

8446

No.

Supreme Court of Illinois

Morgan & Huntley

vs.

Fallenstein & Gauss

71641  7

Know all men by these presents that
we James M Morgan Robert M Hunday
William L Johnson & Samuel Morgan
are held and firmly bound unto
Charles B Galenstein & Charles W
Gauß Co-partners under the sum
name of Galenstein & Gauß in the
penal sum of twelve hundred
dollars good & lawful money
of the United States for the paym-
nt of which we will & truly to be
made we bind ourselves our
heirs executors and administrators
jointly severally and firmly by
these presents WITNESS our hands
and seals this 13th day of
July A.D. 1860

The condition of the above
obligation is such that whereas
the said Charles B Galenstein and
Charles W Gauß Co-partners as
aforesaid did on the 17th day of
May A.D. 1860 in the Circuit Court
in and for the County of Jackson
and State of Illinois recovered
judgment against the above
bounders James M Morgan
and Robert M Hunday for the
sum of \$ 897 ⁶²/₁₀₀ Dollars damages (in
a certain action of Assumption)
lensel ⁸⁷⁰/₁₀₀ Dollars Costs from
which said Judgment of the said
Circuit Court the said James
M Morgan & Robert M Hunday

are about to prosecute a Writ of
Error to the Supreme Court of said
State for the reversal thereof and
the said Writ of Error being about
to be sued out - Now if the said
~~A~~ James M Morgan and Robert
M Hunnelly shall duly prosecute
their said writ of Error with
effect and moreover pay the
amount of the judgment costs,
interests & damages rendered and
to be rendered against them in
case the said judgment shall
be affirmed in the said Supreme
Court then the above obligation
to be void otherwise to remain in
full force & effect

J M Morgan *[Signature]*
R M Hunnelly *[Signature]*
William Johnson *[Signature]*
Samuel Morgan *[Signature]*

12

Morgan & Hensley

u, {

Haliburton & Gay

Bank

Tulsa July 28. 1860 -
A. Johnston C.M.
" 11

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS,
FIRST GRAND DIVISION----- NOVEMBER TERM, 1861.

ABSTRACT.

Morgan & Hundley, }
VS. } ERROR TO JACKSON.
Fallenstein & Gauss. }

- 1 The record in this case shows that an action of Assumpsit was brought in Jackson Circuit Court, at May Term, 1860, by the Appellees against the Appellants. The Declaration counts first upon a promissory note for \$886.55, with the usual money counts added.
- 4 The Defendants pleaded first the general issue, and secondly, a plea of partial failure of consideration to the first count ; the 2d plea alleging that the "sum of fifty-nine dollars was included in said note, and in consideration of the agreement and promise of the said plaintiff at the time of making said note, and contemporaneously therewith that they, the said plaintiffs, would not institute a suit upon said note, or attempt by legal process, to collect it of said defendants or demand payment thereof of them, until after the first day of June, 1860, and which said time has not yet elapsed, and plaintiffs have instituted this suit and demanded payment of the said sum of \$59 before the said first day of June, 1860, by means
- 5 whereof, the consideration of the said note has failed and this they are ready to verify ; wherefore they pray judgment, &c.

To this 2d plea a general demurrer was filed and the court sustained the demurrer. A trial was then had upon the general issue, and the jury returned a verdict against the Appellants, for \$897.62. Motion for new trial overruled by the court, and judgment rendered upon the verdict of the jury. From this judgment, Morgan & Hundley appealed to this Court.

- The Errors assigned are :—1st, That the Court erred in sustaining demurrer to 2d plea. 2d, That the Court erred in overruling motion for new trial, and entering judgment upon the verdict.
- 10 The main question raised and relied on is, that the Court should have overruled appellees demurrer to appellant's 2d plea, that plea being good as a plea of part failure of consideration.

—See Hill ET AL vs. Enders ET AL 19th Ill., 163.

WILLIAM J. ALLEN,
Attorney for Appellants.

844 Cumbleg
W.S.

Fallenstein & Gang

g u a d

Murphyboro July 14th 1860
To John H Mulroy Esqr.

Dear Sir

Enclosed you will find
final Record in Case of Hallenstein & Gauz vs
Morgan & Hendley, which is made up correct
I think.
Yours H
James P Watson.

P.S. A.W. Rogers Esqr of Carbonate wishes me
to Remind ^{you} of some papers he sent with you
to be filed in this Office. Please send them to me
also the papers in the Case of Masons Mill &
Brewery. (Mechanics Stev)

Yours
J.P.W.

Dear Friend Zees
July 28th

To the Clerk & C

Dear Sir I herewith send
you the Record bond Receipt & the
accompanying fee of five dollars
in the case of Hollenstein & Saenger
vs Morgan & Huddley. I hope
you will issue the Summons at
as early a moment as you conveniently
can for the execution has
been out some time and the
Sheriff is getting somewhat
restless. Enclose the most
to me at this place and I
will see to their delivery.

Yours very respectfully

John H. Mullkey

London

12

To the CPE

State of Illinois,
SUPREME COURT,
First Grand Division.

} ss

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of Jackson Greeting:

Because, In the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Jackson county, before the Judge thereof between Charles B. Pallenstein & Charles M. Gaus - co-partners under the firm name of Pallenstein & Gaus plaintiffs and James M. Morgan & Robert M. Herdley - copartners under the firm name of Morgan & Herdley defendants it is said manifest error hath intervened to the injury of the aforesaid James M. Morgan and Robert M. Herdley as we are informed by their complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly without delay send to our Justices of our Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaint aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at Mount Vernon, in the County of Jefferson, on the 1st Tuesday after 2nd Monday of November next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon. John D. Eaton Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal thereof, at Mount Vernon, this twenty eighth day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixty.

Noah Johnston

Clerk of the Supreme Court.

SUPREME COURT.
First Grand Division.

James M. Morgan &
Robert M. Kennedy

Plaintiffs in Error,

vs.

Charles B. Hallenbeck
Counsel M. Gump
Defendants in Error.

WRIT OF ERROR.

I issue, make a
Supreme Court
and FILED July 28, 1860.
N. Johnston C.M.

THE GRAND DIVISION
SUPREME COURT
OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

Murphyboro Ill

Dec 10th 1860

A Johnson Esq
Mt Vernon Ill

Dear Sir - At the late
term of the Supreme Court the
suit of Morgan & Gundley vs Fallen-
stein & Gaup (No 12) was dismissed.

An order was left with you
I believe for a copy of record to be
sent to Clerk of Jackson Co.

Nothing has been received
her from Mt Vernon in respect
of said cause -

Your attention will
oblige yours very truly
Cornelius J. Ward

Morgan & Hanbury

22

Pallister & Gauss

Letter of G. S. Ward
Paper sent to him
Dec 12, 60 -

State of Illinois } November Ten
Supreme Court } A.D. 1860

James M Morgan &
Robert M Hinsley
Co-partners under the
firm name of
Morgan & Hinsley

In Error

Charles B Gallenstein
Charles W Gaus Co-
partners under the firm
name of Gallenstein & Gaus

The Clerk of
the Supreme Court will please
issue the writ in this case
and direct the same to
the Sheriff of ~~the~~ Jackson
County & oblige

Paresh Duff & McMurtry

12

Morgan & Hensley
by 3

Galenstein & Gump

Pracipe

Tulsa July 28. 1860.
A. Johnston C.M.

State of Illinois,
SUPREME COURT,
First Grand Division.

} ss

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Sheriff of Jackson County.

Because, In the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Jackson county, before the Judge thereof between Charles B. Hallenstein & Charles W. Gaups Co-partners under the firm name of Hallenstein & Gaups plaintiffs and James M. Morgan & Robert M. Herndley copartners under the firm name of Morgan and Herndley defendants it is said that manifest error hath intervened to the injury of said James M. Morgan and Robert M. Herndley as we are informed by this complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment, we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, at Mount Vernon, before the justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said Charles B. Hallenstein & Charles W. Gaups

that they be and appear before the justices of our said Supreme Court; at the next term of said Court, to be helden at **Mount Vernon**, in said State, on the first Tuesday after the second Monday in November next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if they shall think fit; and further to do and receive what the said Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said Hallenstein & Gaups notice together with this writ.

WITNESS, the Hon. John D. Caton Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal thereof, at **MOUNT VERNON**, this twenty-eighth day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixty.

Noah Johnston

Clerk of the Supreme Court.

12

SUPREME COURT.

First Grand Division.

James M Morgan
Robert M Bradley

Plaintiffs in Error,

vs

Charles B. Hallستان
Charles W. Gauß
Defendants in Error.

Defendants in Error.

SCIRE FACIAS.

FILED

Presented the following work by reading to
Dr. J. Ward Captain U.S.A. Surgeon August
2^d 1860 Paulmire F. G. Davis
Left New Haven
Serving 8th Regt 1st

The list of money which has been issued and
spent in this cause, is now a suppressed, and
as such, is to be regarded by all concerned.

No 12

Nov Term 1860

Morgan & Hendry
vs

Fallenstein & Geiss -

Dismissed for want of
prosecution -

Sever to withdraw the
Recd -

Recd withdrawn -

Cutline on page 426 -

426