

No.

11989

Supreme Court of Illinois

Searles.

vs.

People.

71641 7

Lee County.
Ara B. Searles -
The People vs -

J. P. S.

1852

Proposed
11989

Six County Circuit Court September Term 1851

State of Illinois }
Six County }

Before the Honorable Isa O'William
Presiding Judge of the Sixth Judicial ^{Circuit}
of the State of Illinois - At a Circuit Court in and for the County
of Six, began and held at the Court house at Alton on the
second Monday of the month of September in the year of our Lord
one thousand eight hundred and fifty one, the same
being the twenty sixth year of American Independence -
Present the Honorable Isa O'William Presiding Judge
Be it remembered that among the proceedings of the
said said Circuit Court are found the following tract:

This day the Grand Jury returned into Court the following
Indictment, made out by J.P. Little their foreman as true bill to wit:
The People of the State of Illinois }
vs. } Indictment for Persecution
Ara B. Harls & Amanda Healy }
which said Indictment is in the following words to wit -

State of Illinois }
Six County } of the September Term of the County Court held
in the year of our Lord 1851

The Grand Jury chosen selected and sworn in and for the
County of Six in the name and by the authority of the People of
the State of Illinois, upon their oaths present

That Ara B. Harls and Amanda Healy, both late of the
County of Six and State of Illinois, appeared on the first day of
September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and fifty one, and on divers other ~~times~~ days and times before and since
that day and before the finding of this Indictment at and within the

County and State aforesaid unlawfully wilfully and feloniously
did her together in an open state of Concubinage contrary to the
form of the Statute in such case made and provided, and against
the Peace and dignity of the People of the State of Illinois

H B Hillman
State attorney 6th Circuit

Upon the facts of which Indictment is endorsed as follows to wit
A True Bill J P Seth Forman Grand Jury - Witnesses names
Preston Avery Enos Aydell & wife — Bail in \$350 —

And afterwards, to wit, at said term of Court on the fifth day
of said term, the following appear of record - to wit:-
The People of the State of Illinois

vs Indictment for Concubinage
Asa B Sears and Amanda Headley

This day of Henry 18
Hillman Circuit Attorney - It is ordered by the court that the Clerk
furnish said Defendants with copy of Indictment, a list of jurors &
witnesses & that this cause be continued with a Capias until the next
term of this Court

Upon which said Order of Court Capias was issued returnable on
the first Monday of the month of March A.D. 1852, and is in the
words and figures following to wit:-

State of Illinois vs The People of the State of Illinois to the Sheriff County
of County skid constable of said County greeting -

We command you that you take the bodies of Asa
B Sears and Amanda Headley and then safely keep so that you
have them before the Circuit Court of said County on the first day
of the next term thereof to be helden at the Court house in Alton
in said County on the fourth Monday of March 1852 to answer

ante a bill of Indictment found by the Grand Jury of said County
against them for Fornication - And have you then and there this
writ with an endorsement know as to the manner in which
you elicited the same - Witness Isaac Boardman Jr Clerk of
S. B. said Court and the seal thereof at Alton on the 19th day of
Decemr A.D. 1851 - I. Boardman Jr Clerk

I now the back of which capias is endorsed "The Office will admit
the defendant to bail in the sum of three thousand and fifty dollars, I. Boardman
for Clerk" "By virtue of the within writ I have arrested Asa B. Seal
and received bail according to the requisition of this writ" Alton March
8th 1852 - For arresting 100 P.M. 12 M. 20 2. 20 AM P.M. Sheriff

At present Peter Scott

And afterward, to wit: at the March Term of said Circuit
Court, A.D. 1852 on the first day of said term - to wit, on the 22^d day of said
month, the following order appears of record - to wit:

The People of the State of Illinois
vs
Indictment for Fornication

Asa B. Seal and Amanda Heady
This day came the said
Defendant Seal in person, and by his attorney, Mrs. Library Patnode
and entered his motion that the said Indictment be quashed

And afterward, to wit: on the 24th day of March at said
Court the following further proceeding were had to wit:

The People of the State of Illinois
vs
Indictment for Fornication

Asa B. Seal and Amanda Heady
This day came Pittman

State, attorney, and the defendant Seal in person, and by Patrick
Eustace and Waite his attorneys, and after argument of counsel,
the said Defendant motion heretofore entered to quash the said Indictment
is overruled - The said Defendant having been furnished with
a list of the Grand Jurors by whom the said bill of Indictment

Was found, and also a list of the witnesses on behalf of the People and a list of the Petit Jurors and a copy of the Indictment — Then upon the said Defendant being arraigned, came into his plea of not guilty, to the charge preferred against him in said Bill of Indictment — And there being joined therewith came a jury of good and lawful men: To wit: Martin Binder, William R. Long, John Patrick, Cyrus Brown, Charles Klausen, Jr., of J. Klausen & P. M. A. P. M. A. Abram Brown, George Terry, David A. Holly, David Wiltz, John Morgan, who having been duly elected tried and sworn to try the issue joined in this cause, after having heard the evidence, as well on the part of the People as on the part of the said Defendant — Then the argument of Counsel — When the said Defendant by himself made motion of his own self, and the State Attorney enter their agreement that the said Jury might seal their verdict and deliver the same to the Clerk of the Court, the said Jury retired to consider of their verdict.

At an afternoon, at said term of Court to wit: on the 25th day of March 1832, the following further proceedings were entered of record to wit —

The People of the State of Illinois
vs. Robert Hart, and Amanda Hartly

Indictment for Persecution
On this day came the said Plaintiff, by their attorney, and defendant Hart, a person and by his said attorney, and the jury hereinbefore impaneled in this cause returned into Court their sealed verdict, which verdict is as follows to wit: "We the undersigned jurors find the defendant guilty." — Whereupon came the said Defendant — Hart, of Custer's attorney and entered his motions in arrest of judgment and for a new trial.

And afterward, to wit: on Saturday the 27th day of March 1852
the following further proceedings were had to wit:-

The People of the State of Illinois

vs. Statement for Impeachment

Asa B. Pearl, and Amasa Neadly

Now on this day again came the

said Plaintiff by H. B. Stittman Esq; attorney, and the said Defendants
Pearl in person and by Patrick and Easton his attorneys, and the
said Defendant Pearl moving heretofore entered in arrest of Judgment
and for a new trial coming on to be heard, and the Court
having heard the parties by their Counsel, and having diligently exam-
ined the said Defendants proofs and affidavits filed herein in support
of his said motion. It is considered by the Court that the said motions
be overruled - And whereupon the Court proceeded to pronounce
judgment against the defendant Pearl, who is in court, in accordance
with the verdict of the Jury heretofore entered in this cause, to wit:

It is considered and adjudged by the Court that the said Asa B.
Pearl make his fine to the People of the State of Illinois in the sum
of one hundred and dollars and that he pay the costs of prosecution
in this behalf.

And afterward, to wit on Monday the 29th day of March 1852
came the said Defendant Pearl by his said attorney and filed his bill of
exception, which bill of exception is in the words and figures following,
to wit:-

The People of the State of Illinois

vs. Statement for Impeachment March 29th
of the La County Circuit Court

Asa B. Pearl

A.D. 1852

It is remembered that on the trial of
this cause the evidence given to the jury was in substance as follows
to wit:-

James P. Dury a witness on the part of the People was called

Sworn & who testified as follows to wit

I acquainted with Ada R. Sears, and somewhat with Amanda Healy. When I know her she lived at Sears, at Binghampton, Sullivan County, Illinois - I drove stage - there was a station at Sears. I went there in the first part of July 1851; left in September - don't know that anybody belonged to the family but Sears and her - She did the house work - Then was another a young lady then now and then a part of the time; and one that he hired to help do the work two or three weeks, maybe longer, I saw Amanda Healy then first, in the winter a year ago, saw her then last 2 or 3 weeks after I quit driving stage there. I have seen them in the same bed together I believe - If my memory serves me right it was in August; in the night time; between 9 & 12 O'clock - never saw them more than once - I found it out by being a little inquisitive and peeping through a key-hole in the floor of my room which was over hers - I saw him in bed with her - and saw him get out of bed; he was in his night clothes - had a shirt on - she was in the bed - he was in bed an hour if my memory serves me - then was a hard kind of noise to describe; made I should think by the motion of the bed clothes - There was a couple of young men or boys then that night - they were strangers to me - were in bed up stairs - nobody down stairs but him and her that I know of - they are travellers that Sears brought up with him from Peru - Sears was a single man - the girl had no husband that I know of.

Cross Examination - It was sometime in August - my impression - that it was the latter part of the month - Can't say whether after 20th - It was the night that Sears returned from Peru with the two young men with him who staid all night - I was always there four nights in alternate. There was an window in her room - it had a curtain - my bed was alongside the hole - I lay across the bed - the hole was right over her bed - There was no tick or sheet spread above this bed - It was a moon light night - No other light in her

room or min — Dont know as I can say I have had any real difficulty with Scars — Had some word — a difficulty about my doing a house — It was after what I had seen — dont remember ever mentioning to Scars what I had seen — Another difficulty, because it was told to me that Scars had hinted that I took a \$13 bill, but that was satisfactorily explained by him afterward to me — There was a difficulty between him and her about some money — after I saw Scars in the girls bed I took my sister to his house — She was there 2 or 3 days — she went with me because she could not go sooner & I drove stage then & she awoke from the first opportunity — The light was sufficient at the latter part of the time to distinguish features — Dont think it could have been one of the young men — they would have had to go down stairs — They & I were in one room, but our room up stairs. Clara, awake all the time — Dont think I ever told Mr. Cashman that I thought her a virtuous girl; might have said so in joke —

First mentioned the occurrence a few days afterward, not over a week, first mentioned it to her, then to John Fletcher. It was after August 15th & before September 1st — think it was the latter part of that period — Her room was on the east side of the house — the window to the south — Window has 12 or 15 panes of 10 x 12 glass

Street seemed — It was moon light — But not certain as to whether it was before or after 15th.

Timothy Reed as then called by the People & living soon testified as follows — Saw Scars first in July 1850 — Amanda Hoadly the next neighbor — I lived awhile in his house — She has charge of the house till my wife came there — then they did it together — She has lived with him up to 10 or 15 days ago — he has another man and his wife living there afterward — Scars was sick a part of the time — She was very kind to him — She was afterward very sick — He written on her — Saw nothing else — He gave her medicine — She

took it every two hours — he sometimes lay on the floor of the sitting room and would go into her at night to give her her medicine — She was very sick — I saw him one morning when I got up before day, laying on the back side of her bed — She was in bed and was very sick, She had been taking medicine — He was about starting that day to Chicago — Saw no improper familiarity between them at any time — At the time I saw him in bed, there might have been a coverlet thrown over him — Can't say whether ~~in~~ under the same clothes with her — I suppose he was dressed while in the bed; as he came out dressed, immediately afterwards.

Cross Exam? At the time I saw them in bed She was very sick; my wife attended on her in the day time — and Pearl did at night — giving her medicine every two hours — He was drunk I should think — A Physician was attending her — It was on the morning of the 2^d of May last — I saw nothing while I was there except what I have stated to lead me to suppose there was any unlawful intimacy — I was there most of the time while we lived there — which was for two months from March 5th 1851

Second Examination — Pearl kept his books and papers, in the room where the girl slept — He used to go in there frequently — What he did I don't know — He was sick with the third day ague all the time I was there

The Evidence on the part of the defendant was as follows
Defence

Joseph Cashman — Knows defendant, has been at his house frequently — Knows the bed room — Know its situation last August — always has been a red and a white curtain on the window when I have been there — I have seen a sheet fastened under the ceiling over the bed — did not cover the whole ceiling —

Knows the witness Duonge had a conversation with him about Amanda Steddy, 'was before last Court; he said she was a virtuous girl, or was to that effect - I did not take it to be a joke - That was at time that his (Duonge) Sister was there - the last of August witness did attempt to look through that hole; could not distinguish the bird from the flower - Witness has been at Newark a great deal; saw nothing improper - about the month of August last was there perhaps one third of the time -

The prosecution then rested their case, and on the part of the defendant the following testimony was introduced - to wit:

Henry Dickman Sworn, Devey to alarm that Newark should be a thousand & worse off before another year went round - that he knew something

George Rygann - Defendant keeps at Stage ~~Station~~ Station - Should think it necessary for him to keep hired girls - know nothing to the contrary but that his character is good - His subject is talked about in that neighborhood - How far believe I don't know -

Cross Examination - Newark has no children that I know of - no family

Joseph Cushman recalled - I was bitten by a dog attorney August 27th. Devey to alarm the day after that; and fixed the time about a week previous -

Cross Examination - I understood from what he told me that it had occurred about a week previous, am not positive that he fixed the time -

What was the substance of all the evidence given in ~~the~~ said case, after which the prosecuting attorney asked the Court to

give the following instructions to wit:

The Court is requested to instruct the Jury

1^o That the offence with which the defendant is charged in this prosecution is legally and sufficiently proved by circumstances which raise the presumption of cohabitation and unlawful intimacy

And the deft, by his counsel asked the following instructions

1^o That the offence with which the defendant is charged is not sufficiently proved by proving only one act of unlawful intimacy

2^o That unless the Jury believe from the evidence that the defendant lived with Anna Maria Hickey in an open state of cohabitation and unlawful intimacy, such as would raise the presumption in the mind of a reasonable man of sexual intercourse, the Jury will find the defendant not guilty

3^o Such living together in cohabitation must have been for a continued length of time, and unless the prosecution have proven this and every other thing necessary to make out their case, the Jury will find the defendant not guilty

After which the prosecuting Attorney asked the following additional instruction, to wit:

That it is to constitute this offence even one act of sexual intercourse and not be proved by positive testimony, but that the offence is sufficiently proved by any circumstances which raise the presumption of unlawful intimacy and sexual and adulterous intercourse

And it is understood that the questions arising on the giving of the instruction on the part of the prosecution are save and the giving of said last instruction excepted to —

And on the rendering of the verdict of the Jury the defendant by his counsel move the Court for a new trial, and in arrest of Judgment and afterwards file his reasons for a new trial to gother with the following affiant to wit

State of Illinois
Du County

March Term of the County Circuit Court
A.D. 1852

People &c vs Motion for removal

Ara B. Stark, Abram Alykman of said County states under
oath that in August last past he was employed
by Ara B. Stark to stay at his house and do his affairs while Mr. Stark
was gone to Peru; that said Stark left for Peru on the morning of
Wednesday the 20th of said month of August and returned on the evening of
Friday the 22nd of said month bringing with him two young
men who staid at said Stark's till the 23rd of said month; and
that on said 22nd of August before night it commenced raining
and rained through the night and a portion of the next day; that
said night was a cloudy dark night; that during the time
it was so at said Stark's there was always a dark colored certain
cloud over the window of the bed room on the first floor, which was
a small window; And this deponent knows it would have been
impossible for a person above, though near so large an opening
in the floor to have seen what was going on, or to have distinguished
features, or whether a person was dressed or undressed in the
bed room below - that a person himself in said bed room even
in the day time could scarcely distinguish any object whatsoever;
this deponent recollects said date distinctly because, as well as
for other reasons, on Thursday following the 28th of said month of
August he communed with for ^{the} Rev. Mr. E. G.
Lamborn and strown to before Abram Alykman

on the 26th March 1852

I. Boardman Jr. Clerk

People &c vs

21989-6
Ara B. Stark

State of Illinois
Du County

March Term of the Du
County Circuit Court
A.D. 1852

Dr. ~~Harmon~~ Wafon states under oath that he is & was in the month of May 1857- a practicing Physician, that in such capacity of physician he attended on Amanda Bloody at the date aforesaid who was at said time sick at the house of Ada B. Sears in said County of Placer & pneumonia, that he was called upon by said Sears to attend as such physician on or about the third of said month of May and continued to attend as her as such physician every day for the space of about eight days from said third of the month, that during the first four days of such attendance she was very dangerously sick from said disease, and that during said four days her condition was such from said disease and from the fever which she constantly had that any lascivious disposition on her part must have been impossible, and any sexual intercourse with any person whatever would have been attended with great pain on her part, and in the opinion of this deponent highly improbable if not impossible - And further, this deponent knows that the bed room in the lower story of said Sears' house is a dark room, that he has during his practice as an oculist had patients on whom he was attending at such oculist confined to said room, and he knows that in the night time whether moon light or otherwise it would be impossible without artificial light in the said room for a person to look down through any hole there was in the ceiling or floor above and distinguish persons or what took place in said room; and further that the plan on the back of this affidavit is a correct plan of said Sears' house, and this deponent knows that it would be impossible lying in the bed in the rec'd connects with the kitchen to distinguish whether a person starting from the fireplace went out of doors or into the bed room, which is the only bed room on the first floor of said house.

Subscribed and sworn to



Harmon Wafon M.D.

before me this 26 March

1852

J. H. Brattain Jr. Clerk

A.



(Plan of the house referred to in the foregoing aff.)

S.

People &c { March Term of the Schenectady Circuit Court
v. 1852
Asa B. Sharts { Motion for a new trial

Asa B. Sharts, State, under oath, that before the trial of this cause he made diligent enquiry to find out what facts he could prove on said trial but was not aware till since said trial of the facts which he can prove by Abram Dykeman & that the same have come to his knowledge since said trial, and further that he was not aware before said trial of the materiality of the evidence of Mr. H. Watson, but which became material on account of the peculiar and unexpected turn taken by said trial & by the arguments of Counsel for the prosecution in said case; and thus ^{depends} ascertained since the trial of said cause that he can by numerous witnesses impeach the character of James Pollard for truth & veracity; also that he can prove by Dr. Clark, Gardner that there was about said 22^d of August last past and for a long time before and after said day that there was a shut over the whole of the bed in said bedroom tacked to the ceiling above, so that no person could have looked down through said shut and see persons on the bed below & all of which has come to the knowledge of this deponent since the trial of said cause

Subscribed and

John B Sears
Sworn to before me this
27th March 1852

I B Boardman Jr atty

And after hearing the motion in arrest of judgment and
for a new trial in this case the court overrules the same,
to which decision of the court overruling said motions for a
new trial and in arrest of judgment the defendant by his
counsel except, & pray the court will allow him to allow sign & seal
this his bill of exceptions, which is done

Chas O Wilkinson

The People

vs

Asst Charls

Plaintiff's Cost

Chks for Party, Wm for copy of Indict 20	Court 20	Fel Indict 5	Wm for Cpl 10	65
" Boardman, March Term 1852, Fel for Cpl 5	Copies 1	Fel 40 aft 1/2 Fel 10 - 55		
Fel 3 per 15 Docket 10 App 10 att 10	Call & Svc. Jury 15	R & E. Wm 10	- 60	
Wm 5 for Verdict 25	Judg't 25	Judg't Docket 10 40 att 20	75	
Fel Cost 30.	Copy 20	1 Sub Fel 40	Print 5 Ex 40	Wm 10 Fel 15 1 50
Sheriff Rat 10 Docket & Fel 15	Art. & Sal 20	35		60 4.00
Sheriff, Fm Party, for Copies 50	Bail 50	12 Miles 40		1.60
" for Thompson for Sub. 3 Milt 35	Rat 10	28 Miles 1.90		2.75
Jury Fe 1.00	Prosecuting Att. for 500			8.00 12.75

Defendant's Cost

Chks for (Boardman) App Att. & att 25	Fel 5 per 25 3.25	1/2 Fel 50	90
" " to garnish 20	Order Wm 20	6 garnish 20	120
4 oaths 20	Dkt 20	Wm 20	180
Satisfaction 15	Copy of Indict 20		45 4.05

Piffs Pan Porto, Sat 2nd Mo 95 3rd Mile, 180 P.M. Cal. Jany 22nd
" Mr A H Thompson, Ser M.R. Sat 35- 9 Miles, hand 45 89
Stocked, a 1.25 4.80

State of Illinois
Du County 3d. Isaac H Boardman Jr Clerk of the Circuit
Court and for said County and State do
hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and perfect copy
of all the proceedings had in the said cause as appears
of record, together with a correct copy of the bill of exceptions
filed therein - And also a correct copy from my fee Book
of the costs and charges as between Piffs, and defendant.
Respectfully -

In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand
and affix the seal of said Circuit Court at witness
the 23rd day of April 1852

I H Boardman Jr Clerk

Know all men by these presents that we Ara B
Seals and Richard Somesbury are held and
firmly bound to the People of the State of Illinois
in the penal sum of two hundred & fifty
dollars, the payment of which will and truly to be
made we bind ourselves, our heirs Executors, and
Administrators, jointly and severally, firmly by
these presents sealed and dated this first
day of May 1852 -

The defendant Ara B Seals having been
convicted in the Lee County Circuit Court on an
Indictment for Fornication ^{Error} and ~~and applied for a writ of~~

Now the condition of this obligation is such
that if the said Ara B Seals shall prosecute
his writ of Error with due diligence - and shall
^{to the People aforesaid} pay the judgment rendered against him in the
proceedings on the said indictment and all costs
interest and damage, in case the judgment
shall be affirmed - then this obligation shall
be void otherwise to be in force

Ara B Seals Seal

R. Somesbury Seal

Asa B. Sears
vs.
The People v.
Bond —

Filed May 1st 1852.

J. Leland Clerk
by J. R. Leland Dpy.

State of Illinois, ss.

Clerk's Office of the Supreme Court—Third Grand Division:

I HEREBY CERTIFY, That a Writ of Error hath issued from this office, for the reversal of a Judgment obtained by *The People of the State of Illinois* against *Asa B. Sears* in the Circuit Court of ~~See~~ county, at the *March* Term, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and *fifty two* in a certain *action of indictment for fornication*, which Writ of Error is to operate as a Supersedeas, and as such is to be obeyed by all concerned.

Given under my hand, and the Seal of the said Supreme Court, at Ottawa, this *first* day of *May* A.D. 1852.

L. Cland Clerk of the Supreme Court.

By *P. H. Cland* Dpy. Clerk

14989-10

State of Illinois, set.

WRIT OF ERROR—FREE TRADER PRINT.

The People of the State of Illinois,
To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of Lee in

GREETING :

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Lee county, before the Judge thereof, between

The People of the State of Illinois plaintiffs and Asa B. Sears, defendant

defendant it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid

Asa B. Sears

as we are informed by his complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distantly and openly, without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaint aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the county of La Salle, on the Second Monday in June next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof, at Ottawa, this first day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty two.

L. Deland Clerk of the Supreme Court.
By P.W. Deland Deputy Clerk.

Asa B. Sears
vs.

The People of the State of Illinois
Writ of error.

This writ of error is
made a Supersedeas &
is to be obeyed accordingly.

L. Island Clerk.
By P. H. Island Depy.

Filed May 1st 1852.

L. Island Clerk
By P. H. Island Depy.

State of Illinois,
Supreme Court, { ss.

The People of the State of Illinois

TO THE SHERIFF OF Lee County.

Because in the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of
the judgment, of a plea which was in the circuit court of Lee
county, before the Judge thereof, between

The People of the State of Illinois Plaintiffs, and Asa B. Sears defendant

defendant it is said that manifest error hath intervened to the injury of the said

Asa B. Sears

as we are informed by his complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment, we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, at Ottawa, before the justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said

People of the State of Illinois, by notifying Henry B. Stillman Esq. Statis Attorney in and for the Sixth Judicial Circuit of the State of Illinois

that they be and appear before the Justices of our said Supreme Court, at the next term of said Court, to be holden at Ottawa, in said State, on the Second Monday in

June next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if they shall think fit; and further to do and receive what the said Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said

People of the State of Illinois

notice, together with this writ.

WITNESS, the Hon. *Samuel H. Treat*
Chief Justice of our said Court, and the seal thereof,
at Ottawa, this *first* day of *May*
in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred
and forty-five two.

L. Leland Clerk of the Supreme Court.

By P. W. Leland Depy. Clerk.

Received on Thruar from Name of State Attorney
as the law directs May 2nd 1852 ✓
Two dollars 50 cent
Change 10
Balance 60
Paid for by James M. Dwyer

Asa. B. Sears
vs.
The People &c -
Sci. fa. to Dept. -

To return to the old law regarding the people in the County.

Leave

DO THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MASS.
DO THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MASS.
DO THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MASS.
DO THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MASS.

RECEIVED IN THE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY

Supreme Court

Asa B Sears

ads

The People } And humphreys the said Asa B
Sears comes and says that in the record and
proceedings aforesaid and in the giving the
judgment aforesaid there is error in this
that the evidence given in the case does not
support the verdict of the jury - and that the
verdict is against the weight of the evidence
that the court erred in not awarding a
new trial -

That there is error in the instructions
given by the court to the jury - requested by the
^{State attorney}
^{or else} That there is error in the additional
instruction given requested by the state
attorney and given by the court to the jury
That the court erred in refusing to
award a new trial to the defendant
after the motion and affidavit made
and filed after verdict upon the ground
of surprise and newly discovered evidence

Henry G Cotton
of Counsel

Let a supersedas issue in the above case on the
plaintiff in error filing a bond conditioned as by law
required in the sum of two hundred and fifty
dollars with Richard Lansbury his security
Ottawa May 1. 1852

H G Cotton

And now comes B. C. Cook States atty of the 9th
Judicial Circuit for the People of the State of
Illinois and says that in the record & proceeding
aforesaid there is no error and this he proffers
may be rejected at the

B. C. Cook
States atty

A. B. Charles
vs.
The People of the State
of Illinois

Filed May 1st / 852.
S. Cleveland Clerk
By Atty. Cleveland Diffr.