

No. 8778

Supreme Court of Illinois

Wilson

---

vs.

<sup>LE</sup>  
Nett~~el~~ton

---

71641  7

¶  
Searched before the Honorable Justice Harlan  
Judge of the fourth Judicial Circuit  
of the State of Illinois, at a circuit court  
began and held at the Courthouse in  
Carrie in and for the County of White  
(being one of the Counties Composing said  
fourth Judicial Circuit) on Monday  
the Second day of September AD One  
thousand Eight Hundred and fifty

Be it remembered that heretofore, to wit, on the  
21<sup>st</sup> day of September AD 1849 John M Wilson filed in  
the clerks Office of the Circuit Court of the said County  
of White, the following papers on an appeal from  
the Judgment of a Justice of the Peace viz  
n<sup>o</sup> 48,59. Carrie Ill. June 28<sup>th</sup> 1841

note and on  
or either of us promise to pay to the order of W. E. & R. E.  
Stewart the sum of Forty Eight Dollars and fifty nine  
cents, bearing 6% per cent. Int from date until paid  
for value rec'd

John M Wilson  
James S Wilson "

(The affidavit was made by Stephen Fitzgerald as agent for N. G. Nettleton  
stating that he feared whatever judgment might be recovered on  
the above note, would be lost unless John M Wilson should  
be held to bail (on account that John M Wilson did not reside  
in this County and James S Wilson did not reside  
in this state, but as the affidavit is lost or misplaced  
a true copy of it cannot be made, the above however  
is the substance of it.)

¶ State of Illinois, White County

Copies  
The People of the State of Illinois, to any  
Constable of said County Greeting -

You are hereby commanded to take the body  
of John M Wilson if he shall be found in your County  
and bring him forthwith before me, unless special  
bail be entered, and if special bail be entered, you  
will command him to appear before me at my office  
in Carrie on the 18. day of Sept. AD 1849 at One O'clock  
of said day, to answer the complaint of W. E. & R. Stewart  
who sues for the use of N. G. Nettleton, for a failure to  
pay him a certain demand not exceeding One hundred  
Dollars, and hereof make due return as the Law directs.

Given under my hand and seal this 8<sup>th</sup> day  
of Sept<sup>r</sup> AD 1850

R. S. Graham J.P.

W. E & R Stewart who sue for  
the use of N. G. Nettleton }  
vs

John M & Jas S Wilson } Sept 8<sup>th</sup> 1849  
Proper affidavit having been filed, forthwith  
from issued to H. Scumpter, Constable,

Capias returned executed by delivering the  
said John M Wilson to the Office of the Justice

H. Scumpter C.W.C.

the  
Justices  
Docket  
This cause coming on to be heard, the Defendant  
moved to dismiss on account of insufficiency of  
cause &c which is overruled, no further defense  
being made. It is considered that the Plaintiff  
recover of the Defendant a Judgment for Eighty  
five Dollars and 83 cents & Costs &c -

Sept 8<sup>th</sup> 1849. Enter myself Security for  
the Costs in this case (Signed, Stephen Fitzgerald)

Sept 8<sup>th</sup> 1849 Proper affidavit having been  
made. W. J. fa. issued to H. Scumpter.

W. J. fa. Returned in obedience to an appeal  
to the Circuit Court Sept 10<sup>th</sup> 1849

H. Scumpter C.W.C

Justices Costs.

Aff <sup>t</sup> 18 <sup>3/4</sup> Docketing 12 <sup>1/2</sup> Summons 18 <sup>3/4</sup>	,50
Judg <sup>t</sup> 25 afft 18 <sup>3/4</sup> Execution 25	,68 <sup>3/4</sup>
Entering Appeal 25 Bond 50	,75
Frauscpt 25 Certificate 25	,50
	\$24.43 <sup>3/4</sup>

Constables Costs

Serving Summ, 25 Serving (Ex) 50 taking bond 50	,25
	\$3.68 <sup>3/4</sup>

State of Illinois White County p

I certify that the foregoing is a  
correct transcript of the proceedings had before  
in the above entitled cause, as the same  
stands on my Docket at Page 65, Suit No 404

Given under my hand at my office in  
Carrie Sept 10<sup>th</sup> 1849

R. S. Graham J.P.

State of Illinois White County p

affiant Stephen Fitzgerald being sworn on his  
oath says that he verily believes there is danger  
of losing the benefit of the Judgment which  
W. E & R. Stewart for the use of N. G. Nettleton, lately  
recovered against John M Wilson unless execution

" issue forthwith - Stephen FitzGerald  
Sworn to & Subscribed before me Sept 8<sup>th</sup> 1849

R. S. Graham J.P.

Executive State of Illinois }  
White County } p.  
The People of the State of Illinois

to any Constable of said County Greeting

We Command you that of the Goods and  
chattels of John M Wilson in your County you  
make the sum of Eighty five Dollars 83 cents  
debt, and one Dollar 43<sup>1/2</sup> costs which W. E. & R.  
Stewart who sues for the use of N. G. Nettleton, lately  
recovered before me in a certain plea against  
the said John M Wilson, and hereof make return  
to me within Seventy days from this date

Given under my hand and seal this  
8<sup>th</sup> day of Sept 1849

R. S. Graham J.P.

Constables Recd this S. fa. Sept 8<sup>th</sup> 1849 at 4 o'clock P.M.

H. Sumpster C.W.C.

Served, this Execution Sept 8<sup>th</sup> 1849 on One two horse  
carriage, one brown horse & one gray horse, harness  
and bridles

H. Sumpster C.W.C.

Returned in obeyance of appeal to the Circuit  
Court 10<sup>th</sup> Sept 1849

H. Sumpster C.W.C.

I know all men by these presents that we John M  
Wilson Oliver H. P. Wilson and William Wilson are  
held and firmly bound unto W. E. & R. Stewart  
who sues for the use of N. G. Nettleton in the penal  
sum of One hundred and ninety Dollars, lawful  
Money of the United States, for the payment of which  
well and truly to be made we bind ourselves, our heirs  
and Administrators jointly severally and firmly  
by these presents - witness our hands and seals this  
8<sup>th</sup> day of Sept 1849 - The condition of the above  
obligation is such, that whereas the said W. E. & R.  
Stewart who sues for the use of N. G. Nettleton did on  
the 8<sup>th</sup> day of Sept 1849 before R. S. Graham a Justice  
of the peace for the County of White, recover a Judgment  
against the above bounden John M Wilson for the sum  
of Eighty five Dollars and 83 cents from which Judgment  
the said John M Wilson has taken an appeal to the  
Circuit Court of the County of White aforesaid and state  
of Illinois. Now if the said John M Wilson shall

Appeal

Bond

2878-2

prosecute his appeal with effect and pay what Judgment may be rendered by the Court upon dismissal or trial of said appeal, then the above obligation to be null and void. Otherwise to remain in full force & virtue.

John M Wilson *(Signature)*  
O H P Wilson *(Signature)*

Taken and approved by me at my Office in Carlin Sept 8<sup>th</sup> 1849

R. S. Graham J.P.,

and afterwards sworn on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of October 1849 Summons issued out of the said Clerks office in the following words and figures viz

" State of Illinois }  
" White County } ss

The People of the State of Illinois  
to the Sheriff of said County Greeting

We command you to summon Nelson G Nettleton if he shall be found in your County personally to be and appear before our Circuit Court for said County on the first day of the next term thereof, to be held at the Court house in Carlin on the fourth Monday in the month of March next, to answer John M Wilson on an appeal from the Judgment of a Justice of the Peace, and have you then there this witness

Mitius Solomon Vories Clerk of our said Court at Carlin this 25<sup>th</sup> day of October A.D. 1849

John M Wilson Clerk  
(Endorsed) November 17<sup>th</sup> 1849 I acknowledge service of the within

N. G. Nettleton

And afterwards sworn a said March Term of said Court, began and held on Monday the 25<sup>th</sup> day of March A.D. 1850, sworn on Tuesday the 26<sup>th</sup> day of said Term of said Court the following proceeding were had sworn

" Nelson G Nettleton *(Signature)*

on appeal

John M Wilson

At this day come the parties by their attorneys, whereupon the Defendant moves the Court to dismiss this suit for want of a sufficient affidavit, (the affidavit being made by a stranger) and for want of jurisdiction in the Justice of the Peace, which motion is resisted by the plaintiffs attorney, argument being heard, and the Court not being sufficiently

advised took time &c "

And afterward towit on Thursday the 28<sup>th</sup> day  
day of March 1850 being the 4<sup>th</sup> day of Said Term  
of Said Court, the following proceedings were had viz  
" Nelson G Nelson &c

John M Wilson } <sup>18</sup> On appeal

And now at this day again comes the Parties  
by their attorneys, And the Court now being fully  
advised what Judgment to give on the motion of  
the Said Defendant to dismiss this suit made on  
Tuesday last, do order and adjudge that said  
motion be overruled, Whereupon the said Defen-  
dant, further pleaded in abatement of this suit,  
that the said Defendant is, and was at the time of  
his arrest on the said Capias, an attorney and  
Counsellor at Law, that <sup>the</sup> said writ was issued and  
the said Defendant arrested thereon, during the  
last term of this Court, That the said Defendant  
was also a Suitor in and at said last Term of  
this Court, which plea is resisted by the Plaintiff's  
attorney, and after argument heard for and  
against said plea in abatement, It is ordered and  
adjudged that said plea be overruled, and  
whereupon the said Defendant plead in bar  
to this suit, the Statute of Limitations which said  
last mentioned plea is also resisted by the said  
Plaintiff's attorney, And after argument of the  
parties being had, and the Court not being  
sufficiently advised took time and this cause is  
Continued &c "

And afterwards towit at the September  
term of Said Court began and held at the  
Court house in Corin on the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of September  
A.D 1850 towit on Wednesday the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of  
Said Term of Said Court, the following proceed-  
ings and final Judgment was had viz  
" Nelson G Nettleton

John M Wilson } <sup>19</sup> On appeal

and the At this day came the parties by their attorneys  
and the court now being fully advised what Judgment  
to render upon the issue herein, do consider and  
adjudge that the Judgment of the Justice of the  
Peace had herein be affirmed for \$87.63. And

that the Said Plaintiff recover of the Said Defendant  
the Said sum of Eighty Seven Dollars and 63 Cents  
together, with his Costs about his suit as well  
before the Justice of the Peace, as in this Court  
expended, and may thereof have execution &c

State of Illinois  
White County

I, Solomon Vories Clerk of the  
Circuit Court in and said County, do hereby  
certify that the foregoing six Pages, contain a  
full true and correct transcript of the proceed-  
ings & papers had in said Court in the case  
entitled Nelson G. Nettleton vs John M. Wilson  
(Except the affidavit upon which the Justice issued  
the capias, the substance whereof is stated in a  
note or memorandum on the first Page hereof)  
as the same remains of record in my Office

In testimony whereof I have hereunto  
set my hand affixed the Seal of said  
Court at Carre this 17<sup>th</sup> day of September  
AD 1850

Solomon Vories CLK

N G Nettleton

vs

John M Wilson

} Cost Bill

Sept 25	1849	To Filing papers 50	Docketing cause 12 <sup>½</sup> wit & filing 56 <sup>½</sup>	1.18 <sup>½</sup>
	1850	March 11	Entering motion to dismiss 20 Order of time 20	40
		" Entering appearance & attorney		15
		" Entering plea in abatement 20 Entering plea of limitation 20		40
		Order of time 20 Contineance 20		40
		John M Wilson making trust for Sup <sup>r</sup> Court 62 <sup>½</sup> cut 25		2.83 <sup>½</sup>
				1.87 <sup>½</sup>

Piffs cost at } Cost Bill

N. G. Nettleton

1850	Mar	" Entering appearance of atty 10 Order overruled mot to dis 20-30	
	"	order overruling plea in abate 20	20
	"	Docketing 10 order overruling plea of limitation 20	30
	"	order affirming 20 Entering Judgment 25	45
		making Cost Bill 30	30
			1.55

Sheriffs cost serving 50. 10 miles 75 Ret wit 10

Jurors & constable cost as stated on 2<sup>nd</sup> page

3.68<sup>½</sup>  
5.23<sup>½</sup>  
5.76<sup>½</sup>

a copy attest

\$100  
Solomon Jones. Atk

State of Illinois Supreme Court 1<sup>st</sup> Division  
November 1<sup>st</sup> A.D. 1850

And now at this day comes the said John M. Wilson, who is Plaintiff in Error, by Constable his Attorney, and says, that in the said cause and the foregoing record thereof, there is manifest error, in this, to wit:

First. The court erred in not quashing, effacing and striking & disjoining suit, because such affidavit was made by a stranger and not by the plaintiff in the suit, and for want of jurisdiction in the Justice of the Peace.

Secondly, The court erred in giving judgment for plaintiff on the said <sup>435</sup> filed in abatement but should have rendered judgment on said place against Plaintiff for costs of suit as well before the Justice of the Peace as in the White Circuit Court.

Wherefore the said plaintiff is error, prays the judgment of this court on the said record and that the judgment of the said court below may be reversed &c.

Constable,

Attorney for Plaintiff in Error,

\* Thirdly. The court erred in offering the judgment of the Justice of the Peace, for debt & costs, but should have reduced the same & costs of Plaintiff &c.

Constable Atty. &c.

And now at this day comes the said defendant in Error by his Attorney, and says that in the said record there is no error, and that the same is correct, and the judgment therein set forth by the laws of the land is sustained and should be affirmed. Wherefore and to which end he asks judgment &c.

Linen for deft

*Transcript*

Nelson G Nettleton

John ~~the~~<sup>or</sup> Wilson

This suit was originally brought before a justice of the peace. The defendant was arrested on a warrant, founded on an affidavit made by an agent of the plaintiff. He moved to dismiss the action, because the writ was improvidently issued. The justice overruled the objection, and entered a judgment in favor of the plaintiff. The defendant appealed to the circuit court, where he renewed the motion to dismiss. The motion was denied, and he then pleaded in abatement, that <sup>he</sup> was arrested on the warrant during the sitting of the circuit court, which court he was attending as a witness, and as an attorney at law. The court overruled this defense, and affirmed the judgment of the justice.

The only objection taken to the process, under which the arrest was made, is that it was founded on an affidavit made by an agent of the creditor. It is intended, that the oath must be made by the creditor personally, and cannot be made by a

person acting on his behalf. The Statute declare  
s, "If, previous to the commencement of a suit,  
the plaintiff shall make oath that there is  
danger that the debt or claim of such plaintiff  
will be lost, unless the defendant be held to bail,  
and shall state, under oath, the cause of  
such danger, so as to satisfy the justice  
that there is reason to apprehend such  
loss, the justice shall issue a warrant" &c.

R. S. ch. 59, § 22. What is the real object of  
this provision? It is that a debtor may be  
held to bail, whenever it is satisfactorily  
made to appear on ~~an~~ oath, that the  
creditor will otherwise be in danger of  
losing his debt. There is no good reason  
why an agent charged with the collection  
of the debt, may not be permitted to make  
the oath, and see out the process. He can  
ascertain and state the cause, which are  
to satisfy the justice of the propriety of  
issuing the warrant, as well as the creditor.  
He may have a personal knowledge of the  
facts, while the creditor may be ignorant

of their existence; and if the latter is alone allowed to make the oath, he can only swear as to his belief of the truth of information derived from Mess. We think the design of the statute is <sup>equally</sup> considered, whether the oath is made by the creditor or his agent. Any other construction of the statute might deprive a creditor, who resides at a distance from his debtor, of the benefit of its provisions altogether. The delay in obtaining correct information of the condition of his debtor, and in transmitting the necessary affidavit, might render abortive any attempt to enforce the payment of the debt. The consequences to the debtor are the same, whether the oath is made by the creditor or his agent. If he is arrested on a warrant lawfully issued out by the agent, he has a clear remedy against the principal.

The plea of privilege came too late. It was a defence of a dilatory character, not affecting the merits of the action,

and should have been interposed before  
the parties. If thus made and couched,  
it might have been renewed in the  
circuit court. It was waived, by the  
failure of the defendant to insist upon  
it at the first opportunity.

The judgment is affirmed, with costs.

Niles & Miller

Opinion

Rechts

8778