

11990

No.

Supreme Court of Illinois

Aurora Branch R. R. Co.

vs.

Grimes.

71641 7

27

Kane
Aurora B. Rail R. Co.
vs
Jacob Grimes

1852

8300.

11990

Papageed

Kane County, Circuit Clerk's Office

28th 1852

Be it remembered that on the
21st day of January 1852, was filed in the Clerk,
Office of the Circuit Court of Kane County, at
Kane, which is in words and figures following
to wit:

State of Illinois } In the Kane Circuit
February Term 1852
Kane County, to wit:

Jacob Grimes, the plaintiff
in this suit by F. C. Moore, his attorney, complain
of the Aurora Branch Rail Road Company
defendants in this suit, who have been summoned
to answer to the said plaintiff in a plea of trespass
on the case.

For that whereas, the defendants, before
and at the time of the commencement of this
suit, and after injury and damage accruing
as hereinafter mentioned, were the owners and
occupiers of a certain Rail Road Messenger
and premises with the appurtenances situate
in the Town of Batavia, Kane County aforesaid
on which said premises there was a certain common
or public grounds, and in which, common or
public grounds and premises, there was a
certain hole dug by the said defendants,
set the said defendants well knowing the
premises whilst they were so possessed and
occupiers of the said messenger premises, common
and appurtenances, and whilst there was such
a hole as aforesaid heretofore to this on the
fifteenth day of December A.D. 1851, wrongfully
negligently, unjustly, and contrary to their

duty in that behalf permitted the said hole
to be and continue; and the same was then
so badly, insufficiently, and defectively covered
that by means of the premises, and for want
of a proper and sufficient covering to said
hole the said plaintiff, who was then and
then lawfully passing in and along the said
premises, and public ground, with the horse
brought the man of the said plaintiff, there neces-
-sarily and unavoidably ran upon the covering
to said hole and then and there necessarily
and unavoidably slipped and fell through
the said covering into the said hole; and
by means thereof, thereby then and there the
said man of the said plaintiff was instantly
killed. Wherefore, the said plaintiff saith he
is injured and hath sustained damage to
the amount of One Hundred and fifty dollars
and therefore he brings his suit &c

Thos. C. Moore
Atty for Plff

Afterwards to wit on the 10th day of February
A.D. 1852 the following pleas were filed, which
are in words and figures following to wit:
Aurora Branch R.R. Co.

Plff
Jacob Grimes } New Circuit Court
aff } Feby Term 1852
Case

and the said defendants by Plato
their attorney Corp and defend the wrong
and injury when &c. and say that they are

not guilty of the said supposed grievances
above laid to their charge or any of either of them
in manner and form as the said plaintiff
has above them of complained against them
and often they put themselves upon the Court
&c

W. B. Plato

atty of deft

And the said plaintiff doth the like by

Moore

His atty

afterwards to wit on the 17th day of May A.D. 1853
the following proceedings were had to wit:
Jacob Barnes

75.

Aurora Branch Rail Road Company } Case
v. This day comes the plaintiff
by Moore and Barnes worth
his attorneys: and the defendant
by Plato their attorney also come, and ^{on} motion
of the plaintiff it is ordered by the court that a
jury come whereupon came a jury of good
and lawful men to wit:

Jacob Alexander

Hawley Eddy Sanson Morgan

Reuben Trick

Robert Frost Elizab. S. Corlett

Robert Rodgers

David Sheet George E. Cowen

Simeon R. McKinley Alfred Herrington Whiting Hunt

being severally elected tried and sworn. Also
come, and after hearing the evidence argument
of counsel and instructions of court retiro under
the charge of an Officer of the court to consider of
their Verdict subsequently return into court and
for a verdict upon their Oath say think we the

Jury find the defendant Guilty and assess
the plaintiff damages at the sum of One
Hundred and Ten Dollars. thereupon comes
the defendant and moves for a new trial

Afterward to Wit On the 22^d Day of May A.D. 1852
the following among other proceedings were had
to Wit:

Jacob Grimes
v

| Case

Aurora Branch Rail Road Company | This day comes on
Motion heretofore entered
herein for a new trial, the Court being fully
advised wherein the same. it is therefore considered
by the Court that the plaintiff have and recover
from the defendant the sum of One Hundred
and Ten Dollars and his Cost, in this suit
expended and have execution therefor. to which
the defendants by their Counsel excepted and
prays an appeal to the Supreme Court of the
State of Illinois. which is allowed on condition
that they enter into bond in the penal sum
of Two Hundred Dollars with S. R. Brady,
Peyannie Hackney, William H Hawkins or
E. R. Allen as security to be filed by the 20th day
of June next.

and afterward, went on the ~~same~~
~~date~~ ^{Sayre day} when was filed in said
Clk's Office a Bill of exception of which
the following is a copy.

Jacob Grimes

v. Kaw Circuit May Term
Aurora Rail Road Company } 1852
Road Company } Case

Be it remembered that on
the trial of this cause the Plaintiff produced
as a witness Russel Grimes, who testified that
he knew the Batavia Depot of the Aurora
Rail Road Company, there is a well south of
the Depot building between the two tracks
of the Rail Road, the space between the tracks
at the well is from fifteen to eighteen feet, the well
is from six to eight feet from each track, the well
and ground, between the track, are not enclosed
the well was covered with Oak Board, $\frac{3}{4}$ or $\frac{7}{8}$ of an
inch thick: the surface of the ground about the
well and between the track, is about level. In
the latter part of December last the plaintiff
had some lumber come on the cars of the company
from Chicago, and the car was left standing
on the east track of the Rail Road south of the
Depot, some two or three inches of snow on the ground
at the time, the plaintiff wanted to get the lumber
removed to his lumber yard North West of the
Depot, on the west track of the road, and with
the witness called on Mr. A. Lord who had
charge of the Depot at Batavia & spoke to him
about helping get the lumber removed.

Lord said he could not help. it was not
his business, and said the Cow Stood where
they discharge freight. but said if you will
get the Cow around we will discharge it at
the yard. The lumber belong to the plaintiff &
Witness Plaintiff said he did not know as he could
get it around alone; Lord remarked put your
horse on. Plaintiff said he did not know a
he would go: Lord said try her and see; the Cow
of Lumber stood two or three rods South of the
Depot on the East tract of the road: the plaintiff
hitched on his Maw, and drew the Cow five or six
rod, South to the Sunkel, then back on the west track
till the will to whom he wanted the lumber unlo-
-ded two or three rods, east of the road ~~near~~ Depot
building. two or three days afterward, we had
another load of lumber come on the Cows, and was
left about the same place as the first. Plaintiff
hitched on the same horse again, and started South
and on coming back on the West track, and after
we had pass'd the will a little the Maw stopped &
back'd down off the track tangled her legs in the
trees and floundered about got on the board over
the will her hind parts fell through the board, and
in trying to get up she fell into the will and was
killed. Some of the board, that cover'd the will were
found in the will broken.

The Plaintiff counsel
then asked the Witness this question, what was
the custom of the Company in regard to allowing
horses to be hitched to the Cows, the Witness
answer'd I have seen it done, and have seen Mr.
Huller hitch his horses on and draw Cows to

which ^{Question} and answer the defendant, by their Counsel
objected to at the time, and the objection was
overruled by the Court, to which decision of the
Court the defendant, by their Counsel excepted.
at the time, the witness further testified that he
was engaged with the Plaintiff assisted by one
Hampton (a hired man by defendant) in moving
the car of lumber at the time of the accident, that
the Plaintiff was driving his Man and witness and
Hampton were engaged with pinch bars in assisting
to move the car, that the Plaintiff and witness are
brothers, and engaged in the lumber business at
Batavia when the plaintiff has resided here
1845 & been in the lumber business about two years,
the Plaintiff was leading the man at the time the
fell off the track, do ~~not~~ know that the man
was Balkey, she was a high ^{smart} lived, witness never
knew her to balk, while hitched she stopped draw-
ing and backed up a few times, knows of the will
being there over a year previous to the accident.

The Plaintiff then called in P. Youck
as a witness who testified that he had seen the
man spoken of by the witness George, she was
rather a fine high lived animal she had cost to
buy her for the purpose of selling again, she
would have been worth to him, one hundred and
Twenty Dollars, witness also testified that he had
seen the well spoken of, and was well acquainted
with the situation and condition of the ground
about it, the well and surface of the ground between
the track of the coal an about six and six or
eight inches below the trees on the track, the well is
situated not over two feet from the east track
and thirty two or four feet from the west track.

S. 1990-47

thatbeins do not and cannot travel over
the ground between the track, South of the Depot
building.

The Plaintiff then called Lanson Morgan
who testified that he lived near the Depot grounds,
at Batavia, the wall spoken of is eight or ten
rods South of the Depot building, between the track
of the rail road. it was covered with oak boards,
and was part with two thicknesses of boards, there
being one thickness across and the other board
laid on as battens on the cracks, the wall is
five or six feet across, the board, were inch board,
about twelve feet long, and lay about level
with the surrounding ground, the board, indicated
the location of the wall, and was easily seen,
when not covered with snow, the Plaintiff's
man was a high lisd animal, and worth forty
or fifty five dollars. Witness has seen Plaintiff
use his horses to draw car, at that place, they
were steady, true horses, the wall had been there over
a year, and the Plaintiff had been in the habit
of receiving lumber from the defendant, car at that
place.

Cross examination had seen the man at work in
the lumber yard both alone and in doubleteam
never knew him to bark

The plaintiff then rested his case and the
defendants called as a witness James Hampton
who testified that he was and had been for some
time in the employ of the defendant, at the time
of the accident spoken of by the witness Gaines
that he was present and heard the conversation
between plaintiff and M. A. Lord mentioned

by the witness Grimes the plaintiff and his
brother said something to Ford about getting
the lumber around to their yard Ford said
that he did not consider that he had anything
to do with that as it was when they discharged
the freight something was said about
using Plaintiff's horse by some one do not
remember who Plaintiff said he did not know
as his horse would work on the car Witness
told plaintiff he did not think she would
Ford said try and see the plaintiff then
hitched on his man and drew the car around
to his lumber yard North west of the depot
the witness and Russell Grimes assisting
to move the car and the plaintiff leading
his horse. a few days after this the Plaintiff
had another load of lumber come on the cars
and was left at about the same place as before
South of the depot on the East track and hitched
his man on to the car and started the Plaintiff
leading his man and witness with Russell
Grimes following behind the car with pinch
bars assisting the man did not draw much
not as well as the first time they however
moved the car South to the junction of the track
then back on the west track a little part the
well when the man stopped again and backed
up against the car and fell off the track
got her legs tangled in the traces floundered
about and fell on to the covering of the well
and in kicking about in trying to get up

Kicked the board off and fell into the well
and was killed. the well was about fifteen
feet from where the man went off the track and
six feet from the track and at the time was
Covered with a double thickness of wide new
inch boards about twelve feet long which had
been put on by the witness about a month previous
to the accident the boards were not nailed but
laid on loose. the well had been kept covered
in that way since the time it was dug and
I think the boards were sufficient to sustain
the weight of a horse I do not think that any
of the boards were broken. I had taken care to
see that the well was kept covered. the plaintiff
had been leading his man until the last time
she stopped and at the time she went off the track
she was behind her and had hold of the lines
driving her. and I think the lines dragging over
the harness as she was backing up helped to
pull her over when the plaintiff was leading her
if she undertook to back up she held her on the
track by the bridle or halter when the first car
was taken away the plaintiff led her all
the way and she worked better than she did
the last time she was a highly liced animal
and I did not consider her suitable to be used
for such a purpose as the man was floundering
about on the ground. the plaintiff said she
will go into the well & think if the plaintiff
had kept hold of her bridle or halter he might
~~have~~ perhaps have kept her on the track

Cross Examination the car was heavy and hard to start very few horses will draw well at first on being hitched to a very heavy load of any thing when they find it starts hard

The foregoing is all the evidence given in the case

The plaintiff then asked the Court to instruct the jury as follows

The Court will please instruct the jury that if they believe from the evidence that the plaintiff with his mare was on the premises, without the consent of the defendants or their agent, and therein consequence of the defective covering of the well the plff's mare fell into the same without any mismanagement or fault on the part of the plff and that the mare was thereby killed then the law is for the plaintiff

It was the duty of the defendants to enclose the well or cover it over in such manner as would have prevented injury to the property of others who should be lawfully on the premises and if the plaintiff with his mare was lawfully on the premises in question and the mare was killed in consequence of an insufficient protection around or over the well then the law is for the plff unless the jury believe that the injury was occasioned by the mismanagement or fault of the plff

Given

Given

Refused

If the jury should find for the plaintiff
then it is their province to assess his damages
at whatever they believe it to be taking
into consideration all the facts and cir-
cumstances in the case. Which instruc-
tions were given to which the deft by
their Counsel at the time excepted. the
defendants then asked the Court to instruct
the jury as follows: If the jury believe
from the evidence that the injury done
to the plaintiff horse was the fault result
of the fault or negligence of the plaintiff
or the fault or negligence of both the
plaintiff and defendant without any
intentional wrong on the part of the
defendant, then the plaintiff can not
recover and the jury must find for the
deft. Which instruction was refused
by the Court to which decision of the Court
in refusing said instruction the defendant
by their Counsel at the time excepted.

The Court then gave the following in-
struction. If the jury believe from the
evidence that the injury done to the plff
horse was the result of the fault or neg-
ligence of the plff then the law is for the
defendant and the plaintiff cannot
recover.

The jury found a verdict for the
plaintiff and assessed his damages at
One hundred and ten dollars. and then
upon the defendants moved the Court

for a new trial for the reasons that the
Court erred in overruling the deft objection
to the question put to and answered by the witness James
in reference to the custom of the company
in all owing horses ^{to be} hitched to their cars

The Court erred in giving the instruction
asked for by the plaintiff.

The Court erred in refusing the instruction
asked for by the defendant.

The Court erred in refusing the
The verdict is contrary to evidence
& the law which motion was overruled by
the Court and a judgment rendered upon
the verdict to all of which decisions and
judgments of the Court the defendants by
their counsel at the time excepted and
prays that this their bill of exceptions may
be signed & made a part of the record which
is done

Isaac B. Wilson ^{Seal}
Judge &c

Afterwards to Wit on the 11th day of June A.D.
1852 a Bond was filed which is in words and
figures following to Wit

Know all men by these
presents that we the "President Directors &
Company of the Aurora Branch Rail Road
Company" and Edward R. Allow of the County
of Kane & State of Illinois are held and firmly
bound unto Jacob Grimes in the penal sum of
Three Hundred Dollars for the payment of which
well and truly to be made we bind ourselves
Our heirs executors and assigns jointly and
severally by these presents

Witness Our hands and Seals this 1st day
of June 1852

The condition of the above obligation
is such that Where as the said Jacob Grimes
did at the May 1852 Term of Kane County
Circuit Court recover a judgment against
the said Aurora Branch Rail Road Company
for the sum of One Hundred and Ten dollars
and costs from which said judgment of the
said Circuit Court the said Aurora Branch
Rail Road Company have taken an appeal
to the Supreme Court of the State of Illinois

Now if the said Aurora Branch Rail Road
Company shall prosecute this appeal and shall
pay the judgment costs interest and damages
in case the said judgment shall be affirmed
on the trial of said appeal in the Supreme Court
and shall pay and satisfy whatever judgment
may be rendered on the dismissal or trial of
said appeal in said Supreme Court then

the above obligation to be void. Otherwise to
remain in full force and virtue.

P. A. Hall Secy for the
President & Directors Company of the
Union Branch Rail Road Company
E. R. Allen Seal

State of Illinois
Kane County, ss / I. Blailey, B. Wells Clerk
of Kane County Circuit
Court do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a true and perfect copy of the said Recas
ord of judgment. order overruling the motion
for new trial. order taking appeal. Bond
Bill of exceptions. and Instructions of
Court. in the foregoing entitled cause
In witness whereof I have hereunto
set my hand and affixed the seal
of said Court at Geneva this 27th
day of June A. D. 1852
D. S. Wells
Clerk

And now comes the Aurora Branch Rail Road Company and says that in the course of proceeding aforesaid there is manifest error in the Judgment aforesaid and that the court erred in

- 1st The court erred in admitting the testimony of the witness Russell Girms which was objected to
- 2nd The court erred in giving the instructions asked for by the Plaintiff
- 3rd The court erred in refusing the instruction asked for by defendant.
- 4th The court erred in overruling the motion for a new trial
- 5th The court erred in rendering the Judgment aforesaid in manner of form aforesaid

Plaintiff
for party in error

cases cited in support of motion for supersedeas
Parker vs Adams 12 Met 415
Butterfield vs Fornasier 11 East 60.
Smith vs Smith 2 Pick 621 & cases there cited
Remond vs Boston 12 Shapley 35
Pluckwells case 5 Barrington & P. 875
Williams vs Holland 6 " " " 23
Wayde vs Lady Carr 2 Doul & Ry 253

Name
Aurora Branch Rail
Road Company
vs
Jaest Green

Transcript.

Filed July 6. 1852.
A. Cleveland Clerk.

\$5 per. clk. by book

People Grimes }
B. C. Cook }
Aurora Branch } Appeal from Kaw
Rail Road Co }

B. C. Cook states on oath that he for the appellant in this cause that he certifies copy of the record has been sent to the Clerk of this Court but has not been filed for the reason that the ^{copy of the} record is manifestly erroneous in this that it states that a certain instruction was given by the Circuit Court which is manifestly false & was refuse of the court as affiant is informed & believes Appellant has no doubt that the error is a clerical one and made by the Clerk in making up the record and by reason of such error appellant is precluded from presenting the real question in this cause for adjudication
Subsw. & sworn to before me
June 28. 1852.

B. C. Cook

Hiland Ch.

Rare
Amora Branch Rail
Road Company
Jack Grimes

afft.

Filed June 28, 1852
A. Leland Clk.

Know all men by these presents that we the
Chicago and Aurora rail road company and
Edward R. Allen of the County of Kane and State of
Illinois are held and firmly bound unto Jacob
Grimes in the sum of three hundred Dollars
for the payment of which well and truly to be made
we bind ourselves our heirs executors and administrators
Jointly severally and finally by these presents.

Witness our hands and seals this thirteenth
day of July AD 1852

The condition of the above
obligation is such that whereas the said Jacob
Grimes did at the May term AD 1852 of the Kane
County Circuit Court recover a Judgment
against the above named rail road company
under the same style and description of the
Aurora Branch rail road company for the
sum of one hundred and ten dollars and costs
upon which said Judgment of the said Circuit
Court the said rail road company have sued
out a writ of error to the Supreme Court of said
State of Illinois and have prayed for and obtained an
order to be entered in said Court that said writ of error
be made a suspended cause, - Now if the said rail
road company shall prosecute the said writ of error
with effect and shall pay the Judgment costs interest
and damages in case the said Judgment shall be
affirmed on the trial of the said appeal in the said
Supreme Court then the above obligation to be void
otherwise to remain in full force and effect.

Edward R. Allen Esq.

J. W. Hall. Secy. C. & A. RR Co.

Kane
Aurora B. N. N. Company
by
Jacob Grunis
Board

Filed July 18. 1852
L. Leland Clerk.

AN ACT
GRANTING A CHARTER TO
THE AURORA BRANCH RAIL ROAD COMPANY.

SECTION 1. *Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly,* That all such persons as shall become stockholders agreeably to the provisions of this act in the corporation hereby created, shall be, and for the term of seventy years from and after the passage of this act, shall continue to be, a body corporate and politic, by the name of the "Aurora Branch Railroad Company," and by that name shall have succession for the term of years above specified; may sue and be sued, complain and defend, in any court of law or equity; may make and use a common seal and alter the same at pleasure; may make by-laws, rules, and regulations for the management of property, the regulation of its affairs, and for the transfer of its stock, not inconsistent with the existing laws and constitution of this state, and of the United States; and may, moreover, appoint such subordinate agents, officers, and servants as the business of the said corporation may require, and allow to them a suitable compensation; prescribe their duties, and require bond for the faithful performance thereof, in such penal sums and with such sureties as they may choose, who shall hold their offices during the pleasure of a majority of the directors of said corporation.

SEC. 2. The said corporation shall have the right, and during its continuance, to maintain and continue a railroad with a single or double track, and with such appendages as may be deemed necessary for the convenient use of the same, from the town of Aurora, in the county of Kane, to some eligible and convenient point in the county of Du Page, there to connect with the Galena and Chicago Union railroad.

SEC. 3. The capital stock of said corporation shall be one hundred thousand dollars, which shall be deemed personal property, and shall be divided into shares of one hundred dollars each. The capital stock of said corporation may at any time hereafter be increased to a sum not exceeding one million of dollars, if the same shall be judged necessary to the completion of the said work, and the same shall be subscribed for and taken under the direction of the directors of the said corporation, at such time and place as may by them be deemed expedient.

SEC. 4. That A. C. Gibson, Benj. Hackney, Chas. Hoyt, E. R. Allen, and Stephen F. Gale, shall be commissioners for securing subscriptions to the capital stock of said corporation. Each subscriber at the time of subscribing shall pay to the commissioners one dollar on each share of the stock subscribed for by him; and the said commissioners shall, as soon as the directors are elected, deliver to them the whole amount so received.

SEC. 5. The affairs of said corporation shall be managed by a board of five directors, to be annually chosen by the stockholders from among themselves, as soon as may be after one-fourth of the stock is subscribed; at which time they may commence the work. The commissioners shall give notice of the time and place at which a meeting of the stockholders will be held for the choice of directors, and, at such time and place appointed for that purpose, the commissioners, or a majority of them, shall attend and act as inspectors of said election, and the stockholders present shall proceed to elect their directors by ballot, and the commissioners present shall certify the result of such election under their hands; which certificate shall be recorded in the books of the corporation, and shall be sufficient evidence of the election of the directors therein named. All future elections shall be held at the time and in the manner prescribed by the by-laws and regulations of the said incorporation. Each stockholder shall be allowed as many votes as he owns shares at the commencement of such election, and a plurality of votes shall determine the choice, but no stockholder shall be allowed to vote at any election after the first, for any stock which shall have been assigned to him within thirty days previous to holding such election. The said directors shall hold their office for one year after their election, and shall elect one of their number as president of the board.

SEC. 6. The said corporation is authorized to contract, make, and use a single or double railroad or way, of suitable width and dimensions, to be determined by the said corporation, on the line, course, or way which may be designated and selected by the directors as the line, course, or way whereon to construct and make the same; and shall have power to regulate the time and manner in which goods, effects, and passengers shall be transported, taken, and carried on the same, and to prescribe the manner in which the said railroad shall be used, by what force the carriages to be used thereon may be propelled, and the rate of toll for transportation of persons or property thereon, as may be deemed suitable to their interests; and it shall be lawful also for the said corporation to unite with any other railroad company upon such terms as may be agreed upon by the directors of said companies, and also to construct such other and lateral routes as may be necessary to connect them with any other route or routes which may be deemed expedient.

SEC. 7. In case the corporation shall not be able to acquire the title to the lands through which said road shall be laid, by purchase or voluntary cession, it shall be lawful for the corporation to appropriate so much of said land as may be necessary for its own use, for the purpose contemplated by this act, on complying with laws made and provided to govern such matters on all public works.

SEC. 8. The board of directors shall hereafter consist of such number of directors as shall be determined upon from time to time by the stockholders of said company, at any meeting thereof, for the choice of directors; *Provided*, that such number shall not be less than five nor more than eleven. Said directors shall be stockholders in said company, and shall be elected annually by the stockholders, either in person or by proxy, and shall hold their offices for one year and until their successors shall be elected and qualified. But any vacancy occurring in said board between elections, may be filled by the board at any legal meeting of the directors, and the person so elected to fill the vacancy shall hold his office until the next annual meeting.

SEC. 9. The said corporation shall be allowed two years from the passage of this act for the commencement of the construction of said work; and in case the same shall not be completed within five years thereafter, the privileges herein granted shall be forfeited.

SEC. 10. The said corporation shall be bound to repair all public highways, bridges, water courses which may be injured in constructing said railroad or its appendages, and shall restore them, as far as practicable, to as good a condition as they were before they were injured.

SEC. 11. Any person who shall wilfully injure said railroad or any of the appendages thereto, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor, and shall forfeit to the use of the corporation a sum equal to three times the amount of damages occasioned by such injury; to be recovered with costs of suit, in the name of such corporation, in an action of debt before any court having cognizance thereof, or before any justice of the peace in the county where such offence may have been committed.

SEC. 12. This act shall be deemed and taken as a public act, and shall be construed beneficially for all purposes herein specified or intended, and all copies thereof of printed by or under the direction of the general assembly of this state, shall be received in all courts and places whatsoever in said state, as sufficient evidence thereof, without further proof.

SEC. 13. For the purpose of facilitating the construction of said rail road, said company are hereby authorized to negotiate loans to the amount of the capital stock, and to pledge all its personal and real property for the security thereof; *Provided*, that the individuals of said corporation shall be liable, to the extent of their stock, for the payment of its debts.

SEC. 14. This bill to take effect from and after its passage.

APPROVED February 12, 1849.

AN ACT TO AMEND THE CHARTER OF THE AURORA BRANCH RAIL ROAD COMPANY.

SECTION 1. *Be it enacted by the people of the State of Illinois, represented in the General Assembly,* That the Company incorporated by an act entitled "An Act granting a Charter to the Aurora Branch Rail Road Company," approved Feb. 12th, 1849, be and it is hereby authorized to extend its Road from Aurora, in Kane County, crossing Fox River at a point not further south than the present mill-dam across Fox River in the village of Aurora in said county, in the most direct practicable line, thence in a south-westerly direction on the most direct practicable route to a point at least fifteen miles north of La Salle, and where such Extension may intersect any Rail Road built or to be built northward from the town of La Salle in La Salle County, and there to form a connection with any such Rail Road.

SEC. 2. The said Company is hereby authorized to use and exercise all the powers for obtaining the right of way for the use of said Company, in the construction of said Road, that are given or expressed by the act to provide for a general system of Rail Road Incorporations, approved Nov. 5th, 1849; and the name of said Company is hereby changed to that of "CHICAGO AND AURORA RAIL ROAD COMPANY." Said Company is also authorized and empowered to increase its Capital Stock to a sum not exceeding two millions of dollars.

SEC. 3. This act to take effect and be in force from and after its passage.

APPROVED June 22, 1852. AUG. C. FREINCH.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, { ss.
STATE OF ILLINOIS.

I, David L. Gregg, Secretary of State of the said State of Illinois, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the enrolled law now on file in my office. In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the Great Seal of the State, at Springfield, this 22d day of June, A. D., 1852.

SIDNEY BREESE, Speaker of the House of Rep's.

WM. MCMURTRY, Speaker of the Senate.

DAVID L. GREGG, Secretary of State.

State of Illinois, ss.
Clerk's Office of the Supreme Court—Third Grand Division:

I HEREBY CERTIFY, That a Writ of Error hath issued from this office, for the reversal of
a Judgment obtained by Jacob Grimes —————
against the Aurora Branch Rail Road Company in the Circuit Court
of Kane — county, at the May — Term, in the year of our Lord one
thousand eight hundred and fifty two in a certain action of Trespass on the Case
which Writ of Error is to operate as a Supersedeas, and as such is to be obeyed by all concerned.

Given under my hand, and the Seal of the said Supreme
Court, at Ottawa, this fifteenth day of

July — A.D. 18⁵².

J. Leland Clerk of the Supreme Court.
By P. K. Leland Dsgy. Ck.

State of Illinois, set.

WRIT OF ERROR—FREE TRADER PRINT.

The People of the State of Illinois,
To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of *Kane* — GREETING :

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of *Kane* county, before the Judge thereof, between

Jacob Grimes plaintiff, and Aurora Branch Rail Road Company —

defendant^s it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid *Aurora Branch Rail Road Company* — as we are informed by *their* complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distantly and openly, without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaint aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the county of La Salle, on the *2d Monday in June* — next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof, at Ottawa, this *fifteenth* day of *July* — in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty two.

*S. Leland Clerk of the Supreme Court.
By P. K. Leland Dpy. Ck.*

Kane.

Aurora Branch Rail
Road Company -
Jacob vs Grimes.
Writ-of Error.

Filed July 15th 1852.

L. Leland Clerk
By P.W. Leland Esq.

This writ-of error is made
a supersedens, & as such
is to be obeyed accordingly
by all concerned.

L. Leland Clerk
By P.W. Leland Esq.

Know all men by these presents that in the
~~President Directors & Company of the~~ Aurora
Branch Rail Road Company. and Edward
R. Allen of Kane County Illinois are held
and firmly bound unto Facole Grimes in the
sum of Three hundred dollars for the
payment of which will and truly to be made
by his executors and administrators jointly severally & firmly by these
presents

Witness our hands and seals this
thirteenth day of July AD 1853

The condition of the above
obligation is such that Whereas the said Facole
Grimes die at the May term AD 1852 of the same
County Circuit Court before a Judgment against
the said Aurora Branch Rail Road Company
for the sum of one hundred and ten dollars
and costs ~~from~~ upon which said Judgment of
the same Circuit Court the said Aurora Branch
Rail Road Company have sued out a writ of
error to the Supreme Court of said state of Illinois
and have prayed for and obtained an order
to be entered in said court that said writ
of error be made a supersedeas.

Now if the said Aurora Branch Rail
Road Company shall prosecute the said writ
of error with effect and shall pay theforesaid
costs interest and damages in case the said
Judgment shall be affirmed on the trial of the
said appeal in the said Supreme Court then
the above obligation to be void otherwise to remain
in full force and virtue

Edward R. Allen *Sed*

J. A. Hale Sub.

Name
Aurora, N. R. Company
and
Jacob Grimes
Sapots. Bond

Filed July 15, 1852
C. Leland Clk.