

No. 11869

Supreme Court of Illinois

Dutcher.

vs.

Crowell.

71641

Ch. 28.  
Edward F. Dutcher  
v.  
Charles T. Crowell

1849

11869

State of Illinois  
County of Ogle And Circuit Court thereof of May Term D 1849

Edward F Dutcher

vs

Moses T. Brownell

Attachment in aid of a suit at Law

Be it remembered that on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April  
D 1848 upon the application of Edward F Dutcher a summons was issued  
by the Clerk of the Circuit Court of said Ogle County against the said  
Moses T. Brownell at the suit of the said Edward F Dutcher in an  
action of Assumpsit that the damage claimed in said writ  
amounted to five hundred dollars which summons was in due form  
of Law and was duly served upon said Brownell on the <sup>day</sup> day  
of <sup>D</sup> by the Sheriff of said County and that

a declaration was filed in said suit in said Clerks office on  
the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April D 1848 which declaration was in due form of  
Law in Assumpsit and that on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of May D 1848 at  
the term aforesaid said Moses T. Brownell filed a plea of the general  
issue to said declaration in said Court and that on the 13<sup>th</sup> day  
of September D 1848 the suit aforesaid being then pending & undisposed of  
said Edward F Dutcher filed in the Office of the Clerk of said Court  
an affidavit in the words and figures following to wit

Edward F Dutcher

vs

Affiant to obtain a attachment in aid of  
Moses T. Brownell a suit at Law commenced in the Ogle County  
Circuit Court & now pending in which Edward F Dutcher is Plaintiff  
and Moses T. Brownell is defendant

State of Illinois

County of Ogle D 1849 Edward F Dutcher above named plaintiff  
being duly sworn doth depone and say that  
defendant heretofore commenced a suit in Ogle Circuit Court by  
summons in which Edward F Dutcher was Plaintiff and Moses

I Crowell was defendant for a sum of money due and owing  
to this defendant on a certain Promissory note made by said Moses  
P. Crowell That defendant has been wholly unable to get service of said  
process on said Moses P. Crowell that said Moses P. Crowell is justly  
indebted to this defendant in a sum exceeding twenty dollars & wit  
in the sum of one hundred & ninety two dollars and twenty three cents  
by his certain instrument in writing signed by him that said  
Moses P. Crowell conceals himself so that process cannot be served  
upon him all which is true according to defendants best knowledge  
information and belief

Subscribed and sworn before me E F Dutcher  
in my office this 13<sup>th</sup> day of  
September D 1848 Henry Roberts Clerk

by Chaney Dity

and that upon the filing of said affidavit the said Edward  
F Dutcher prayed for a writ of Attachment against the goods and  
Chattels lands and Tenements and Estate of the said Moses P.  
Crowell and there filed in the office of the Clerk of said Circuit  
Court his bond in the sum of four hundred and twenty  
five dollars With William Moore & Elias Etting as security which  
bond was in due form as provided by the Statute in attachment cases  
and said Clerk then issued a writ of Attachment in due form  
of law at the suit of said Edward F Dutcher against the goods  
& Chattels Lands & Tenements & Estate of said Moses P. Crowell in  
and of the suit in assumpsit between the same parties above  
mention'd which writ of attachment was then & there delivered to  
the Sheriff of said County to execute and was by said Sheriff  
returned duly Executed by Levying upon the property of the said  
Moses P. Crowell by reading the same to said Crowell on the  
18<sup>th</sup> day of September D 1848 as by said Sheriff return endorsed  
upon said attachment appears and at a term of the  
Circuit Court in and for said County of Ogle began and held  
on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of May D 1849 at Oregon in said County the said  
Moses P. Crowell by his attorneys entered his motion to quash

(41869-2)

said writ of attachment which motion was entered on said  
7<sup>th</sup> day of May And the reasons given in pursuance of the rules  
& practice of said Court in support of said Motion was as  
follows because said writ of attachment was issued in  
and of a suit at law and was sued out in vacation ~~term~~  
and not during the session of any term of the said Circuit Court  
of Ogle County and on the 8<sup>th</sup> day of May A.D. 1848 at the Term aforesaid  
of said Court the Hon. Hugh Anderson Judge of the Eleventh  
Judicial Circuit of said State of Illinois presiding by Exchange  
with the Hon. P. L. Dickey Judge of the 9<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit of said  
State said Motion came on to be heard and it appeared to said  
Court That said writ of attachment was sued out in and of  
the suit in ~~assumpsit~~ <sup>habeas</sup> before ~~Murphy~~ and that said suit  
in ~~assumpsit~~ is still pending in said Court one Judgment  
not yet rendered therein and that said writ of attachment was  
issued during the vacation of the Circuit Court of said Ogle County  
and not during any term thereof The said Edward F. Dutcher  
Plaintiff admitted that said writ of attachment was issued in  
and of said suit at Law and that the said writ of attachment was  
sued in vacation of said Court and not during the session of any  
term thereof and made his Cross motion for leave to file an amended  
affidavit if there be any just Exemption to the affidavit now on file  
but not affirming to show by such amended affidavit that some  
writ of attachment was issued in or during any term of said Circuit Court  
and after consideration it was considered and ordered by the said  
Court that said writ of attachment be quashed and the proceedings  
thereon dismissed for the reason that said writ of attachment in  
and of said suit of ~~assumpsit~~ was applied for and issued  
in vacation and not during the session of any term of  
the Circuit Court of said County and for that Reason only to  
which decision of the Court the said Edward F. Dutcher Excepted it was  
thereupon Considered by the Court that the said Moses T. Condit had  
Recover from the said Edward F. Dutcher his Costs by him Expended in the  
defence of said suit of attachment Expended and that he have  
Execution therefor

It is agreed by the parties in the above entitled cause that  
the foregoing statement of facts shall stand in the place  
of a Bill of Exceptions of those papers on file & parts of the ~~same~~  
Record herein referred to. And the Parties shall have all their rights  
of Appeal & to assign Errors upon the record & of all proceedings  
the same as if the facts and records & papers had been presented  
in a Bill of Exceptions.

B. C. Cook Atty for Pff  
Peters & Davis in Dft

This certifies that the foregoing written statement & agreement  
of Parties was agreed upon duly signed & is made part of the  
Record in the cause entitled ~~thruem~~ and I also certify by  
the agreement of the Parties herein that the decision herein Excepted  
to dismissing the writ of Attachment was made by said Circuit  
Court of Ogle County for the reason stated in the cause made  
Signed sealed this 12<sup>th</sup> day of January A.D. 1849

Hugh Henderson Seal  
Judge of the Eleventh Judicial  
Circuit

State of Illinois  
Ogle County

I Robert B. Leigh Jr. Clerk of  
the Circuit Court of Ogle County do  
hereby Certify that the above is a perfect copy  
of the <sup>Statement of the</sup> agreed Case made in the case of Edw. W.  
H. Quillen vs Moses T. Lovell and of the certificate  
of the decision made by said Court in said  
Case as certified by the Honk Hugh Henderson  
who presided in said Court at the time of the decision  
which agreed ~~case~~ statement & certificate  
are now on file in my office.

Witness my name and the seal of said  
Court <sup>at</sup> this 12<sup>th</sup> day of May A.D. 1849  
R. B. Light Clerk

And now comes <sup>the said</sup> Edward Hollister by C. W. his  
atty and assigns for error the following  
allegation of the Court upon the more  
affidavit

1st. The Court erred in granting the motion  
of attachment and dismissing the pro-  
ceedings as stated in said record for  
the reasons therein assigned

2d. The Court erred in rendering the judgment  
affidavit in manner & form aforesaid  
John L. Cook  
atty for plaintiff

28.

Edward F. Dutcher  
vs  
Moses T. Crowell

Record

Filed June 11. 1849.  
Holland Clk.

State of Illinois, Sct.

The people of the State of Illinois,

To the Clerk of the circuit court for the county of Ogle — Greeting:

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Ogle — county, before the Judge thereof, between Edward F. Dutcher —

plaintiff and Moses T. Cowell

defendant it is said manifest error hath intervened to the injury of the aforesaid plaintiff

as we are informed by his complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaintiff aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the county of La Salle, on the second Monday day of June next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon. Samuel H. Treat  
 Chief Justice of our said Court, and the  
 seal thereof at Ottawa, this 11<sup>th</sup> day of  
 June in the year of our Lord  
 one thousand eight hundred and forty-nine.

A. Cland

Clerk of the Supreme Court.

Clerk of the Supreme Court.

State of New York

On the 11th day of June, A.D. 1849, before me, John C. M'Graw, Clerk of the County of Ulster, State of New York, came the said George W. Dutcher, of the town of Olivebridge, County of Ulster, State of New York, who, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he is a citizen of the United States, and has resided in the State of New York for the last ten years.

That he is

John C. M'Graw, Clerk of the County of Ulster, State of New York.

That he has been engaged in the collection of debts due him by the town of Olivebridge, County of Ulster, State of New York, for the last ten years.

That he has been engaged in the collection of debts due him by the town of Olivebridge, County of Ulster, State of New York, for the last ten years.

That he has been engaged in the collection of debts due him by the town of Olivebridge, County of Ulster, State of New York, for the last ten years.

That he has been engaged in the collection of debts due him by the town of Olivebridge, County of Ulster, State of New York, for the last ten years.

That he has been engaged in the collection of debts due him by the town of Olivebridge, County of Ulster, State of New York, for the last ten years.

That he has been engaged in the collection of debts due him by the town of Olivebridge, County of Ulster, State of New York, for the last ten years.

Fifth June 11. 1849.  
R. Elam Clark.

28  
Dutcher vs Crowell  
wit of man

John C. M'Graw, Clerk of the County of Ulster, State of New York.

That he is a citizen of the United States, and has resided in the State of New York for the last ten years.

That he is a citizen of the United States, and has resided in the State of New York for the last ten years.

That he is a citizen of the United States, and has resided in the State of New York for the last ten years.

That he is a citizen of the United States, and has resided in the State of New York for the last ten years.

That he is a citizen of the United States, and has resided in the State of New York for the last ten years.

That he is a citizen of the United States, and has resided in the State of New York for the last ten years.

That he is a citizen of the United States, and has resided in the State of New York for the last ten years.