

8842

No. _____

Supreme Court of Illinois

Wm. H. Watter

vs.

Wm. Kirk, et al,

Trial held before the Honorable Sargent
S. Marshall, presiding Judge of the twelfth Judicial
Circuit at the September and May Terms of the
Jefferson Circuit Court A. D. 1852. in a certain
cause, therein pending, wherein James Vaughan
for the use of Reason Bennett Administrator
of Levi Bennett decd, for the use of William Kirk
is Plaintiff and William H. Watter is Defendant
&c &c

Be it remembered that on the 31st
day of March A. D. 1852 the Defendant herein filed
in the Clerk's Office of the Jefferson Circuit Court
the following ^{Books, my, with assignments thereon} note and transcript of a Judgment
thereon from the docket of William Dodds, a
Justice of the Peace in & for the County of Jefferson
and State of Missouri - which are in words & figures
as follows. -

"On or before the ^{7th} day of November
next, for good, got of the Estate of Levi Bennett, ^{Admin}
by my wife, over and above the specific property, allowed
her as his Widow - I promise to pay Reason Bennett
Administrator of the Estate of Levi Bennett decd, twenty two
dollars 25 cents with interest thereon from date
Aug^r 11th 1844"

"William H. Watter"

On said note is the following endorsement

"I assign the within note to James Vaughan, for value
recd, this first day of November 1844"

"Reason Bennett"

Upon which note are the following file marks & figures
(to wit) "Filed March 31st A. D. 1852"

John Wilbur, Clerk

By J. B. Lamm, D. C.

James Vaughan assignee of Reuben Bennett } Debt by Note
 Adm^r of Levi Bennett decd for the use of } # 31.97
 of William Kirk }
 March 13th 1852

William H. Watson

And now on this day came, the Defendant
 by change of venue from the office of J. H. Watson Esqr -
 The parties appeared with their Witnesses - And Nelson for Def^t
 made a Motion to dismis^s Motion overruled - also pleads
 "Non est factum" and payment without oath - Witnesses being
 Examined - it is considered from the Evidence and the law in the
 case, the Plaintiff have Judgement, against said Defendant
 for the sum of thirty one dollar and ninety seven cents &
 Cost of suit

fees	J. H. Watson Esqr	\$ 1.68
-	W. Dadds -	\$ 1.38
-	Const. Chastain	\$ 0.85
		<hr/>
		3.91
	W. Dadds	J. P.

State of Illinois
 Jefferson County

I W Dadds certify, that the above is
 a true & perfect copy, of the proceedings had in this cause
 Given under my hand and seal this 31st

W Dadds J. P.

Manuscript
 11th day of March 1852
 John McWard
 J. P. Dadds
 Sec

James Vaughan who sued as
assignee of Reasin Bennett
Administrator of Levi Bennett dead
for the use of William Kirk

vs
William H. Walter

Appeal

Mr Joseph Vaughan

Sir, take notice that on the
trial of the above cause, I have no other witness or means
within my knowledge, to prove that the note supposed to have
been assigned, to you by Reasin Bennett (and on which
suit has been brought against me, by you for the use of
William Kirk) was the only note ^{of} Bennett held against
me, at the time my brother James H. Walter, heard ^{of}
Reasin Bennett say, the note he held on me was ^{of}
- except by my own oath, or your
Mr Vernon 10th May 1852. Yours &c

William H. Walter

The Defendant offered to prove by ^{parol} evidence that after
a rendition of Judgment ^{of} on the note, sued on -
Vaughan sold, the ^{debt} Judgment to Kirk as a bad debt
and within recoverts back on him - Ruled out by the
Court and excepted to by ^{ref}

Filed May 10th 1832

John Wilbur
Clerk

By D. W. Lamm D.C.



State of Illinois
Jefferson County

Know all men by these presents, that
We William H Walter and A D. Estes, are held and firmly
bound unto James Vaughan, assignee of R Bennett Adm^r of
Levi Bennett for the use of William Kirk, in the penal
sum of seventy one dollar and seventy five Cents, lawful
Money of the United States, for the payment of which, well and
truly to be made, we bind ourselves our heirs, & Administrators
jointly, severally & firmly by these presents,

Witness our hands and Seals, this day of AD 1852

The Condition of the above obligation, is such, that
Whereas, the said James Vaughan assignee of R Bennett, Adm^r of
Levi Bennett, for the use of William Kirk, did on the 13th day
of March AD 1852 before W Dodg, a Justice of the Peace for the
County of Jefferson, recover a judgment, against the above
bounden William H Walter, for the sum of thirty one - 87, dollars
from which Judgment, said William H Walter, has taken an
Appeal, ~~from~~ to the Circuit Court of the County of Jefferson
aforesaid and State of Illinois, - Now if the sd Wm H Walter
shall prosecute his suit with Effects, and shall pay whatever
Judgment, may be rendered by the Court upon dismissal or trial
of said Appeal, then the above obligation, otherwise to
remain in full force and effect

W H. Walter *LS*

A D. Estes *LS*

Approved at my Office, this

day of

AD 1852

Appeal Bond

Filed March 31st
1852

John Wilburt Clark
By W B Lamm

[Faint, illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]

Vaughan for the use of
Robt

vs

William H. Walter

Summons

Executed by reading to William Beck

30th of April 1852

Perjury	50
12 miles	60
returning	10
	<hr/>
	\$ 120

Thos R R Satterfield
Suff. J. C. Ill.

Key Term Jefferson Circuit Court 1832

Saturday 15th

James Vaughan, Assignee &c
for the use of

William Cork

Appelal

vs

William G. Walter

It is ordered by the Court
that this cause stand continued until
the next term of this Court.

James Vaughan assignee se
for the use of William Keitt
vs
William H Walter

Be it remembered that on the trial
of the above cause, the plaintiff gave in evidence before the
Court, the following described promissory note, to wit: "On
or before the first day of November next" for good & val of
the estate of Levi Bennett, and by my wife, over and above
the specific property allowed her as his Widow. I promise to
pay Reason Bennett, Administrator of the Estate of Levi Bennett
deceased, Twenty two dollars & twenty five cents, with
interest thereon from date
August 11th 1844 "

"William H Walter"

On the back of which is the following
Endorsement (to wit) "I assign the within note to James
Vaughan for value received, this first day of November
1844
Reason ^{the} Bennett
Mark

And the plaintiff then rested his case, whereupon the
Defendant then introduced Dowling Paugh a
Witness, who deposed that he was Probate Justice of
the Peace of Jefferson County when said note was
given by the Deft - that the Deft & Reason Bennett
the payee, came into the Probate Office, to make
a settlement between Defendant's wife (the widow of
the intestate) and said Reason Bennett Administrator
of the estate of Levi Bennett, and that upon such
settlement, said Deft's wife was found indebted, the
Amount of said Note - whereupon Deft gave to Bennett
said Note - that at that time the Estate of said deceased
was supposed to be solvent, and that upon a final settle-
ment thereof - there would be something coming to Deft's wife
and it was agreed between Deft & R. Bennett that he (Bennett)
would hold the Note until said settlement, and if there was

anything due Deft's wife, Bennett was to pay the amount with said note, as far as it went - We believed that the Estate had never been settled, and supposed that it was solvent. It was his impression that said Reason Bennett, had some time after that left the Country, but did not know when he went -

James Walters, another witness introduced on behalf the part of the Defendant, testified that he & Deft were in company, with said Reason Bennett, ~~had some time after that left~~ on the Road, some time in the fall or Summer of the year that Polk was Elected President of the United States, think it was two or three weeks before the Election for President in this State, the weather cold and the roads, muddy at the time - said Bennett & Deft. at that time had some conversation, about a note, that Bennett should, have had on Deft - said Bennett, admitted that the note was settled, and said he would hand it over to him the next time he saw him. We did not describe, or identify ~~and~~ any particular note - they merely spoke of a note, We don't know whether, ~~it is~~ the note offered in evidence or not. - Never had seen this note at the time ~~of the~~ Don't know of Reason Bennett, ^{ever} having had any other note on Deft. - That some time after this, Reason Bennett left the Country - But he cannot ^{positively} now recollect how long this conversation was before ~~before~~ he left the Country. It is a long time since, and his recollection is very indistinct about it.

George Bliss, a witness then introduced on behalf of the Plaintiff, testified, that after Deft. had been sued on the note, he heard Deft. say that he would have paid the note before he was sued if Plff. would have taken it in trade or part trade, for it was a pity for Plff to loose it. But that he would not pay it now until it was made out of him by law, or unless it was made by law. - And that he would give fifty dollars to any one who would tell him where

Reason Bennett was.

Defendant then offered to prove by
a witness, that Vaughan, before he sold the said Note
to Kirk, had recovered a Judgment on the same, before a Justice of the
Peace; and after he had got the ^{2^d} Judgment before the Justice
~~of the Peace~~, he sold the same to William Kirk, Plaintiff, as a
bad & doubtful debt, and without any recourse back on
him, and for less than the Note called for, on its face, which
Evidence was objected to, by the Plaintiff, and the objection was
sustained by the Court, and Defendant was not allowed to
submit said testimony, to which Opinion & ruling of the Court
by his Counsel excepted — ~~That~~ The Counsel for ^{the} Defendant
stated to the Court, that his object in making said proof was
to show, that said Vaughan when he bought the note, was
privity to said Reason having ~~been~~ fraudulently sold, when
it belonged to the Estate of said Levi, and that there was,
something wrong, about the transaction on the part of said
Vaughan — The above was all the Evidence, given on
the trial of this cause — Whereupon the Court rendered
a Judgment, for the P^l, for the amount of the Note & interest,

Which is in the words & figures following (to wit)

James Vaughan for the use of

William Kirk

vs

William H. Walter

Appeal

And now on this day comes the Plaintiff herein by
D. Baugh & R. P. Wigate his Attorneys — as also the
Defendant by Richard S. Nelson his Attorney, and
issue being joined — the Cause is submitted to the Court
upon Evidence — The Court having heard ^{& considered} the Evidence, and
being well advised in the matter, considers that Verdict
and Judgment be for the Plaintiff, for the sum of \$32.50
debt & interest and the Cost of this Suit — Wherefore it

It is considered and ordered by the Court that the said
plaintiff recover of the said Defendant the sum of
thirty two dollars and thirty cents, and the cash
of this suit. ^{that he have Execution thereon &c.} The Defendant then by his Counsel, moved
the Court for a new trial & in arrest of Judgment
but the Court having heard the arguments of Counsel
upon said Motions and being well advised in the matter
overruled said Motions - Therefore the Defendant excepted ¹ 55

The Defendant then by Nelson his Counsel, moved
the Court for a new trial & in arrest of Judgment
which Motions were overruled, to the overruling of said
Motions & to the rendering of the Judgment by the Court the
Defendant Excepted, and prays that his bill of Exceptions
may be signed, sealed & made a part of the record
which is done.

Saml. S. Marshall
Circuit Judge

Filed May 23 1832
John W. Marshall Clerk
By W. W. Johnson Secy

Blanch Terrior Nov 9th 1857

dr lei

Recd # 275

Harper

William H. Matter

v.

William Kirk et al

Filed the 12th day
of November
A.D. 1857

A. D. Preston,
clerk

Fee unpaid

8842

Preface

1852