

8462

No. _____

Supreme Court of Illinois

People, for use of B.A.

Jennings

vs.

Charles H. Jennings et al

1
State of Illinois
Marion County

53
Pleas and Proceedings had
in the Circuit Court in and for
the County of Marion and State of
Illinois before the Hon. Silas L.
Bryan in a cause heretofore pending
wherein The People of the State of
Illinois for the use of Butia Jennings
was Plaintiff and Charles H. Jennings
Green L. Chitwood & John G. Vaughan
Defendants

Be it Remembered that on the 25th day of July A.D.
1866 the above named Plaintiffs filed in the office
of the Clerk of said Circuit Court their Process
for summons against said Defendants, together with
costs bond which are in words and figures following
to wit:

State of Illinois In the Marion County Cir
Marion County)
Circuit Court A.D. 1866 August term

The People of the State
of Illinois for the use of
Butia A. Jennings

vs

Charles H. Jennings,

Green L. Chitwood &

John G. Vaughan

Debt \$3000⁰⁰
Damages \$1000⁰⁰

The clerk will issue as

above as above

O'Meloney & Merrill
attys for defs

State of Illinois
Marion County

I do hereby enter myself
security for costs in the above cause and
acknowledge myself bound to pay
all costs which shall accrue in this
cause to the adverse party or to any of the
officers of this court in pursuance
of the laws of this State

July 9th 1866 H K S Meloney

Approved by me this 25th day of July 1866

H C Moore Clk

Whinupon summons issued in words and
figures hereto

"State of Illinois
Marion County

The People of the State of
Illinois to the Sheriff of said
County greeting. We command you to
Summon Charles W Jennings Green S Chittwood
& John G Vangsd if to be found in your County
to appear before the Circuit Court of Marion
County on the first day of the Term thereof
to be holden at the Court House in Salem
on the third Monday in the month of
August next, to answer the People of the

State of Illinois for the use of Parlia & Jennings
of a plea that they render unto her the
sum of \$3000⁰⁰ Debt which they owe to and
unjustly detain from her to her damage
\$1000⁰⁰ as she says, and herof make due
return to said Court as the law directs

J. J. Wetmore Henry C Moore Clerk of our
said Court and the Seal thereof at St. Louis
this 27th day of July A D 1866

Stamp
.50¢

H. C. Moore Clerk

"Endorsed by the Sheriff as follows

Served within Summons by reading same
to John G. Vaughan & by showing him the
official Seal thereon Aug 1st 1866

Charles H. Jennings not found in Marion County

Joel K. Hilkey Sheriff

I have duly served the within writ by
giving a true copy of same to Ruth Chit-
wood wife of the within named defendant
Green S. Chitwood she being a white fe-
male about the age of 25 years and residing
at the Residence or place of abode of the
said Green S. Chitwood in Marion County
Illinois the said G. S. Chitwood believed to
absent himself from home to evade
service of within writ. Aug 10th 1866

Joel K. Hilkey
Sheriff

4
And afterwards Term on the 11th day of August,
A.D. 1866 said Plaintiffs filed in the Office of the
Clerk of said Court their Declaration which is
in words and figures following to wit
State of Illinois } In the Circuit Court of Marion
Marion County } County August Term A.D. 1866

The People of the State of Illinois who
are for the use of Public A Jennings p^{ly}
in the this said by Milroy & Merritt. Complain
of Charles H Jennings Green S Chitwood and
John G Vaughan Defts of a Plea that they
render unto the s^{ca} p^{ly} for the use aforesaid
said the sum of three thousand Dollars
which they owe to and unjustly detain
from them for the use aforesaid
For that whereas herebefore to wit on the
20th day of June A.D. 1864 at the County
of Marion and State of Illinois the s^{ca}
Defts by their certain writing obligatory (the
s^{ca} Charles H Jennings signing the same thus
"C H Jennings" and the said Green S Chitwood
thus "G S Chitwood" and the s^{ca} John G
Vaughan thus "John G Vaughan") sealed with
their seals and now to the Court here shown
the date whereof is the day and year aforesaid
said acknowledged themselves held and
firmly bound unto the people of the State

5
of Illinois in the Penal sum of three thousand
Dollars Current Money of the United States for
the payment of which \$3000. Well and truly to
be made the sd^{rs} Defts thereby bound themselves their
heirs executors administrators & assigns jointly
and severally Which sd^d writing obligatory was
and is subject to a certain Condition therein
written Wherby after reciting to the effect, follow-
ing to wit: If the said Charles H Jennings descri-
bing him thus "C H Jennings" Administrator
of all & singular the goods and Chattels rights
& Credits of Isaac Jennings Jr deceased do
make or cause to be made a true and
perfect inventory of all and singular of
all the goods and Chattels rights and Credits
of the said deceased which should come
to his hands possession or knowledge of him
the sd^d Charles H Jennings or such adminis-
trator or to the hands of any other person
for him and the same so made do exhibit
it or cause to be exhibited in the County
Court, of Marion County agreeably to law
and such goods and Chattels rights and
Credits do well and truly administer
according to Law and all the rest of the
said goods & Chattels rights and Credits which
should be found remaining upon the account
of the said administrator the same being

6
first examined and allowed by the County
Court (afore said) should deliver and pay
unto such persons or persons respectively
as might be legally entitled thereto and
further if he the sd^d Deft Charles Jennings
as such Admr should cause a just and
true account of all his actings and doings
therein when therunto required by sd^d Court
and further among other things should
as such Admr do and perform in
general all other acts which might be
at any time required of him by Law then
said obligation to be void otherwise to
remain in full force and vertue which
sd^d writing obligatory was duly taken and
approved as the Bond of the sd^d Deft^s and
filed in the said County Court. And the
sd^d Ref avers that the sd^d Deft^s Charles Jennings
do took upon himself to discharge the
duties of Admr of all and singular
the personal effects of the estate of the sd^d
Israel Jennings for deceased and become
and was then & then the Admr of all
the personal estate rights and credits
of sd^d Israel Jennings dead and so con-
tinued to be such Administrator from
thence hitherto until after the Commission of
the grievances and misconducts hereinafter

mentioned. Yet the ^{2^d} P^{ty} for the use
 aforesaid do in fact say that the said
 Deft Charles H Jennings did not faithfully
 discharge all the duties required of him
 as such Administrator as aforesaid, accor-
 ding to the Condition of said Writing
 obligatory but during the time he was
 such adm^r as aforesaid wholly neglected
 refused so to do. But on the contrary then
 of the ^{2^d} P^{ty} aver that the ^{2^d} Charles H Jennings
 broke the Condition of the ^{2^d} Writing obliga-
 tory in this that is to say He the ^{2^d} Deft Charles
 H Jennings as such adm^r of estate of Israel
 Jennings Jnr did receive a large sum of
 money to wit the sum of \$1000. of the rights
 and credits of said estate of Israel
 Jennings Jnr from the executors of the
 estate of Israel Jennings Jnr which
 said sum of one thousand Dollars so
 received by the ^{2^d} Deft Charles H Jennings
 he the ^{2^d} Deft as adm^r in no way or
 manner reported to the said County Court
 nor did he in any manner account
 for the same to said estate of Israel
 Jennings Jnr. on final settlement of said
 estate of Israel Jennings Jnr dec^d. And
 the ^{2^d} P^{ty} for the use aforesaid further
 aver that the said Buelia H Jennings Jnr

8
whose use this action is brought is the
Widow of the late Israel Jennings Jun
deceased and that she is entitled to the
one third part of the Money accruing from
the personal estate of s^d deceased Israel
Jennings Jun after payments of all Just
Claims and demands against said estate
And s^d p^{ty} further aver that after all
Just Claims and demands were proved
and allowed against said estate of
Israel Jennings Jun by the s^d County Court
there remained in the hands of the s^d
Deft Charles A Jennings as such Admin the
said sum of \$1000 so received by him
from the said Executors of the estate
of Israel Jennings Jun the one third
part of which belongs to the said Bulia
A Jennings widow as aforesaid which
he the said Deft wholly refuses to acco-
unt for and pay over to her Therefore
an action hath accrued to the s^d p^{ty}
for the use aforesaid to demand and have
of and from the s^d Deft the s^d sum of
\$500 Dobs aforesaid to be discharged out paymen-
ent of the said s^d part of the said sum of
One thousand Dollars Damages. And the said
Deft although after requested so to do has not
paid the said sum or either or any part

9
thereof to the damage of the said plf for
the sum aforesaid of \$3000 & therefore they
bring said &c. O'Meloney & Merrill for plf

Know all men by these presents that we C
W Jennings S L Chittwood and John S
Vaughan of the County of Marion and
State of Illinois are held and firmly
bound unto the people of the State of
Illinois in the special sum of Three Thousa
nd Dollars current money of the United
States which payment will and truly to
be made and performed we and
each of us our heirs our heirs
executors administrators and assigns
jointly severally and firmly by these
presents. Witness our hands and seals
this 20th day of June A D 1864

The Condition of the above obligation
is such that if the said C W Jennings
Administrator of all and singular the goods
and chattels rights and credits of said
Jennings ^{deceased} do make or cause to be
made a true and perfect inventory of
all and singular the goods and chattels
rights and credits of the said deceased
which shall come to the hands possession
or knowledge of him the said C W Jennings

10
as such Administrator or to the hands
of any person or persons for him and
for same do make do exhibit or cause to
be exhibited in the County Court of the said
County of Marion agreeably to law and
such goods and Chattles rights and cred-
-its do well and truly administer according
to law and all the rest of the said goods and
Chattles rights and Credits which shall
be found remaining upon the account
of the said Administrator the same be-
-ing first examined and allowed by the
County Court shall deliver and pay unto
such person or persons respectively as
may be legally entitled thereto and
further do make a just and true ac-
-count of all his actings and doings therein
when therunto required by said Court
and if it shall hereafter appear that
any Last Will and Testament was made
by deceased and the same be proved
in Court and letters Testamentary or of
Administration be obtained thereon
and the said C. H. Jennings do in such
case on being required thereto render
and deliver up the letters of Adminis-
-tration granted to him as aforesaid
and shall in general do and perform

11 all other acts which may at any time
be required of him by law then this ob-
ligation to be void, otherwise to remain
in full force and virtue

Taken signed and sealed
before me this 21st day of June 1864,

Dwight Tracy Clerk

G. H. Jennings S.S.
G. L. Chitwood S.S.
Jas. G. Vaughan S.S.

And afterwards at the August term of
said Court, the following order appears
of Record herein to wit

The People of the State of Illinois
for the use of Pauline H. Jennings

vs

Charles Jennings Green S. Chitwood
and John G. Vaughan

Debt

Monday August
1866 this cause being called comes the
Defendants by attorney and enter moti-
on to quash service as to Green S. Chit-
wood which motion the Court allows
and orders that the cause stand
continued for service and that
the writ issue to any County.

Whereupon alias summoners issued

in words and figures as follows To wit
Summons Alias

State of Illinois } (The People of the State of Ill
Marion County) } }-inois to the Sheriff of said Cou
ty Greeting

We Command you as hereinafter To Summon
men Charles H Jennings Green S Chittenden
and John G Vaughn if to be found in
your County to appear before the Com
mit Court of Marion County on the first
day of the Term thereof to be holden
at the Court House in Salem on the third
Monday in the month of March next
to answer the people of the State of Illinois
who sue for the use of Julia Jennings
of a plea that they under write her
the sum of \$3000.00 which they owe
to and unjustly detain from her to her
damage \$1000.00 as she says and sheaf
make due return to said Court as
the law directs

Witness Henry Moon Clerk of
said Court and the Seal thereof
at Salem this 16th day of November
AD 1866 H C Moon Clerk

Endorsed by the Sheriff as follows
Executed the within summons by reading
the same to the within named deft Green

S. Childwood & showing him the official
 Seal thereon as I am therein Commanded
 Nov 24th 1866 Joel K. Peckly Sheriff by A. Schaeffer
 John G. Vaughn the within named def-
 endant August Term 1866 Charles H. Jennings
 Crd Journal in Marion County Nov 24th 1866

Joel K. Peckly Sheriff

And afterwards at said August term of
 said Court Do sit on the 21st day of August
 1866 said defendant Vaughn by his attorney
 files pleas to Pliffs declaration in words
 and figures following Do sit

Circuit Court of Marion County Ill
 March Term 1866

The People &c for the use of
 Paulin A Jennings

vs

Charles H Jennings
 Green S Childwood
 John G Vaughn

Debt

And the Defendants
 by W Schaeffer his attorney comes and
 defends the wrong and injury which
 and says that the said supposed writing
 obligatory is not his deed and of this
 the said defendants Vaughn puts himself
 upon the Country &c

W Schaeffer Atty for Deft

And for a further plea in this behalf
the said defendants craveoyer of the
said supposed writing obligatory in
said Declaration mentioned and it is read
to him and they craveoyer of the condition
of the said supposed writing obligatory
and it is read to him in these words
That if the said CH Jennings Administrator
of all and singular the goods and Chattels
rights and Credits of Israel Jennings
deceased do make or cause to be
made a true and perfect inventory of
all and singular the goods and Chattels
rights and Credits of the said deceased
which shall come to the hands possession
or knowledge of him the said CH Jennings
as such Administrator or to the hands
of any person or persons for him and
the same do exhibit or cause
to be exhibited in the County Court of the
said County of Meath lawfully to Law
and such goods and Chattels rights and
Credits do well and truly administer
according to Law and all the rest of the
said goods and Chattels rights and Credits
which shall be found remaining upon
the account of the said Administrator the
same being first examined and allowed

By the County Court shall deliver and
 pay unto such person or persons respecti-
 vely as may be legally entitled thereto
 And further do make a just and true
 account of all his writings and doings
 therein when therunto required by said
 Court and if it shall hereafter appear
 that any last will and testament was
 made by deceased and the same be
 proved in Court and letters testamentary
 or of Administration be obtained there-
 on and the said Charles Jennings do in
 such case not being required thereto
 render and deliver up the letters of
 Administration granted to him as
 aforesaid and shall in general do
 and perform all other acts which
 may at any time be required of him
 by Law then this obligation to be void
 otherwise to remain in full force and
 virtue. Which being read and heard
 the said Defta say actis non videtur
 they say that the said Charles Jennings
 at all times since the making of the said
 writing obligatory and the conditions thereof
 has truly kept and performed all and
 singular the articles clauses payments condi-
 tions and agreements in the said condition

16 of the said writing obligatory contained
to the true intent, and meaning thereof
and this the said Defts are ready to verify
Wherefore they ^{pray} Judgment &c

W Schaeffer Atty for Defts

3 And for a further plea in this behalf
of the said Defendants say that they
ought not to be charged with the said
debt by virtue of the said supposed
writing obligatory because they say
that whatever money came into the
hands of the said Charles Jennings
other than those that he has truly and
fully accounted for as administrator
from the executors of the estate of
Israel Jennings Senior came to him
not as administrator but as one of the
children of Israel Jennings Junior by
virtue of a provision and bequest in
the last will and testament of the said
Israel Jennings Senr which was duly pro-
ved in the County Court of Marion Co Ills
as follows to wit "It is further my will
that should there be anything remain-
ing after paying my just debts fune-
ral expenses, bequests and the necessary
expenses of the settlement of my estate

that the same may be equally divided between my following named children to wit Charles W Jennings and Jennings Mary White and Richard Ann McElwain and in case of the death of either or all of my last mentioned children then to be divided among their children the child or children of each one taking their deceased parents portion in equal parts among them" - And the said Defendants aver that the said Israel Jennings Jr one of the children mentioned in the will and testament of the said Israel Jennings Sr had departed this life before he was entitled to the money in Pliffs declaration mentioned and before it was in the hands of the said Executors of the said Israel Jennings Sr and that it was never in the hands or possession of the said Israel Jennings Junior and that the said Pliffs are not entitled to any part thereof for the use of the said Julia A Jennings. And this the said Defts are ready to verify Whereupon they pray Judgment &c

Wm Shaffer atty for
Deft

And approved at the March Term 1867 of said Court David on the 4th day of April The following agreement and Statement of fact was filed herein David

The People of the County
of Pulaski Jennings

vs

Charles W Jennings (Admors
of Israel Jennings Jr) & John
G Vaughn & Green S Whitwood

It is agreed that this Suit be tried and determined on the following agreement and statements of fact which it is admitted to be true and material

1 That Israel Jennings Sen died 7th Aug 1861 testate. That his will is probated in words and figures following David

In the name of God I men, I Israel Jennings of the County of Marion and State of Illinois being weak of body and of sound mind and memory and considering the mortality of the flesh and wishing to dispose of my property both real and personal in a way that shall not after my death, cause strife and dissatisfaction among my children, do make

Ordain publish and declare this my
 last will and testament hereby revo-
 king and annulling all former will
 and testaments by me heretofore made
 First it is my will that all my just debts
 and funeral expenses be first paid after
 which I dispose of my property both real
 and personal as follows - that is to say, I
 give and bequeath unto my beloved wife
 L.P. Jennings the East half of the North East
 quarter of Section thirty three in Township
 one North of Range one East. Also our other
 tract of Land lying and situate adja-
 cent and immediately South of the above
 described tract of Land all of which Land
 is situate in the County of Marion and
 State of Illinois aforesaid containing in
 all one hundred and sixty acres. The my
 said wife to have and to hold the said
 Land so long and for such time as she
 may remain sole and unmarried after
 my death and no longer upon which
 lands is situate the improvements and
 which also constitutes the homestead
 where I now reside, I also give and be-
 queath to my said wife the Rockaway Carriage
 and our horse to be chosen by her out
 of my stock of horses, to work in the same.

I also give and bequeath unto my said wife one third part of all my personal estate after the payment of all my just debts funeral and administration expenses said Carriage and horse above specified to be computed as a part of my said wife's third part of my personal estate

I give bequeath and devise unto my son Charles W Jennings in addition to what he has already received from me hereinafter the North West quarter of the South West quarter of Section No twenty six in Township No one North of Range No one East of the third principal Meridian containing forty acres and adjoining my said Charles land on the East. I also give and bequeath unto the said Charles one hundred dollars in Cash and also one hundred dollars worth of Personal property at its valuation

I give bequeath and devise unto my son Israel Jennings two hundred dollars in Cash and also one hundred dollars worth of personal personal property to be taken at its valuation and should the said Israel be indebted to my estate at my death then and in that case the amount of such indebtedness

to be taken out and deducted from the
 amount of cash above bequeathed to him
 I give and bequeath to my son William
 W. Jennings Two hundred dollars in cash
 I give and bequeath unto my daughter Mary
 White three hundred dollars in cash
 which is to be loaned out at interest and
 my said daughter Mary is to have the
 interest accruing thereon from year to year
 for her own proper use and benefit and
 at her death the said sum of three hun-
 dred dollars to be equally divided between
 her three children Israel John and Matthew
 and in case of the death of any or either
 of them to the survivor or survivors.

I give and bequeath unto my grand son Jef-
 ferson Davidson son of George Davidson
 and America Davidson one hundred
 dollars in cash to be loaned out by my
 Executors at interest from year to year with
 the interest accruing thereon and to
 be paid together with the accruing in-
 terest to said Jefferson when he may ar-
 rive at twenty one years of age in case
 he the said Jefferson shall live to that
 age and in case he the said Jefferson
 should die without issue before he ar-
 rives at twenty one years of age then and

in that case said sum of one hundred dollars together with the interest which may accrue thereon to go to and be paid over to my grand son John White in case he should survive the said Jefferson

I give and bequeath unto said George Davidson the sum of twenty five dollars to be deducted out of any amount he may be owing my estate at my death provided he shall be owing my estate that amount.

I give and bequeath unto my daughter Richard Ann McElwain three hundred dollars. It is further my will that if there should not be an amount of money and property at my death sufficient to pay the foregoing bequests, then and in that case, each one as above specified is to be paid an equal ratio according to their several amounts as above specified and wait for the residue until the proceeds of my lands can be realized. It is also my will that my lands remaining undispensed of by this will shall be sold by my Executors at public sale after giving such notice as my Executors shall think

necessary upon the following terms
to-wit: the purchaser paying one fourth
of the purchase money at the time
of sale and the residue in three equal
installments of twelve, eighteen and twenty
four months the purchaser also
giving bond and approved security
and mortgage on the premises to secure
the payment of the purchase money
Said lands to be sold in such quanti-
ties and subdivisions as my said Execu-
tors may think best for the interest of
my estate. And it is also my will that
upon the death of my said wife or
upon her intestacy after my death
in case she should so marry, that the
lands of which she is left possessed
under this will be sold in the same way
and upon the same terms as herein
above specified for the sale of my other
lands directed to be sold. The sale of
my lands to take place as soon after
my death as may be convenient except
the said lands of which my said wife
is hereby possessed.

It is further my will that after the payment of
all my just debts funeral and other necessary
expenses in the settlement of my estate are

fully paid and satisfied and also after the full and complete payment of the bequests and legacies herein above specified should there be anything or sufficient remaining that my Executors shall loan out one hundred dollars at interest for the use of my said Grandson Jefferson Davidson until the said Jefferson shall be come of age then the said sum of one hundred dollars together with the interest accruing thereon to be paid to the said Jefferson by my Executors provided that if the said Jefferson should die without issue before he arrives at full age then and in that case the said last mentioned sum of one hundred dollars together with the interest that shall or may accrue thereon to be paid to my children and their descendants as hereinafter mentioned.

It is further my will, that should there be any thing remaining after paying my just debts funeral expenses bequests and the necessary expenses of the settlement of my estate, that the same may be equally divided between my following named children to wit Charles W. Jennings Israel Jennings Mary White and

25
Richard Amos McElwain, and in case
of the death of either or all of my last
mentioned children, their to be divided
among their children, the child or
children of each our taking their dear
old parents portion in equal parts
among them. I do hereby ^{constitute and} appoint
Charles W Jennings Rufus M Elwain of
Marion County and John H Watson of
Mt Vernon Illinois my true and lawf-
ul Executors to execute and carry into
effect this my last will and testament
fully and in all respects

In testimony whereof I have hereunto
set my hand and affixed my seal this
the twentieth day of April in the year
of our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and and fifty four

(Signed) Israel ^{his} Jennings ^{mark} Sen ^{Sen}

- 2 That Charles Jennings & Rufus M Elwain
qualified as Executors under that will
That Israel Jennings Jr was a son of
Israel Sen and one of his legal heirs and
deceased in said will. departed this life
19th of Sept 1861, and left at his death
Barlia Jennings for whom now this will
is brought his widow and several children

with a former wife and several with ^{Survors} Widows, and further that sd Israel Jr did not state

3 It is further agreed that the executors paid off the debts of Israel Sr, & the specified legacies that were to be paid in money. That the Executors under the will afterwards made sale of lands belonging to the estate of Israel Jennings Sr on the 25th day of May 1863 for the sum of \$ 3451⁰⁰ net and after payment of expenses, there was subject to administration to the devisees under the will the sum of \$ To each of said devisees To wit Charles W. Israel Mrs. R. A. McElwain and Mary White

4 That the said Executors in Sept 1865 paid over to the Admr of Israel Jennings Jr to wit to sd Charles W Jennings the sum of \$ 357⁰⁰ which accrued from the sale of the land of said Israel Sr as aforesaid. That the Administrator of the estate of Israel Jennings Jr never accounted for that money, which was paid to him from the Executors of the will of Israel Sr, but claims that as this was paid to him from the sale of the lands under the will of Israel Jennings

27
That the same shall be wholly paid
to the heirs at Law of Israel Jennings and
that no part of it has been or by law
is distributable to the widow of the
said Israel Jennings Jr to wit the said Lucia
Jennings Jr whose name this suit is brought
It is further admitted that Deft Charles
W Jennings the admr of Israel Jennings
Jr never reported his assets the said sum
of \$35450 and without so doing paid
the whole amount to the children of
Israel Jr repelling the claims and
demands of said Lucia although she
has often requested him to pay the same
It is further agreed that the only point
for decision is whether the said Lucia Jen-
nings the widow of Israel Jennings Jr
is or is not entitled to share in the dis-
tribution of the money arising from the
sale of the lands of Israel Jennings Jr
both deceased under the will
The parties hereto reserve the right of
appeal, or to prosecute a writ of error
at their option to the Supreme Court
from any decision which may be made
herein, & that the decision of the Supreme Court
be entered by that Court as final if the
Court be of opinion that said Lucia is

entitled to share in the fund arising from the sale of said lands. The judgment is to be rendered for Plf for \$83000, Debt to be satisfied on payment of \$11800 Dollars Damages & Costs But if the Court decides the law on the facts stated to be against the plf then the said suit is to be dismissed Plfs cost. But if more than \$11800^{shall} hereafter appear to be due on distribution of the money paid to the Admr of Israel for the judgment for the \$11800 shall be no bar to further recovery.

O'Melroy & Murritt Attys for Plf

M. S. Shaffer Atty for Def

11

Whereupon at the March term 1867 of said Court the following order is entered of Record to wit

The People of the State of Illinois for the use of Paulin A Jennings

vs

Charles W Jennings
 Grand S. Chitwood and
 John G. Vaughan

Debt

And now at this day To-wit April 4th 1867 this cause being called on the plaintiffs by O'Melroy and Murritt their attorney

And the Defendants by Schaeffer their attorney and this cause is by agreement submitted to the Court for trial upon a written statement of facts and the Court being sufficiently advised in the premises gives judgment for the Defendants

Whereupon the Plaintiffs by their said attorneys enter their motion for new trial which motion is refused by the Court. It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that said Defendants do have and recover of and from said Plaintiffs the costs herein accrued and that Execution may issue therefor &c

And the Plaintiffs by their said attorneys pray an appeal to the Supreme Court which is granted upon Pliffs entering into Bond of \$5000 within 60 days from the adjournment of this term of Court to be approved by the Clerk of this Court

And afterwards to wit on said 4th day of the 1867 said Plaintiffs filed Bill of exceptions herein which is in words and figures following to wit

State of Illinois } In the Circuit Court
Marion County } March Term 1867

The People &c for the
Use of Bulia Jennings

vs

Chas W Jennings Adm
of Israel Jennings decd
&c

Debt

Be it remembered that
this cause was tried by Silas S Bryan
Judg &c. without a Jury by consent of
parties on the following statement of facts
and stipulations which was all the
evidence in the case

(See Page 18 of this Record)

And the Court having considered & being
fully advised. find the issues for the de-
fents and thereupon sa^d Plfs enter their
motion for a new trial 1st because
said verdict is against the law, 2^d the
^{said verdict is against the evidence}
verdict ought to have been for the Plf
which motion was by the Court overruled
to which Judgment of the Court in over-
ruling said motion for a new trial
the sa^d Plf by their counsel at the time
assented

Whereupon the Court rendered Judgment
for the said Defts for their costs, and
dismissed the sa^d suit of the said Plfs

From the docket to all which said
 Ples at the time excepted, & prays that
 this their bill of exceptions be signed
 and sealed & made a part of the
 record which is done in open Court

Silas P. Bryan Seal

Judge of the Circuit

And afterwards to wit on the 15th day of April
 1867 the Plaintiff file their Bond which
 is in words and figures following to wit

Know all men by these presents that Bulfinch
 Jennings and Thomas Merritt are held
 and firmly bound unto Green S. Chittenden
 and Charles H. Jennings & John G. Vaughan
 in the penal sum of \$100⁰⁰ lawful
 money of the United States for the pay-
 ment of which will and truly to be
 made we bind ourselves our heirs
 Executors and administrators jointly
 severally & firmly by these presents Witness
 our hands and seals this 15th day of
 April 1867. The conditions of this obli-
 gation is such that whereas the above
 named Bulfinch Jennings recovered a
 Judgment at the March Term of the
 Marion County Circuit Court AD 1867
 against the said Charles H. Jennings

Ernest S. Chittwood and John G. Vaughn
 Administrator and obligors of Sarah
 Jennings deceased from which judg-
 ments the said Bulcia Jennings she app-
 eal to the Supreme Court of the State
 of Illinois to be held in June next
 Now if the said Bulcia Jennings appel-
 lant shall well and truly pay the cost
 made and appealed from and made in
 said cause and shall duly and dili-
 gently prosecute said appeal then
 this obligation shall be void otherwise
 to remain in full force

Bulcia Jennings ^{her} ^{self}
 J. E. Murray ^{and}

Approved April 15th 1867
 H. C. Moon Clk.

State of Illinois) ss.
 Marion County) J. Henry C. Moore
 Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for the
 County and State aforesaid do hereby certify
 the foregoing to be a true and correct Trans-
 script of the Record and Proceedings
 had in the above entitled cause

Witness my hand and
 the seal of said Court at Salem
 this 17th day of April 1867
 H. C. Moon Clk.



And Comrs the said plaintiff, (app^t) by A K S. O'Malley, their atty, and say that, the Court erred in the rendition of the judgment therein, 1st Because on the evidence the judgment should have been rendered for the said def^s, (the app^t) and not for app^{er}, 2. The judgment is contrary to the law, and the evidence wherefore, they pray the same be reversed and final judgment rendered in this Court, &c which should have been rendered in this cause

A K S O'Malley
for app^t

And the said Def^s (appellees) by M. Schaeffer their atty say that the Court did not err as above by the Def^s alleged or charged

M Schaeffer Atty
for Appellees

25
The People vs
James of Berlin
James
95
Charles H Jennings
James S Chittenden
James G Campbell

Filed May 10-1869
Washington City

113.00

Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

FIRST GRAND DIVISION.

June Term, A. D. 1867.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,
FOR THE USE OF BULIA A. JENNINGS,
Appellant, vs.
CHARLES H. JENNINGS, GREEN L. CHIT-
WOOD, and JOHN G. VAUGHN, *Appellee.*

Appeal from Marion.

Page 1.

Debt.

2 & 3

Bond for costs. Summons and return.

5 6 7 & 8

Declaration in usual form.

9 10 & 11

Copy of administrator's bond sued on in usual form.

11

Motion to quash service allowed and cause continued with *alias*.

12

Alias—writ and sheriff's return.

13 14 15 16 & 17

Pleas of defendant filed.

18

Cause submitted to the Court for trial on the following agreed statement of facts, in writing, viz: "It is agreed that Israel Jennings, sen., died on the 7th day of August, 1860, testate; that his will was probated in the words and figures following, to wit: (Here the will is set out in *haec verba*, upon pages 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 & 25.) No controversy exists as to the specific legacies, and they have all been settled up, but the only passages in said will, material in this case, are in the words and figures following,

it is further agreed that the point for decision is whether said Bulia Jennings, the widow of Israel Jennings, junior, is or is not entitled to share in the distribution of the money arising from the sale of the lands of Israel Jennings, senior, late deceased under the will.

The parties hereto reserve the right of appeal, or to prosecute a writ of error at their option, to the Supreme Court, from any decision which may be made herein, and that the decision of the Supreme Court be entered by that Court as final, if the Court be of the opinion that the said Bulia is entitled to share in the fund arising from the sale of lands, then judgment is to be rendered for Plaintiff for \$3000 debt, to be satisfied on payment of \$118 00, damages and costs. But if the Court decides the law on the facts stated to be against the plaintiff, then the said suit is to be dismissed at plaintiff's cost. But if more than \$118 00 shall hereafter appear to be due on distribution of the money paid to the administrator of Israel, junior, the judgment for the \$118 00 shall be no bar to further recovery.

O'MELVENY & MERRITT, Atty's for Plaintiffs.
M. SHAEFFER, Attorney for Defendant.

29

Whereupon, the Court found the issues for defendants and gave judgment that the defendants recover their costs from plaintiffs, &c. Plaintiffs excepted at the time and filed their bill of exceptions, setting out the foregoing statement.

30 & 31

Prayer for an appeal granted, and appeal bond filed.

32

Clerk's certificate.

ERRORS:

1. The judgment should have been assessed for the Appellant and not for Appellees.
2. The judgment is contrary to law and the evidence.

PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF.

1. The *Will* constitutes the Executors, trustees to sell and convey all the real estate of the estate of Israel, senior, (not specifically devised to others) and to collect the money, and after payment of legacies, debts, and expenses of the trust, to divide the residue, equally, between Charles Jennings, Mrs. McElwain, Mrs. White, and the husband of Bulia Jennings, to wit, Israel Jennings, junior.

2. Israel, senior, died in 1860; left a large estate. After the payment of all legacies, debts, and expenses, the Executor sold a portion of the real estate, collected the money, and paid to the defendant Charles A. Jennings, administrator of the estate of Israel, junior, a portion of the funds; Israel, junior, having departed this life *intestate*, in 1861.

3. The will of Israel Jennings, senior, took effect at his death in 1860; then Israel, junior, was living; then, a vested interest, or right to the share of his father's estate, under the residuary distribution clause, took effect. It was a right to money, not to realty. He died *intestate*, and that right to so much money as should fall to his share, is the legitimate personal estate of Israel, junior, and subject to distribution as such.

4. That the grand-children should take the share of a deceased parent, was to prevent a "lapsed legacy;"—nothing seems indicated in the *will*, to prove that *the right* of Israel Jennings, junior, to the interest devised in his father's estate, did not vest, at the death of his father in 1860.

H. K. S. O'MELVENY, Atty for Appellant.

25-
The People for the use
of Julia Jennings
vs.

Charles H. Jennings & Co.

Error to Writ of

Abstract & Brief
of Record

Filed June 5th 1867
W. C. Johnston & Co.

Clock's certificate
I have for my file of records and abstracts and their
copies of exceptions setting out the foregoing statements
found as set forth in the foregoing statements.

M. W. KEEFER, Attorney for Defendant.

THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
COLUMBIA, MISSISSIPPI, do hereby certify that the
foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original
as the same appears on file in the office of the
Clerk of the Court at the City of Natchez, Mississippi,
this 5th day of June, 1867.

Abstract of the record in the case of
The People for the use of Julia Jennings
vs. Charles H. Jennings & Co.
Case No. 1000
The record in this case is as follows:
The Court do hereby certify that the
foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original
as the same appears on file in the office of the
Clerk of the Court at the City of Natchez, Mississippi,
this 5th day of June, 1867.

GREEN T. CHILDS
JAMES H. JENNINGS
THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

Witness my hand

MISSISSIPPI DIVISION

Subscribers Comptroller of the State of Mississippi

~~Prase Ch. I.~~ The well established principle in the construction of wills is, that the intention of the testator to be gathered from the words of the will must prevail. This is a settled Canon of interpretation.

We are satisfied, no present interest passed to Israel Jennings Jr. as the land was not converted into money until after his death, and by the express terms of the will, in case of the death of any one of testator's children, his share was to go to his children as he might leave.

Mass. by Whelan 2 Edw. Ch. 156. 1 Sumner on Wills 760 (vide copy)
 The ~~admiral~~ ~~testator's~~ ~~rights~~ ~~rights~~ ~~rights~~ Court decided contrary in adjudging that the amount paid over to the administrators of Israel Jennings Jr. by the executors was properly paid to him and that he holds the same as trustee for the heirs at Law of said Israel Jr.

According to the agreement of the parties, the decision of this Court being against the plaintiff, the suit is dismissed at her costs.

25 — 15

People for the
West

as
Charles H. Jennings

opinion by
Brose Ch. Jr.

✓
Ch

C. 7. 00
100

Suit dismissed.

O'MELVENY & HOUCK,

Attorneys at Law.

Cairo, Ill., May 9. 1867

Hon. Noah Johnston

Mt. Vernon.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed you will find a record
of a cause, upon which you will please
issue at once, & ~~trans~~ mit papers
to the Sheriff of Marion Co. I will
pay fees when I come up in June

Yours H.

H. K. S. O'Melveny

In the Supreme Court--State of Illinois.

FIRST GRAND DIVISION.

STATE OF ILLINOIS, }
MARION COUNTY, } SS.

JUNE TERM, A. D. 1867.

The People &c. for the use of Bulia Jennings }
vs. [Chitwood. } Error to Marion.
Chas. H. Jennings, John G. Vaughn & Green L. }

DEFENDANT'S BRIEF.

1. This is a controversy arising from the construction of the will of Isreal Jennings, sr. The will makes special legacies, and then provides that the executors shall sell all the real estate, and after the payment of the debts, expences, &c., the balance of the proceeds of the sale shall be divided equally among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the portion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal parts.

Isreal Jennings, sr., died in 1860.

Isreal Jennings, jr., (one of the four children named,) died in 1861 intestate. In 1863, the executors of the will of Isreal Jennings, sr., sold the land. Bulia Jennings, the widow of Isreal, jr., now claims one third of the one fourth of the proceeds of the sale of the land as dower.

2. In the construction of wills, the intention of testator expressed in the will in clear and unambiguous terms, must govern if it be not illegal or against good morals. In this case the intention of the testator is clear, beyond doubt, that the proceeds of the sale of the land should go to the children of the deceased in equal parts, and not to the widow; and I hold that if the widow, for whose use this suit is brought, will succeed, the intention of the testator will be frustrated in two particulars.

1st. The children of the deceased son will get but two-thirds, instead of all the portion of their father.

And 2ndly, the children by the first wife will get their proportion out of two-thirds *only*, whilst the children by the second will get their proportion of the two-thirds *now*; and the widow's third at her death. That is, the children will not inherit *all* their father's portion, neither will they take in *equal parts*, as the will provides.

3. What kind of a legacy was thus created? It is not legacy of real estate because no real estate was to pass to the legatee. It is not an ordinary legacy of personal estate, because there was no personal estate in existence when the testator died. *It is a legacy charged upon real estate.*

1. Redfield on Wills, page 278; Raferty vs Clark, 1 Bradf Sur Rep 473; Cox vs Corkendall, 2 Beasley 138; Massaker vs Massaker, 2 Beasley 264; Baker vs Copenbarger, 15 Ills, 103.

4. Where real estate is ordered to be sold and converted into personalty, the avails will not be held to be a portion of the general personalty of the estate.

1. Redfield on Wills, 275; Sheddon vs Goodrich, 8 Vesey 481; Hooper vs Goodwin, 18 Vesey 156; Gallin vs Noble, 3 Mer 691.

5. If I am correct in the position, that the legacy in controversy is a legacy charged upon real estate, (and I think the authorities referred to establish this point,) then the remaining important question is, When does this legacy take effect? The rule in England and America, in regard to legacies charged upon real estate is that they are deferred until the time of payment.

2. Redfield on Wills, 624; Remnant vs Hood, 6 Jur N S, 1173; White vs Baker, 6 Jur n s 591.

1. Jarman on Wills, 704; Hawkins vs Everett, 5 Jones, Exq., 42; Simpson vs Spence, id 208; Fairbault vs Taylor, 5 Jones, Exq., 219.

6. Legacies charged upon real estate, lapse if the legatee die before the time of payment.

2. Redfield on Wills, 504; Lyman vs Vanderspiegel, 1 Aikens et Reps 75—280.

7. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in controversy, in conformity with the intention of the testator. The judgment of the Circuit Court should be affirmed.

M. SCHÆFFER, Att'y for the Def'ts.

25—
The People for use of
Burlie & Jennings et
als

vs
Chas H Jennings et al

Deft's Brief

M. SCHEFFNER, ATT'Y for the Deft.

of the Circuit Court should be affirmed.
1. The law not only justified, but demands the construction of the will in 25-280.

2. Hedfield on Wills 201; Tynan vs Lee, 2 Ridgell, 1 Volume of Peck time of payment.

3. Legacies charged upon real estate. In re the legatee the before the Simpson vs Spence, 11 308; Kirkham vs Taylor, 2 Jones, Eq. 519.

4. Tynan on Wills, 301; Jackson vs Brown, 2 Jones, Eq. 152; vs Babin, 2 Id. 118 201.

5. Hedfield on Wills, 694; Remond vs Reed, 6 Id. 1119; White upon real estate is that they are separated until the time of payment.

take effect. The rule in England and America, in regard to legacies charged the point, than the respective important question is, When does the legacy not charged upon real estate, (and I think the authorities referred to establish

2. If I am correct in the position, that the legacy in controversy is a leg. in Goodwin, 18 700; 130; Quinn vs Noble, 2 Id. 501.

3. Hedfield on Wills, 512; Sheehan vs Goodwin, 2 V. 201; Hedder calls will not be held to be a portion of the general personality of the estate.

4. Where real estate is ordered to be sold and converted into personalty the Deft. vs Cook, 13 102.

5. Hedfield on Wills, 135; Remond vs Reed, 6 Id. 1119; 201; Deft. vs Cook, 13 102; 135; Remond vs Reed, 6 Id. 1119; 201; the testator died in a state of insolvency upon real estate.

6. Hedfield on Wills, 512; Deft. vs Clark, 3 Bond, 204; 213; of personal estate, because there was no personal estate in existence when legacies were charged upon real estate to the legatee. It is not an ordinary legacy

7. What part of a legacy was this estate? It is not legacy of real estate as the will provides.

8. Will not inherit and the father's portion, neither will they take in equal parts, the two-thirds now, and the widow's third of her share. That is the children

two-thirds only, & what the children by the second wife get their proportion of

and the portion of their father.

9. The children of the deceased son will not have their father's estate will be restricted in two particulars.

10. The widow and the son is provided, will succeed, the intention of the testator

expressed in equal parts, and not to the widow; and I hold that if the widow

and the proceeds of the sale of the land should go to the children of the deceased

will in clear and unambiguous terms, must prevail if it be not lifted or against

2. If the construction of wills, the intention of testator expressed in the

terms of the proceeds of the sale of the land is given

11. In fact, the execution of the will of James Jennings, Jr., and the land, James Jennings, Jr. (one of the four children named) died in 1801 into

James Jennings, Jr. (one of the four children named) died in 1801 into

James Jennings, Jr. (one of the four children named) died in 1801 into

James Jennings, Jr. (one of the four children named) died in 1801 into

James Jennings, Jr. (one of the four children named) died in 1801 into

James Jennings, Jr. (one of the four children named) died in 1801 into

James Jennings, Jr. (one of the four children named) died in 1801 into

James Jennings, Jr. (one of the four children named) died in 1801 into

James Jennings, Jr. (one of the four children named) died in 1801 into

James Jennings, Jr. (one of the four children named) died in 1801 into

Filed June 5th 1864
Wm Johnston Clk

STATE OF ILLINOIS, }
MAYOR GENERAL, }
CHIEF CLERK, }
JUNE TERM, A. D. 1867.
FIRST GRAND DIVISION.
In the Supreme Court—State of Illinois.

Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

FIRST GRAND DIVISION.

June Term, A. D. 1867.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,
FOR THE USE OF BULIA A. JENNINGS,
Appellant, vs.
CHARLES H. JENNINGS, GREEN L. CHIT-
WOOD, and JOHN G. VAUGHN, *Appellee.* } Appeal from Marion.

Page 1.

Debt.

2 & 3

Bond for costs. Summons and return.

5 6 7 & 8

Declaration in usual form.

9 10 & 11

Copy of administrator's bond sued on in usual form.

11

Motion to quash service allowed and cause continued *with alias*.

12

Alias—writ and Sheriff's return.

13 14 15 16 & 17

Pleas of defendant filed.

18

Cause submitted to the Court for trial on the following agreed statement of facts, in writing, viz: "It is agreed that Israel Jennings, sen., died on the 7th day of August, 1860, testate; that his will was probated in the words and figures following, to wit: (Here the will is set out in *haec verba*, upon pages 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 & 25.) No controversy exists as to the specific legacies, and they have all been settled up, but the only passages in said will, material in this case, are in the words and figures following,

22 & 23

to-wit: "It is also my will, that my lands remaining undisposed of by this will shall be sold by my Executors, at public sale, after giving such notice as my Executors shall think necessary, upon the following terms, to wit: The purchaser paying one-fourth of the purchase money at the time of sale, and the residue in three equal instalments of twelve, eighteen and twenty-four months; the purchaser also giving bond and approved security and mortgage on the premises to secure the payment of the purchase money. Said lands to be sold in such quantities and sub-divisions as my Executors may think best for the interest of my estate. The sale of my lands to take place as soon after my death as convenient, except the said lands of which my said wife is hereby possessed.

25

* * * It is further my will, that should there be anything remaining after paying my just debts, funeral expenses, bequests, and the necessary expenses of the settlement of my estate, that the same may be equally divided between my following named children, to wit: Charles W. Jennings, *Israel Jennings*, Mary White, and Richard Ann McElwain, and in case of the death of either or all of my last named children, then to be divided among their children, the child or children of each one taking their deceased parent's portion among them. I do hereby constitute and appoint Charles W. Jennings, Rufus McElwain of Marion county, and John Watson of Mount Vernon, Illinois, my true and lawful Executors, to execute and carry into effect this my last will and testament, fully and in all respects."

26

Secondly, it is agreed, that Charles Jennings and Rufus P. McElwain qualified as Executors under the will, that Israel Jennings, junior, was the son of the testator and one of his legal heirs and devisees in said will, and that he died 19th of September, 1861, leaving Bulia Jennings, for whose use this suit is brought, his widow, with several children by a former wife, and several by her; and further, that said Israel, junior, died intestate.

Thirdly, it is further agreed, that the Executors paid off the debts of Israel, senior, and the specified legacies that were to be paid in money. That the Executors under the will, afterwards made sale of lands belonging to the estate of Israel Jennings, senior, on the 25th day of May, 1863, for the sum of \$3,451 00, and after payment of expenses, there was subject to administration to the devisees under the will the sum of \$ to each of said devisees, to wit: Charles W. Israel, Jr., R. N. McElwain and Mary White.

Fourthly, that the said Executors in September, 1865, paid over to the administrator of Israel Jennings, junior, to wit: the said Charles H. Jennings, the sum of \$345 00, which accrued from the sale of the lands of said Israel, senior, as aforesaid; that the

administrator of the estate of Israel Jennings, junior, never accounted for that money, which was paid to him from the Executors of the will of Israel, senior, but claims that as this was paid to him from the sale of the lands under the will of Israel Jennings, senior, the same shall be wholly paid to the heirs at law of Israel, junior, and that no part of it has been, or by law is, distributable to the widow of the said Israel Jennings, junior, to wit, the second Bulia Jennings, for whose use this suit is brought. It is further admitted that defendant Charles H. Jennings, the administrator of Israel Jennings, junior, never reported as assets the said sum of \$354 00, and without so doing paid the whole amount to the children of Israel, junior, repeling the claims and demands of said Bulia although she has often requested him to pay the same. It is further agreed that the point for decision is whether said Bulia Jennings, the widow of Israel Jennings, junior, is or is not entitled to share in the distribution of the money arising from the sale of the lands of Israel Jennings, senior, late deceased under the will.

The parties hereto reserve the right of appeal, or to prosecute a writ of error at their option, to the Supreme Court, from any decision which may be made herein, and that the decision of the Supreme Court be entered by that Court as final, if the Court be of the opinion that the said Bulia is entitled to share in the fund arising from the sale of lands, then judgment is to be rendered for Plaintiff for \$3000 debt, to be satisfied on payment of \$118 00, damages and costs. But if the Court decides the law on the facts stated to be against the plaintiff, then the said suit is to be dismissed at plaintiff's cost. But if more than \$118 00 shall hereafter appear to be due on distribution of the money paid to the administrator of Israel, junior, the judgment for the \$118 00 shall be no bar to further recovery.

O'MELVENY & MERRITT, Atty's for Plaintiffs.
M. SHAEFFER, Attorney for Defendant.

29 Whereupon, the Court found the issues for defendants and gave judgment that the defendants recover their costs from plaintiffs, &c. Plaintiffs excepted at the time and filed their bill of exceptions, setting out the foregoing statement.

30 & 31 Prayer for an appeal granted, and appeal bond filed.

32 Clerk's certificate.

ERRORS:

1. The judgment should have been assessed for the Appellant and not for Appellees.
2. The judgment is contrary to law and the evidence.

PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF.

1. The *Will* constitutes the Executors, trustees to sell and convey all the real estate of the estate of Israel, senior, (not specifically devised to others) and to collect the money, and after payment of legacies, debts, and expenses of the trust, to divide the residue, equally, between Charles Jennings, Mrs. McElwain, Mrs. White, and the husband of Bulia Jennings, to wit, Israel Jennings, junior.

2. Israel, senior, died in 1860; left a large estate. After the payment of all legacies, debts, and expenses, the Executor sold a portion of the real estate, collected the money, and paid to the defendant Charles A. Jennings, administrator of the estate of Israel, junior, a portion of the funds; Israel, junior, having departed this life *intestate*, in 1861.

3. The will of Israel Jennings, senior, took effect at his death in 1860; *then* Israel, junior, was living; *then*, a vested interest, or right to the share of his father's estate, under the residuary distribution clause, took effect. It was a right to money, not to realty. He died *intestate*, and that right to so much money as should fall to his share, is the legitimate personal estate of Israel, junior, and subject to distribution as such.

4. That the grand-children should take the share of a deceased parent, was to prevent a "lapsed legacy;"—nothing seems indicated in the *will*, to prove that *the right* of Israel Jennings, junior, to the interest devised in his father's estate, did not vest, at the death of his father in 1860.

H. K. S. O'MELVENY, Att'y for Appellant.

The People ^{vs} for the use
of Julia Jennings

Charles H. Jennings
Et. al

Error to Marion

PLAINTIFFS BRITISH

ERRORS:

The judgment should have been reversed for the Appellant and not for Appellee

Chief's certificate

Prayer for an appeal granted, and appeal bond filed.

And bill of exceptions setting out the foregoing statement.

Whereupon, the Court found the issues for defendants and gave judgment that the de-

M. CHARLETT Attorney for Defendant.
O'MALLEY & MERRILL, Att'ys for Plaintiffs.

to further proceed.

and to the administration of Israel Junior, the judgment for the \$112.00 shall be no bar
but if more than \$112.00 shall hereafter appear to be due on distribution of the money
owed to be against the plaintiff, then the said sum is to be disbursed at plaintiff's cost
payment of \$112.00 damages and costs. But if the Court decides the law on the facts
laid, then judgment is to be reversed for Plaintiff for \$3000.00 debt, to be satisfied on
the opinion that the said debt is entitled to share in the fund arising from the sale of
the decision of the Supreme Court be entered by that Court as final, if the Court be of
opinion, to the Supreme Court, from any decision which may be made herein, and that

The parties herein reserve the right of appeal, or to prosecute a writ of error at their
pleasure from the sale of the lands of Israel Jennings senior late deceased under the will
of Israel Jennings Junior, is or is not entitled to share in the distribution of the money
then accrued that the point in question is whether said Julia Jennings, the widow
manda of said Julia although she has often requested him to pay the same. It is fur-
ther admitted that defendant Charles H. Jennings, the administrator of Israel Jennings
Junior, never reported an assets the said sum of \$354.00 and without so doing had
part of it has been, or by law is, distributable to the widow of the said Israel Jennings
senior, the same shall be wholly paid to the heirs at law of Israel Junior, and that no
as this was paid to him from the sale of the lands under the will of Israel Jennings,
which was paid to him from the Executors of the will of Israel senior, but claims that
administrator of the estate of Israel Jennings Junior, never accounted for that money.

STATE OF ILLINOIS, }
SUPREME COURT, } ss.
First Grand Division. }

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Sheriff of Marion County.

BECAUSE, In the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Marion county, before the Judge thereof between The People of the State of Illinois - for the use of Bulia A. Jennings

plaintiff and Charles H. Jennings, Green L. Chitwood and John G. Vaughan defendant it is said that manifest error hath intervened to the injury of said Plaintiffs

as we are informed by this complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment, we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, at MOUNT VERNON, before the Justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said Charles H. Jennings, Green L. Chitwood and John G. Vaughan

that they be and appear before the Justices of our said Supreme Court; at the next term of said Court, to be holden at MOUNT VERNON, in said State, on the first Tuesday ^{June} in ~~November~~ next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if they shall think fit; and further to do and receive what the said Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said Jennings, Chitwood & Vaughan notice together with this writ.

WITNESS, the Hon. P. H. Walker Chief

Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal thereof, at MOUNT VERNON, this tenth day of

May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixty seven

Book Johnston
Clerk of the Supreme Court.

Directed by Reading to
the within named
Green of Chitwood
& John G. Vaughn

Agst the within Charles
H Jennings not in the
County
this may the 17th of 1867

Serving — 140
Milage — 160
Returms — $\frac{10}{320}$

J. D. Gear Sheriff
by W. M. Smith
Depty

25 25

SUPREME COURT.

FIRST GRAND DIVISION.

The People vs. use of
Kulia A. Jennings

PLAINTIFF IN ERROR.

vs.
Charles H. Jennings, Green
L. Chitwood & John G.
Vaughn
DEFENDANT IN ERROR.

Scire Facias.

FILED

[Faint, mostly illegible text from the reverse side of the document, including a large circular stamp on the right side.]

STATE OF ILLINOIS }
SUPREME COURT, } SS.

WRIT OF ERROR.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS;

To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the county of *Marion*

GREETING,

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of *Marion* county, before the Judge thereof, between *The People of the State of Illinois - for the use of Rubin A. Lemming* plaintiff, and *Charles H. Lemming, Green S. Chitwood and John E. Vaughan* defendant; it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid *Plaintiff's*

as we are informed by *this*

complaint, and we being willing that error, should be corrected if any there be, in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court, the record and proceedings of the plaint, aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at

Mount Vernon, in the county of jefferson, on the

first Tuesday in

June next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law:

P. H. Walker

Witness, the Hon. ~~WALTER~~ SCATES Chief Justice of our said court, and the seal thereof, at Mount Vernon this

twelfth day of *May*

in the year of Our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred

and ~~18~~ *Sixty Two*

Noah Johnston
Clerk Supreme Court.

Supreme Court,
First Grand Division

The People vs. the use of
Kulia A. Jennings
Plaintiffs in error

vs

Charles H. Jennings,
Green L. Chittwood &
John G. Vaughan
Defendants in error

Writ of Error

Issued and filed
May 10-1867,
at Johnston N.H.

In the Supreme Court--State of Illinois.

FIRST GRAND DIVISION.

STATE OF ILLINOIS, } SS.
MARION COUNTY, }

JUNE TERM, A. D. 1867.

The People &c. for the use of Bulia Jennings }
vs* [Chitwood. } Error to Marion.
Chas. H. Jennings, John G. Vaughn & Green L. }

DEFENDANT'S BRIEF.

1. This is a controversy arising from the construction of the will of Isreal Jennings, sr. The will makes special legacies, and then provides that the executors shall sell all the real estate, and after the payment of the debts, expences, &c., the balance of the proceeds of the sale shall be divided equally among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the portion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal parts.

Isreal Jennings, sr., died in 1860.

Isreal Jennings, jr., (one of the four children named,) died in 1861 intestate. In 1863, the executors of the will of Isreal Jennings, sr., sold the land. Bulia Jennings, the widow of Isreal, jr., now claims one third of the one fourth of the proceeds of the sale of the land as dower.

2. In the construction of wills, the intention of testator expressed in the will in clear and unambiguous terms, must govern if it be not illegal or against good morals. In this case the intention of the testator is clear, beyond doubt, that the proceeds of the sale of the land should go to the children of the deceased in equal parts, and not to the widow; and I hold that if the widow, for whose use this suit is brought, will succeed, the intention of the testator will be frustrated in two particulars.

1st. The children of the deceased son will get but two-thirds, instead of all the portion of their father.

And 2ndly, the children by the first wife will get their proportion out of two-thirds *only*, whilst the children by the second will get their proportion of the two-thirds *now*, and the widow's third at her death. That is, the children will not inherit *all* their father's portion, neither will they take in *equal parts*, as the will provides.

3. What kind of a legacy was thus created? It is not legacy of real estate because no real estate was to pass to the legatee. It is not an ordinary legacy of personal estate, because there was no personal estate in existence when the testator died. *It is a legacy charged upon real estate.*

1. Redfield on Wills, page 278; Raferty vs Clark, 1 Bradf Sur Rep 473; Cox vs Corkendall, 2 Beasley 138; Massaker vs Massaker, 2 Beasley 264; Baker vs Copenbarger, 15 Ills, 103.

4. Where real estate is ordered to be sold and converted into personalty, the avails will not be held to be a portion of the general personalty of the estate.

1. Redfield on Wills, 275; Sheddon vs Goodrich, 8 Vesey 481; Hooper vs Goodwin, 18 Vesey 156; Gallin vs Noble, 3 Mer 691.

5. If I am correct in the position, that the legacy in controversy is a legacy charged upon real estate, (and I think the authorities referred to establish this point,) then the remaining important question is, When does this legacy take effect? The rule in England and America, in regard to legacies charged upon real estate is that they are deferred until the time of payment.

2. Redfield on Wills, 624; Remnant vs Hood, 6 Jur N S, 1173; White vs Baker, 6 Jur n s 591.

1. Jarman on Wills, 704; Hawkins vs Everett, 5 Jones, Esq., 42; Simpson vs Spence, id 208; Fairbault vs Taylor, 5 Jones, Esq., 219.

6. Legacies charged upon real estate, lapse if the legatee die before the time of payment.

2. Redfield on Wills, 504; Lyman vs Vanderspiegel, 1 Aikens et Reps 75—280.

7. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in controversy, in conformity with the intention of the testator. The judgment of the Circuit Court should be affirmed.

M. SCHÆFFER, Att'y for the Def'ts.

25
The People & for use of
Burlin Jennings

vs
Thos H Jennings

Depts' Petition

of the position of their father
1st. The children of the deceased son will get but two thirds, interest
will be distributed in two parts, one
for whose use this will be made, will succeed, the interest of the testator
executed in equal parts, and not to the widow; and I hold that if the widow
that the proceeds of the sale of the land should go to the children of the
good estate. In this case the intention of the testator is clear, personal property
will in clear and unambiguous terms, must follow it is not divided or retained.
2. In the construction of wills, the intention of testator expressed in the
fourth of the proceeds of the sale of the land as devise.
John Jennings, the widow of Jacob, Jr., now claims one third of the con-
sate. In fact, the executor of the will of Jacob Jennings, Sr., sold the im-
mortal Jennings, Jr. (one of the four children named), died in fact inter-
ested Jennings, Sr. died in 1860.
3. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
4. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
5. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
6. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
7. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
8. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
9. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
10. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
11. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
12. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
13. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
14. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
15. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
16. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
17. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
18. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
19. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
20. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
21. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
22. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
23. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
24. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.
25. The balance of the proceeds of the sale of the land should be divided equal-
ly among the testator's four children, and if any of the children die, the por-
tion of the deceased shall go to the deceased's child or children in equal
parts.

Filed June 25 1864
at St. Louis Mo
at St. Louis Mo
at St. Louis Mo

of the Court should be affirmed.
controversy, in conformity with the intention of the testator. The judgment
7. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
8. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
9. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
10. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
11. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
12. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
13. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
14. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
15. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
16. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
17. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
18. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
19. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
20. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
21. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
22. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
23. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
24. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
25. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.

of the Court should be affirmed.
controversy, in conformity with the intention of the testator. The judgment
7. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
8. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
9. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
10. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
11. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
12. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
13. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
14. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
15. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
16. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
17. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
18. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
19. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
20. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
21. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
22. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
23. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
24. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.
25. The law not only justifies, but demands the construction of the will in
25-280.

Chief Justice, John G. Ashmun & Green Jr.
The People &c. for the use of Burlin Jennings
MAYOR COURT
STATE OF ILLINOIS } 25
JUNE TERM, A. D. 1864.
THIRD GRAND DIVISION.
IN THE SUPREME COURT—State of Illinois

Supreme Court of the State of Illinois.

FIRST GRAND DIVISION.

June Term, A. D. 1867.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,
FOR THE USE OF BULIA A. JENNINGS,
Appellant vs.
CHARLES H. JENNINGS, GREEN L. CHIT-
WOOD, and JOHN G. VAUGHN, *Appellee*. } Appeal from Marion.

Page 1.

Debt.

2 & 3

Bond for costs. Summons and return.

5 6 7 & 8

Declaration in usual form.

9 10 & 11

Copy of administrator's bond sued on in usual form.

11

Motion to quash service allowed and cause continued with *alias*.

12

Alias—writ and Sheriff's return.

13 14 15 16 & 17

Pleas of defendant filed.

18

Cause submitted to the Court for trial on the following agreed statement of facts, in writing, viz: "It is agreed that Israel Jennings, sen., died on the 7th day of August, 1860, testate; that his will was probated in the words and figures following, to wit: (Here the will is set out in *haec verba*, upon pages 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24 & 25.) No

controversy exists as to the specific legacies, and they have all been settled up, but the only passages in said will, material in this case, are in the words and figures following,

22 & 23

to-wit: "It is also my will, that my lands remaining undisposed of by this will shall be sold by my Executors, at public sale, after giving such notice as my Executors shall think necessary, upon the following terms, to wit: The purchaser paying one-fourth of the purchase money at the time of sale, and the residue in three equal instalments of twelve, eighteen and twenty-four months; the purchaser also giving bond and approved security and mortgage on the premises to secure the payment of the purchase money. Said lands to be sold in such quantities and sub-divisions as my Executors may think best for the interest of my estate. The sale of my lands to take place as soon after my death as convenient, except the said lands of which my said wife is hereby possessed.

25

* * * It is further my will, that should there be anything remaining after paying my just debts, funeral expenses, bequests, and the necessary expenses of the settlement of my estate, that the same may be equally divided between my following named children, to wit: Charles W. Jennings, *Israel Jennings*, Mary White, and Richard Ann McElwain, and in case of the death of either or all of my last named children, then to be divided among their children, the child or children of each one taking their deceased parent's portion among them. I do hereby constitute and appoint Charles W. Jennings, Rufus McElwain of Marion county, and John Watson of Mount Vernon, Illinois, my true and lawful Executors, to execute and carry into effect this my last will and testament, fully and in all respects."

26

Secondly, it is agreed, that Charles Jennings and Rufus P. McElwain qualified as Executors under the will, that Israel Jennings, junior, was the son of the testator and one of his legal heirs and devisees in said will, and that he died 19th of September, 1861, leaving Bulia Jennings, for whose use this suit is brought, his widow, with several children by a former wife, and several by her; and further, that said Israel, junior, died intestate.

Thirdly, it is further agreed, that the Executors paid off the debts of Israel, senior, and the specified legacies that were to be paid in money. That the Executors under the will, afterwards made sale of lands belonging to the estate of Israel Jennings, senior, on the 25th day of May, 1863, for the sum of \$3,451 00, and after payment of expenses, there was subject to administration to the devisees under the will the sum of \$ to each of said devisees, to wit: Charles W. Israel, Jr., R. N. McElwain and Mary White.

Fourthly, that the said Executors in September, 1865, paid over to the administrator of Israel Jennings, junior, to wit: the said Charles H. Jennings, the sum of \$345 00, which accrued from the sale of the lands of said Israel, senior, as aforesaid; that the

administrator of the estate of Israel Jennings, junior, never accounted for that money, which was paid to him from the Executors of the will of Israel, senior, but claims that as this was paid to him from the sale of the lands under the will of Israel Jennings, senior, the same shall be wholly paid to the heirs at law of Israel, junior, and that no part of it has been, or by law is, distributable to the widow of the said Israel Jennings, junior, to wit, the second Bulia Jennings, for whose use this suit is brought. It is further admitted that defendant Charles H. Jennings, the administrator of Israel Jennings, junior, never reported as assets the said sum of \$354 00, and without so doing paid the whole amount to the children of Israel, junior, repeling the claims and demands of said Bulia although she has often requested him to pay the same. It is further agreed that the point for decision is whether said Bulia Jennings, the widow of Israel Jennings, junior, is or is not entitled to share in the distribution of the money arising from the sale of the lands of Israel Jennings, senior, late deceased under the will.

The parties hereto reserve the right of appeal, or to prosecute a writ of error at their option, to the Supreme Court, from any decision which may be made herein, and that the decision of the Supreme Court be entered by that Court as final, if the Court be of the opinion that the said Bulia is entitled to share in the fund arising from the sale of lands, then judgment is to be rendered for Plaintiff for \$3000 debt, to be satisfied on payment of \$118 00, damages and costs. But if the Court decides the law on the facts stated to be against the plaintiff, then the said suit is to be dismissed at plaintiff's cost. But if more than \$118 00 shall hereafter appear to be due on distribution of the money paid to the administrator of Israel, junior, the judgment for the \$118 00 shall be no bar to further recovery.

O'MELVENY & MERRITT, Atty's for Plaintiffs.
M. SHAEFFER, Attorney for Defendant.

20 Whereupon, the Court found the issues for defendants and gave judgment that the defendants recover their costs from plaintiffs, &c. Plaintiffs excepted at the time and filed their bill of exceptions, setting out the foregoing statement.

30 & 31 Prayer for an appeal granted, and appeal bond filed.
32 Clerk's certificate.

ERRORS:

1. The judgment should have been assessed for the Appellant and not for Appellees.
2. The judgment is contrary to law and the evidence.

PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF.

1. The Will constitutes the Executors, trustees to sell and convey all the real estate of the estate of Israel, senior, (not specifically devised to others) and to collect the money, and after payment of legacies, debts, and expenses of the trust, to divide the residue, equally, between Charles Jennings, Mrs. McElwain, Mrs. White, and the husband of Bulia Jennings, to wit, Israel Jennings, junior.

2. Israel, senior, died in 1860; left a large estate. After the payment of all legacies, debts, and expenses, the Executor sold a portion of the real estate, collected the money, and paid to the defendant Charles A. Jennings, administrator of the estate of Israel, junior, a portion of the funds; Israel, junior, having departed this life *intestate*, in 1861.

3. The will of Israel Jennings, senior, took effect at his death in 1860; *then* Israel, junior, was living; *then*, a vested interest, or right to the share of his father's estate, under the residuary distribution clause, took effect. It was a right to money, not to realty. He died *intestate*, and that right to so much money as should fall to his share, is the legitimate personal estate of Israel, junior, and subject to distribution as such. *Amphlett vs. Parke*, 1 Sim. 275

4. That the grand-children should take the share of a deceased parent, was to prevent a "lapsed legacy;"—nothing seems indicated in the *will*, to prove that *the right* of Israel Jennings, junior, to the interest devised in his father's estate, did not vest, at the death of his father in 1860.

H. K. S. O'MELVENY, Att'y for Appellant.

Tripp vs. Frasier 4 Har & John 446.

2 Bow. Law Dic. (Word Legacy) Ray 19,

Redfield on Wills pp 276.

25 has no reference to a case like this.

1 Madd. Ch. P. 284.

Walker vs. Main, 1 Jac. & Walk. R. 1

Tazewell vs. Smith 1 Rand. 313.

Marsh vs. Wheeler, 2 Edw. 156.

N. B. We find the cases above referred to in "2 Barbour & Harrington's Digest" under the head "Legacy" pp. 150 etc.

The People for the use
of Paula Jennings
vs.

Charles H. Jennings
et al.

Error to Marion

PLAINTIFFS BRIEF

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

Chief's certificate:

Player for an appeal granted, and appeal bond filed.

When the bill of exceptions setting out the foregoing statement

When the Court found the issue for defendants and gave judgment that the de-

M. McWELLEN, Attorney for Defendant.
DORRENY & ALDRIDGE, Attys for Plaintiff.

to further recovery.
paid to the administrator of Israel Jennings, the judgment for the \$118 00 shall be no bar
that if more than \$118 00 shall hereafter appear to be due on distribution of the money
claimed to be against the plaintiff, then the said sum is to be dismissed as plaintiff's cost
payment of \$118 00, damages and costs. But if the Court decides the law on the facts
and the opinion that the said claim is entitled to share in the fund arising from the sale of
the decision of the supreme Court be entered by that Court as final, if the Court be of
opinion to the substance of Court from any decision which may be made herein, and that
the parties herein reserve the right of appeal or to prosecute a writ of error in their
rights from the sale of the lands of Israel Jennings, senior, late deceased under the will
of Israel Jennings, junior, is or is not entitled to share in the distribution of the money
and funds of said Paula Jennings, junior, as whether said Paula Jennings, the widow
the whole amount to the children of Israel Jennings, junior, being the claim and de-
Junior, never reported as assets of the said sum of \$351 00, and without so doing paid
Junior, to wit the second Paula Jennings, for whose use this suit is brought. It is fur-
part of it has been, or by law is, distributable to the widow of the said Israel Jennings,
senior, the same shall be wholly paid to the heirs at law of Israel Jennings, and that no
as this was paid to him from the sale of the lands under the will of Israel Jennings,
which was paid to him from the Executor of the will of Israel Jennings, junior, never accounted for that money,
administrator of the estate of Israel Jennings, junior, never accounted for that money,

MR. McWELLEN, the case upon appeal to the Supreme Court of Mississippi. That money...

Paula Jennings will of 1801
Israel Jennings will of 1801
The People for the use of Paula Jennings vs. Charles H. Jennings et al. Error to Marion

25-15-

People's use of
Bot. **Jennings**
by

C. H. Jennings, et al

846 ✓

Reference to

W

Account of

1867

Book sent from

Wm. B. Ewing

Page 13-

36 No. 293 - 1867

No 2 Edw. Ch. R. 156

1 Jan. 1867

Exhibit on Page 104