - Greeting.

In compliance you are directed of the goods and chattels lands and tenements and real estate of Downing Raugh in your County you cause to be made by law and sale thereof according to law, the sum of two hundred eighty nine dollars and thirty cents which Harvey J. Pace lately in our Circuit Court for said County recovered against him for his debt and damages in an action of debt together with interest thereon at the rate of 6 per centum per annum from the day of 16<sup>th</sup> August 1847 till paid and also the sum of        dollars and 80 cents which were awarded to the said Harvey J. Pace in our said Court, for his cost and charges which he laid out and expended in and about said Court in that behalf and whereby the said Downing Raugh stands convicted as appears to us of record; and have you the said moneys before us at the Clerk's Office of our said Court in not less than ninety days from the date hereof, to render unto the said Plaintiff in this execution, debt, damages and costs of record, and have you then there this writ.

Witness John M. Beards Clerk of our said Court and the

Lead

Received Seal Chain of Mt. Vernon,  
this 18<sup>th</sup> day of January A.D. 1851.  
John M. Brooks, Clerk  
By Chas. Preston D.C.

(Encumbrances on above Execution)

"No. 620"

"Harvey T. Pace

vs E. J. G. G.

Downing Bench "

" Debt \$289.96

Cost 4 31 "

"July 9<sup>th</sup> 1848. Received

\$28.59. "

" March 10<sup>th</sup> 1849

Recd. \$21.00 "

" Came to hand January 13<sup>th</sup> 1851. at 1. O'clock P.M.  
John R. Satterfilla Sheriff. D.C. Ill. "

" February 14<sup>th</sup> A.D. 1851. Leases the within execution  
on the East part of Lot Number 26. in Mt. Vernon,  
North Side of Public square, fronting on Morris  
Street 22 feet & extending back 160 feet the  
entire length of said lot No. 26, according  
to the original Survey of said Town of  
Mt. Vernon. J. R. Satterfilla Sheriff. D.C. Ill. "

" To Sale in consequence of the proceedings  
being stayed by a writ of Injunction  
being served on the Sheriff this 8<sup>th</sup> day  
of March A. D. 1851.

John R. Satterfilla Sheriff  
J. C. Ill. "

" Leasing — 50 cents  
advertising — 25  
returning — 10  
85

J. R. Satterfilla Sheriff. D.C. Ill. "



78

All of which is Certified and Ordered to be  
preserved & filed as a part of the record  
herein.

S. S. Marshall Secy.

( Endorsements on Bill of Excitement )

" J. A. Edwards "

"

H. J. Pacer "

" Evenden "

" Filed August 31<sup>st</sup> 1854.

" J. B. Tanner, Clerk "

Pace  
vs  
Edwards

## Appeal Bond.

Know all men by these Presents that we, Francis H. Edwards, Principal, and William Edwards, security are held and firmly bound unto Harvey J. Pace in the penal sum of two Hundred dollars, lawful money of the United States, for the payment whereof to him, the said Harvey J. Pace, we, the said Francis Edwards and William Edwards do bind ourselves, our heirs, executors, and administrators firmly, jointly, and severally by these Presents. Witness our hands and seals, this 7<sup>th</sup> day of June, A. D. 1854.

The condition of the foregoing Bond is such, that whereas, the abovenamed Harvey J. Pace did, at the last May term of the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, State of Illinois, obtain a decree against the above bounden Francis Edwards in a cause heretofore pending in said Circuit Court, on the Chancery side thereof, for costs of suit; from which Decree of said Circuit Court, the said Francis has appealed to the Supreme Court of 1<sup>st</sup> Grand Division of this State of Illinois aforesaid. Now, therefore if the above bounden Francis Edwards shall <sup>not</sup> well and truly pay and discharge whatever judgment may be obtained against him;

the said Francis, for costs, interest, or damages,  
 in case the said Decree shall be affirmed, and  
 shall also <sup>not</sup> well and truly prosecute said appeal  
 without delay, then the above obligation shall  
 be in full force - otherwise, the same shall  
 be null and void

Francis H. Edwards, *Seal*  
 William Edwards, *Seal*

By R. S. Nelson, their agent  
 and Attorney in fact.

(Filed Aug 31<sup>st</sup> 1837. J. B. Lanner, *clerk*)

State of Illinois }  
 Jefferson County }

I John S. Bogam, Clerk of the Circuit Court  
 of Jefferson County and State of Illinois, do hereby Certify  
 that the foregoing Eighty five pages contains a true  
 and perfect Copy of the Records & Files of the proceedings  
 of the Circuit Court of Jefferson County Illinois, in  
 the Case of Francis H. Edwards vs Harvey J. Pae,  
 in Chancery. As the same appears in my  
 Office.

In Testimony Whereof I have hereunto  
 subscribed my Name and affixed the  
 Seal of said Court this 13<sup>th</sup> day of  
 November A D 1857.

John S. Bogam, Clerk.

Edwards

vs  
Pace

That

} additional heir of points referred,  
which on by plaintiff in or  
appellant.

1<sup>st</sup> - Baugh was only a trustee for Allen  
the vendee - & the property in dispute  
could never have been liable to be  
sold under a Judgment & execution  
as Baugh unless indeed it is alleged  
& proven that Baugh's sale to Allen  
was colorable only or fraudulent -  
& fraud can not be presumed unless  
charged & proven - Manly vs Hunt  
1 Ohio 257 121. Statutes of Ohio page 467  
Lockman vs Hallack 10 Ohio R 318  
Douglas vs Huston 6<sup>th</sup> Ohio Reports, 156  
8<sup>th</sup> Blackford 139-140

2 An Equitable Estate in possession can be sold  
under execution 20 Ohio Reports 546  
601 Scott vs Douglas 238 7 Ohio Reports

3 Baugh had a right to convey directly  
to Edward as holder of equitable title  
1<sup>st</sup> Ohio R 122

4 Brough never could convey the the legal estate, but the holder of the legal title - which he did in this case. his charging the holder of equitable title can not impair the rights of Edward he could have compelled Brough to convey to him & if Brough charged him for doing without suit what he could have been sued to - it cannot affect Edward's title - 567 20 Ohio Re - Lawrence

5<sup>th</sup> Lien for purchase money is superior to all others  
226 Ohio Reports 3 Vol

6<sup>th</sup> Irregularities in the execution cannot be allowed to defeat Edward's title especially in favor of Brough's several creditors - 20 Lawrence 566 Ohio Re

Nelson's plea in error

7 Whether a Trust its validity & extent are matters ~~purely~~ purely legal & can not be aided in Equity  
6 Ohio 156 - 3 Ohio 514 - 2 Ohio 21 -  
7 Ohio Reports 227 - 5 Ohio 178  
Nelson's plea in error

Edwards appellant

Van appellee

In the supreme Court  
Principle of priority & authentication which is  
by appellants' Chestnut vs Munn 12 Ill 173  
Judgment held to be a lien until earned  
the ~~same~~ irregular - and erroneous

4<sup>th</sup> Scammon 371 & Equitable Estate of Debtor Case  
sold under such Sect 20-566 Ohio Reports

2<sup>nd</sup> Here the returns on the execution show  
all the diligences necessary - the  
execution was irregular in some  
respects & perfected by a sale. So  
lapse of time could not affect the  
rights of appellants - 1<sup>st</sup> Scammon  
Bristol vs Morrison p 235 & in  
the Case Little vs Long was made  
see 20 Ohio 566

3 Purchaser at Judicial Sale not bound  
to go back further than the Judgment  
when the facts appear necessary to confer  
Jurisdiction - Buckmaster vs Carlin  
Scammon 110 - 566 20 Ohio Reports & Sect  
a lien on Equitable Estate of Debtor 566, 601 20 Ohio  
Reports.

4 All Judgments and decrees are to be taken  
subject to such changes as the Law Making  
power may adopt for the purpose of  
enforcing them Williams vs Caldwell  
3 Scammon 268 by the statute in force  
when the Judgment is a lien, but an  
dead beneficial interest could not  
be sold under execution, see at Com.

Law - but the Statute of 1845 changed  
the Law & a Judgment is not a  
Contract - it 268 12th R.C. 1845

- 5 Notice is implied when a Judgment  
creates a lien - as to all subsequent  
purchasers & Incumbrancers Rogers &  
Dicky 636 1<sup>st</sup> Gilman
- 6 Lien rendition of Jt & appearance of  
lien creates a lien ~~in~~ *the* ~~case~~ *of* ~~the~~ *estate*  
see 110 2 Gilman
- 7 an Execution levied pursuant to the  
Judgment in life as to the property  
levied on - <sup>the case is</sup> Gilman 50 <sup>Missin</sup>  
Lalor <sup>is different from the case in the Court</sup> <sup>U.S. Dig</sup>  
349 § 780 it 354 § 887
- 8 The purchase with notice of Holcom's  
Judgment & had actual & constructive  
and was not therefore a bona fide  
purchase Robinson is shown 2 Scan  
501 - who is a bona fide purchaser  
5 Page 493, <sup>the</sup> Scanmore 51
- 9 one Statute is different from that of most  
other states in making real estate  
liable to execution which was not the  
case at Com. Law nor under the Statutes  
of most other states - Page 493

This notice for appeal



Edwards

is in  
Pan

views of  
a paper that

[1812]

Edwards vs. Pace Price, Justice

We ~~are~~ are satisfied from the proofs in the cause, answer and exhibits, that Allen had not such an interest in the lot as could be sold under a fi. fa. and that the purchase under it acquired neither a legal or equitable title. He had a mere equity.

The bond for a deed from Baugh to Allen, not having been recorded until 1849 some two years after the dect. Pace, obtained his judgment against Baugh, cannot effect Pace's lien acquired by his judgment, and no notice of such bond, or of any conveyance, or agreement to convey by Baugh being brought home to the knowledge of Pace, he cannot be affected by the bond, nor by the deed executed by Baugh, long after Pace obtained his judgment.

We are of opinion the justice of the circuit Court, in refusing the bill, should be affirmed.

Edward as Paid

Opinion of  
Breece Justin

For Mt. Vernon

*[Faint, illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*

In the Supreme Court

November term A.D. 1854

Francis W. Edwards, appellant

vs. James T. Pace

defendant

appeal from Jefferson

Sept 1840

one Lewis B. Nelson recovered a judgment against William Allen for \$300 & costs at the Sept term Jefferson Circuit Court 1840. on a note of hand given by Allen to Rowing Baugh the consideration of note was a house and lot situated in the town of Mt Vernon which Baugh sold Allen for \$500 & took two notes for the purchase money - the \$300 note being one - Baugh assigned the note to Allen for value &

1<sup>st</sup> Exon  
3<sup>rd</sup> Oct 1840

The judgment was rendered thereon - on 3<sup>rd</sup> Oct 1840 a *fifa* was issued on said judgment which was levied on Lot no 26 East part Sec 2 1/2 feet back front. Back the length of lot - on 29<sup>th</sup> (December) 1840 - on the back's execution was endorsed said leaf & not

2<sup>nd</sup> Exon  
Vendi

time to sell - by Jas Bowman Shff - said lot being in Mt Vernon. a Vendi *fifa* was issued on 1<sup>st</sup> March 1841 to sell same property on same judgment

~~3<sup>rd</sup> Exon~~  
1<sup>st</sup> March 1841

on the 21<sup>st</sup> May 1841 but not sold for want of bidders at 2/3<sup>ds</sup> of its value - it having been appraised under the valuation law - by Jas Bowman Shff.

3<sup>rd</sup> Exon  
2<sup>nd</sup> *fifa*  
9<sup>th</sup> May 1841

another *fifa* was issued on the 9<sup>th</sup> May 1841 but was not levied for the same debt and on the 12<sup>th</sup> day of September

4<sup>th</sup> Exon  
3 *fifa*  
12<sup>th</sup> Sept  
1842

1842 another *fifa* was again issued on said judgment which regularly levied and not sold for on said lot & returned not sold for want of bidders - lot appraised & offered for sale at 2/3<sup>ds</sup> - on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of August 1845 a *realis Vendi* was issued on said debt & said property was

5<sup>th</sup> Exon  
2 *Vendi*  
23<sup>rd</sup> Aug 1845

again offered for sale but not sold for want of bidders - Wm S. Stephenson Shff - on the 24<sup>th</sup> Nov 1848 another *realis Vendi* was issued on said debt - levied and lot - & on the 16<sup>th</sup> July

6<sup>th</sup> Exon  
3 *Vendi*  
27 Nov 1848

1849 the lot was sold to Stephen G. Hicks and William Edward Elisha Piper Shff.

Deed - 1849/49

assigned  
1<sup>st</sup> Sept 1849

Deed  
20<sup>th</sup> July 1851

all of said lots were regularly docketed on Exa Book

16<sup>th</sup> February 1849 Eliza Piper Gunn Hicks + Edwards Cut  
of purchase, who assigned the same on 1<sup>st</sup> Sept 1849 to  
to Francis, H. Edwards for value and 20<sup>th</sup> day of July  
1851 a deed was made to Francis, H. Edwards by  
by John W. Satterfield - which recites the vendi-  
tion in form - N.B. Certificate says first said  
Deed regularly acknowledged and <sup>re</sup>recorded.

On the 16<sup>th</sup> day of April 1838 Downing Baugh  
sold the said lot to said Allen, for which  
Allen executed two notes one payable  
25<sup>th</sup> December 1838, the other payable 25<sup>th</sup>  
December 1839 being in all 500 dollars,  
and Baugh executed his bond under  
seal for a title to said Allen, by the  
25<sup>th</sup> day of December 1839, provided  
Allen paid said notes at maturity  
and not otherwise, On the 10<sup>th</sup> day  
of September 1839 Allen assigned  
said bond in blank, bond recorded  
on 25<sup>th</sup> September 1839. ~~On the 16<sup>th</sup> day of  
April 1838~~ On the 24<sup>th</sup> September 1849  
Baugh executed a deed for the consideration  
of 500 dollars to Francis H. Edwards, to whom  
said Hicks as agent for said Allen deliv-  
-ered said bond and on the said Baugh's  
making said deed he took up his bond  
from said Edwards. Said <sup>deed</sup> duly acknowledged  
and recorded. On the 16<sup>th</sup> August 1847 Harry  
Y. Pace, recovered a judgment against Downing  
Baugh for \$289.90, on which execution was  
issued on the 31<sup>st</sup> August 1847, and on the  
27<sup>th</sup> November 1847 the same was levied on  
roan horse saddle and bridle taken as  
the property of Downing Baugh & Piper  
Sheriff, and on the 30<sup>th</sup> November 1847

Said execution was returned by order of the plaintiff H. J. Rice; another execution was issued on the 12<sup>th</sup> January 1849, which was levied one one horse on the 14<sup>th</sup> January 1849, C. Pipes Sheriff. On the 8<sup>th</sup> February 1849 horse sold for \$29.12.<sup>2</sup> And as to residue said execution returned nulla boni. Another execution was issued on said judgement 13<sup>th</sup> January 1857 which was levied on lot in dispute on 14<sup>th</sup> February 1857 but which was stayed by an injunction on the 8<sup>th</sup> March 1857, which said writ of injunction was issued on a bill filed by the said Francis H. Edwards appellant in the circuit court of Jefferson County at the May term of said court 1857, in which said bill the complainant Edwards prayed for said writ of injunction as purchaser by deed from Daugh. At the same term an answer was filed by said Rice denying the allegations of said Edwards' bill as to notice of said Edwards title or the title of said Allen under whom said Edwards claimed and relied on his judgment. To this answer a replication was filed at the same term of court and cause continued until the next terms. At the September term 1857 a motion was made to dissolve the injunction, but the motion was overruled by the court and cause continued until next term and set down for hearing with leave to take depositions, and at May term 1858 the cause was again continued till next term. At September term 1858 of said court a motion was again made to dissolve said injunction and the complainant moved for leave to amend his bill which was given and cause continued till next term on payment of costs.

At the May term of the Jefferson circuit court  
said amended bill was filed by the appellant  
Francis H. Edwards, who claimed said lot under  
his purchase at sheriffs sale as well as by virtue  
of his purchase from said Baugh. The appellee  
Harvey & Pace filed his answer to the amended  
bill at the May term 1853 and denied as  
before all notice of title in Allen or Edwards  
by virtue of title bond from Baugh and denied  
that said bond was ever signed to said Edwards  
by said Allen and neither admitted or denied  
said Edwards title by virtue of his purchase  
at sheriffs sale and called for the proofs.  
The said answer was sworn to and a rep-  
lication filed ~~the~~ Sept. term 1853, and the  
cause continued till next term of the court, at  
which term of court the said cause came out to be  
heard upon bill, answer, depositions, exhibits,  
documents, and proofs, and by witnesses sworn  
in open court, as submitted and established  
at the last term of court before the Hon. S. S.  
Marshall, who dissolved the injunction  
and dismissed the bill, all the evidence  
adduced in the cause is contained in the bill  
of exceptions, or certificate of evidence  
herewith filed, from which a judgment and  
decree. The said Francis H. Edwards prayed  
an appeal to this court, <sup>which was allowed,</sup> on entering into bond  
as required by law which was done accordingly,  
and thereupon the said appellant comes into  
this court and seeks to reverse said judgment  
and decree of the Jefferson circuit court for  
the errors assigned on the record.

P. S. Nelson SS  
For Appellant

In the Sup. Court 1<sup>st</sup> Div. Nov. 1854  
A.D. 1854

Francis Edwards appellant

vs  
Harvey J. Pace appellee

error to Jefferson  
and that Francis by Richard S. Hill  
and Stephen G. Hills his solicitors  
come and say that in the record  
process and proceedings in the above  
styled Cause there is manifest error  
in this that the Circuit Court of Jefferson  
County ought to have rendered Judgment  
in favor of the appellant, whereas the  
Judgment of the said Circuit was rendered  
in favor of the appellee

and for assigning errors specially

1<sup>st</sup> the appellant by his said ~~attorney~~ solicitor  
says that the said Circuit Court erred in  
dismissing the injunction granted in the  
above styled Cause & dismissing the bill

2<sup>nd</sup> That that the said Circuit Court erred in  
rendering a Judgment against the appellant  
for costs & dismissing the bill filed by him against the appellee

3<sup>rd</sup> That the said Circuit Court further erred  
in this that the said Court did ~~not~~  
not by decree thereby perpetually against  
the said appellee Harvey from proceeding  
at Law to sell the premises in Appellants  
Bill by him filed in the Circuit Court  
of Jefferson County against him the said Harvey  
as in Appellants Bill prayed for, and  
for these and other errors manifest in  
the record process & proceedings aforesaid he  
the said appellant prays that the said Judgment

And the said def. says that there is no error in said record  
Wm. H. Underwood  
Atty. for def. by R. S. Hill  
& Stephen G. Hills  
his solicitors



~~No 42~~ No 42

F. H. Edwards

By

H. J. Pace

Filed 14<sup>th</sup> Nov. 1854

J. B. Lamm Ck

By A. Johnston D<sup>o</sup>  
" "

Credit on the full bill in  
this case - Paid by A. F. Lapham  
for Edwards. 2<sup>d</sup> June 1857 - in  
Cash of \$ 70 - A. Johnston Ck

Charles Fees for Transcript of 21.00

F. H. Edwards?  
H. J. Pace?

*[Faint, mostly illegible handwriting in the right margin]*

To

Nov 1858

Edwards J. H.

By

H J Paer

Com to Jefferson

8524

Affirmed