

8430

No. \_\_\_\_\_

# Supreme Court of Illinois

James Jalliff

---

vs.

Isabel Jalliff

---

71641  7

20-10

Jalliff

my

Jalliff

8431

1863



in Washington County aforesaid and resided with  
the said defendant as his wife in said County until  
some time in the month of July 1860. That at all  
times she behaved herself toward said defendant  
as a good wife and endeavored by all means in  
her power to render life agreeable and happy to herself  
and said defendant but the said defendant forget-  
ful of his marriage vows at and in Washington  
County aforesaid for the two years prior to his  
leaving your Oratrix as hereinafter stated was  
guilty of acts of Excess and Repeated Cruelty  
towards your Oratrix frequently inflicting perso-  
nal violence upon your Oratrix and heaping upon  
her the most abusive epithets and threatening her life  
and person without any just cause whatever  
That for a period of over three years said defendant  
has been a confirmed drunkard lying heavily  
drunk at home and abroad whenever he can get  
spirited liquors to indulge his inordinate love of  
hard face whiskey. That in the month of July 1860  
said defendant without any cause whatever fell  
upon your Oratrix with great violence and beat  
her with his fists choked her with his hands kicked  
and stamped her with his feet and greatly injured  
her so much so that her life was for a time considered  
in danger and that said defendant then left your  
Oratrix departed from the State and has wholly  
failed to provide necessaries of life for your Oratrix  
and her and said defendant's children. That your

Oratrix and said defendant have had six children  
to wit Rebecca aged 15 years Henry M aged 11 years  
Martha A aged 9 years Rodolph aged 7 years John  
S aged 6 years Alfred aged 1/2 years all with and  
under the control of your Oratrix at this time

Your Oratrix would further shew to your Honor that  
owing to the habit of said defendant he is wholly  
unfit to have the management and control of said  
children. Therefore as your Oratrix is without  
an adequate remedy at law she prays that the  
Deafles Most gracious writ of Subpoena issue  
requiring said defendant to appear and answer  
this bill not under oath his oath being hereby  
expressly waived, that upon final hearing and  
proof of the allegations herein contained your  
Honor will decree a dissolution of the marriage  
relation between your Oratrix and said defendant  
decree that your Oratrix shall retain possession  
and control of the said children above named and  
that said defendant pay to her such sum of money  
yearly or in gross as will be sufficient for the maintain-  
ance of herself and her said children and that  
your Honor will make such other and further order  
and decree in this behalf as may seem just right and  
equitable and your Oratrix as in duty bound will  
ever pray.

Isabel Gulliff by Amos Watts  
her Solicitor

State of Illinois } Amos Watts being duly sworn upon  
Washington County } oath says that James Gulliff

Defendant in the above cause is not a resident of  
the State of Illinois but resides in Kansas as he is informed  
and does believe Amos Watts

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 17<sup>th</sup> day of  
June 1861 J. N. Vernon Clk

Bills and affidavits marked Giles June 17<sup>th</sup> 1861  
J. N. Vernon Clk

State of Illinois }  
Washington County }

The People of the State of Illinois  
To the Sheriff of said County Greeting We Command  
you to Summon James Galliff if to be found in  
your county to appear before the Circuit Court of  
said county, the first day of the next term thereof,  
to be begun and holden at the court house in Nashville  
on the 1<sup>st</sup> Monday of September next to answer to a  
bill of Complaint filed in the said Circuit Court  
on the Chancery side thereof against him by  
Isabel Galliff for divorce alimony & Relief  
and further to do and receive whatever the Court  
may then and there consider in this behalf  
and hereof make due return to our said  
Court as the law directs

Witness John N. Vernon clerk of the  
Circuit Court and the Judicial Seal hereof  
at Nashville this 17<sup>th</sup> day of June 1861

John N. Vernon Clerk

James Galliff not found in my county this 23<sup>rd</sup>  
day of August 1861

S. Goodner Sheriff  
By G. S. Jenkins Clk.

State of Illinois } In Washington Circuit Court  
Washington County } September Term 1861 Petition  
for Divorce Notice To James Julliff  
Affidavit having been filed in my office that  
you are not a resident of the State of Illinois  
Notice is hereby given to you James Julliff that  
Isabel Julliff has filed her bill in chancery  
for divorce alimony and relief in the office of  
the clerk of said court against you said James  
Julliff that summons has been issued to the  
Sheriff of Washington County against you return  
able on the first day of the next term of said court  
to be holden at the Court house in Nashville in  
said County on the first Monday of September next  
that unless you appear before said court on said  
day and then and there plead answer or demur  
to said complaint to wile the same will be taken  
as confessed against you and a decree entered  
accordingly Witness John N. Vernon Clerk of the  
Circuit Court of Washington County at his office  
in Nashville this 17<sup>th</sup> day of June A.D. 1861

John N. Vernon clk  
Washington County Herald office Nashville  
Ill Sept 2<sup>o</sup> 1861 I Thomas C. Graves Publisher of  
the Washington County Herald a weekly news  
paper published in Washington County State  
of Illinois do hereby certify that the attached  
Notice to James Julliff &c. was published in said  
paper for the period of four weeks respectively

Commencing from 20<sup>th</sup> Aug 1861

Filed Sept 4<sup>th</sup> 1861 J. N. Vernon ckr Thomas C. Graves

Printed fees \$5.00 By Hammond

Record of Complaint

State of Tennessee } The People of the State of Tennessee  
Washington County } To the Sheriff of said County  
Breaching in Command you to summon  
William Wayman Meashack Wayman Newrens  
& Franklin & Jacob Julliff personally to be  
and appear before the Circuit Court in and  
for Washington County on the 5<sup>th</sup> day of the  
present Term thereof to be commenced and  
holden at the Court house in the town of  
Nashville in said County on the 1<sup>st</sup> Monday of  
September to testify and the truth to speak in a  
certain action now pending and determined  
in said County wherein Isabel Julliff is  
Plaintiff and James Julliff defendant on  
behalf of said Plaintiff and this you shall in  
no wise omit under the penalty on what the law  
directs and have you there and there this writ

Witness John N. Vernon Clerk of our Circuit  
Court at Nashville 5<sup>th</sup> day of September 1861

J. N. Vernon ckr

Isabel Julliff

vs

James Julliff and Relief

September 4<sup>th</sup> Comes the said Complaint  
with pro Watts her Solicitor and the defendant



being three times solemnly called comes not  
but makes default whereupon the Bill and  
all things therein contained is taken for as Confes-  
sed against the said Defendant and this Cause  
is set down for hearing on Bill and Exhibits  
and after examining the Bill and hearing the  
testimony in the Cause and being sufficiently  
advised in the premises It is ordered adjudged  
and decreed by the Court that the Bars of matrim-  
ony heretofore existing between Isabelle Jolliff  
the Complainant herein and James Jolliff  
Defendant herein be henceforth and forever  
dissolved and held for naught, It is further  
ordered and decreed that the Care of the Children  
be given to the said Complainant herein  
and that she have and hold her present  
homestead as allimany with the right to rent  
the same till the youngest Child becomes of age,  
also all the personal property in her possession  
& that Complainant pay the costs of this Suit

State of Illinois Washington County, ss

I John N. Vernon Clerk of  
the Circuit Court of the County of Washington and  
State of Illinois do hereby certify that the forego-  
ing is a full true and perfect record and trans-  
cript of the proceedings in the above styled  
Cause of Isabelle Jolliff vs James Jolliff,

Bill for Divorce Alimony & Relief as the  
as the same appears from the files of my office  
as Clerk aforesaid and is now entered of  
Record & remaining of Record in the Records of  
the Circuit Court of the Said County of Washingto  
n and State of Illinois

In Witness Whereof I John N. Vernon Clerk  
of said Court have hereunto subscribed  
my name and affixed the Seal of our  
Said Court at office in Nashville  
this the Fifth day of September in the  
year of our Lord one thousand  
Eight hundred and fifty three  
John N. Vernon Clerk

for for Recd \$ 500

Isabella Julliff  
no

James Julliff  
Guard in the cause

jos. 50<sup>00</sup>

Julia Oct. 13. 1863. A

Paid by Stopa \$ 11.50

St. Albans C.M.

In Supreme Court of the State of  
Illinois <sup>vs</sup> Grand Jurors  
James Galliff, } Bill for Divorce  
                  } Alimony & Relief  
Isabella Galliff } In Error

Abstract of Docket

Page 1<sup>st</sup>

Term of Court September term 1861 before  
L. S. Bryan Judge

Title of Cause Bill for divorce alimony  
and Relief allegation of lawfull marriage  
in March 1845 of James Galliff & Isabella  
Galliff

" 2<sup>d</sup>

Marriage in Washington County Illinois  
Resided together as husband & wife until  
July 1860 That she conducted herself  
as a good wife toward deft. abas  
frequent & Repeatedly cruelly frequent  
acts of personal violence upon oratrix  
Three years habitual drunkenness confirmed  
drunkenness at home, that in July 1860  
without cause abandoned oratrix after  
assaulting & beating oratrix defendant  
departed from State of Illinois

" 3<sup>d</sup>

Complt & deft had six children by  
their marriage aged from 1 1/2 years to 15  
years Prayers for Divorce alimony and  
custody of children

" 4<sup>th</sup>

Affidavit of non residence of deft  
the Summons in Chancery to Shff of Washington  
County Ills Returnable to 1<sup>st</sup> Monday of Sept 1861  
Return of Shff not found

" 5<sup>th</sup>

Notice by publication in usual form  
Certificate of Publisher of Washington County

Reverald in usual form

Page 6<sup>th</sup>

" 7<sup>th</sup>

Subpoena for Compt's witness

Order of or decree of Court Shows  
calling of left thru things come not made  
default. Bill and all there contained  
taken for confessed against left setting  
down of cause on bill & Exhibit Examining  
bill hearing testimony, decree of divorce,  
that the care of children be given to Compt  
and that she hold her present homestead  
as allimony with the right to rent the same  
till the youngest child becomes of age  
also all the personal property in her posses-  
sion and Compt pay costs and Commence-  
ment of Certificate of Clerk's certificate  
conclusion of Clerk's certificate with  
Seal

" 8<sup>th</sup>

James Jolliff

Isabella Jolliff

} Error to Washington  
County

Now comes the said Jeff  
by W. Stokes his attorney and makes  
and sets down the following assignments  
of errors

1<sup>st</sup> The Court Erred in rendering a decree  
for divorce without proof of publication  
having first been made to the Court  
giving jurisdiction of the person of the left

2<sup>d</sup> The Court erred in allowing alimony of the homestead without fixing any specific sum as alimony and allowing the debt the privilege and opportunity of discharging that sum at stated periods

3<sup>d</sup> The Court erred in allowing the Complainant the homestead and the right to rent the same until the youngest child come of age the same being the alimony allowed the Compt

4<sup>th</sup> The Court erred in allowing all the personal property in her possession without fixing any definite sum as alimony

5<sup>th</sup> The Decree is meritorious in every respect is indefinite and for these and many other reasons the decree is erroneous and should be reversed  
W. S. Stokes, atty for  
Opp in error

<sup>20</sup>  
James Galliff  
vs

Isabella Galliff  
In Error

The Clerk will please  
Issue writ of Error  
directed to the Sheriff of  
the County of Washington  
in State of Illinois  
Returnable to town  
Term 1863 of Supreme  
Court 1<sup>st</sup> Grand Division  
& oblige

Wm. Stokes  
att. for Plaintiff

Filed October 13, 1863, &  
Paid by Stokes \$11.50

Wm. Johnston Clk  
11

Paid by Stokes \$11.50

November term Supr. Court 1863

James Joliff }  
 Plaintiff in error } Error to Washington  
 vs }  
 Isabel Joliff }  
 Deft in error } Decree affirmed  
 Pleffs Costs.

1863.	To filing transcripts 20 - Bookbinding same 12.	32.
"	" Mail of Error 75 - Revenue stamps 50	1 25
"	" Doc fee	1.00.
"	" filing Papers	50.
"	" Abstracts (450 words each)	6-50
"	" Entering motion & orders	1.00
"	" " Opinions of Court	50
"	" Doc fee	6-00
"	" Corbill & entering same	37.
"	" Jan Bill 50 - stamps 5 - Postage 15	70

Shff Garvin's fee on doc fee		\$ 17.94
See Depend -	\$ 11.50	1 25
Ab's furnished	90	
	<u>\$ 12.40</u>	<u>\$ 19.19</u>

Defts Costs

1863.	To filing Papers	43
"	" Cartails & ent. same	37
"	" Jan. 50 - Postage 6-	56
		<u>\$ 136</u>



Julliff  
or  
Julliff

Coat bill

Station - page

583 - 1863

James Pollett vs. John Pollett }  
vs. } Error & Wackington  
vs. } Co.

The Deft in Error for Joudon  
in error, says that there is no  
error in the record appearing as the  
D<sup>d</sup> p<sup>d</sup> p<sup>d</sup> p<sup>d</sup> has thereof alleged  
& that she may be engaged  
by the Court &c.

A. S. O. M. M. M.  
for Deft in Error

State of Illinois, } SS  
SUPREME COURT,  
First Grand Division.

To the Sheriff of Washington County,  
The People of the State of Illinois,

Because, In the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Washington county, before the Judge thereof between

Isabel Julliff plaintiff and

James Julliff defendant it is said that manifests error hath intervened to the injury of said James Julliff as we are informed by his complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment, we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, at Mount Vernon, before the justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said Isabel Julliff

that she be and appear before the justices of our said Supreme Court; at the next term of said Court, to be holden at **Mount Vernon**, in said State, on the first Tuesday after the second Monday in November next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if she shall think fit; and further to do and receive what the said Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said Isabel Julliff notice together with this writ.

WITNESS, the Hon. John D. Catron Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal thereof, at MOUNT VERNON, this thirteenth day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and Sixty-three.

John D. Catron  
Chief of the Supreme Court.

SUPREME COURT.  
First Grand Division.

James Jolliff

Plaintiff in Error,

vs.

Isabel Jolliff

Defendant in Error.

SCIRE FACIAS.

FILED.

Service.	50
14 miles	65
return	10
	\$1.25

James Garvin Sheriff

Served the within writ  
by bearing the same to the  
within named postoffice  
as I am herein commanded  
October 29<sup>th</sup> 1863  
James Garvin Sheriff  
Washington County Ills

State of Illinois  
SUPREME COURT  
First Grand Division

The People of the State of Illinois

County.

Because of the want and necessity of the writ of

scire facias in the case of James Jolliff vs Isabel Jolliff

In the Supreme Court, State of Illinois,  
FIRST GRAND DIVISION, AT MT. VERNON.

NOVEMBER TERM, A. D. 1863.

*JAMES JOLLIFF*

*vs.*

*ISABEL JOLLIFF.*

} Error to Washington.

PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF—Divorce, Alimony and Relief.

Alimony is not a sum of money or specific proportion of the husband's Estate given absolutely to the wife, but is a continuous allotment of sums, payable at regular periods for her support from year to year.—Bishop on marriage and Divorce, Page 591, Sec. 591, 5th Eng. Egr. Rep'ts 126.

Alimony cannot be allowed for a certain period during the wife's natural life, or until her youngest child comes of age. The husband may die first and is only bound for her support during his life; the wife may die before the child comes of age.—Bishop on Marriage and Divorce, Sec. 592 and 597, Note 1, Sec. 549.

W. STOKER, Att'y for Pl'ff.

James Galliff  
vs

Isabel Galliff

Brief of Self

JAMES GALLIFF

vs  
ISABEL GALLIFF

Filed for Washington.

NOVEMBER TERM, A. D. 1863.

FIRST GRAND DIVISION. AT MT. VERNON.  
In the Supreme Court, State of Illinois.

and Divorce, Sec. 925 and 931. Note 1, Sec. 940.

wife may die before the child comes of age.—Bishop on Marriage  
may die first and is only bound for her support during his life; the  
actual life, or for youngest child comes of age. The husband  
Alimony can be allowed for a certain period during the wife's  
life. Sec. 925. Bishop on Marriage and Divorce, Page 931, Sec. 931, 934  
Year.—Bishop on Marriage and Divorce, Page 931, Sec. 931, 934  
ment of annuity at regular periods for her support from Year  
and a Estate of the husband's property for the wife, but is a continuous obli-  
Alimony is not annuity of money or specific proportion of the hus-  
band's property. Bishop on Marriage and Divorce, Alimony and Relief.

Filed Nov. 11-1863  
A. Johnston Clerk

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS,

FIRST GRAND DIVISION ----- NOVEMBER TERM, 1863.

James Jolleff, pl'ff in Error, }  
VS } Error to Washington.  
Isabel Jolleff Def't in Error. }

HE REASONS.

1st Error. The notice is in the record filed in apt time, &c., and this court will not presume that the circuit court had no jurisdiction.

2 The prayer of the bill is in the alternate ALIMONY, in a specific sum. or in GROSS. The record discloses no facts why the Decree is not correct. She was brutally assaulted, and abandoned with six children to support.

3. The alimony as a matter of law terminates with her death, and limiting it to the age of 21 years of the youngest child, is an error if any of which Def't might complain, but not the pl'ff in error. 18 h Ill, page 39.

The court in the absence of anything appearing to the contrary, will presume that the proof warranted the Decree.

M. M. GOODNER & H. K. S. O'MELVENEY, for Def't in Error.

*14 H. 147*  
*15 u 145*  
*alimony*

• Pollett  
vs  
Pollett

depts brief

20

Filed Nov. 11 - 1863  
A. Shriver Clk



37  
12  
444

37  
12  
24  
47  
444

4  
20  
80  
10  
90  
7  
630

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS.

1st Grand Division.

JAMES JOLLIFF, } *Bill for Divorce.*  
vs. } *Alimony and Relief.*  
ISABEL JOLLIFF, } *Error to Washington.*

## ABSTRACT OF PLAINTIFF.

PAGE 1st. Term of Court, September Term 1861. Before S. L. Bryan, Judge. Title of cause, Bill for Divorce, alimony and relief allegation of lawful marriage in March, 1845, of James Jolliff and Isabel Jolliff. Married in Washington County Illinois.

Resided together as husband and wife until July, 1860; that she conducted herself as a good wife toward Defendant; avers frequent and repeated cruelty, frequent acts of personal violence upon Oratrix: Three years habitual drunkenness, confirmed drunkenness at home; That in July 1860, without cause abandoned Oratrix; after assaulting and beating Oratrix departed from the State of Illinois.

3rd. Compt. and Ddft. had six children by their marriage, aged from 1-2 years to 15 years. Prayer for divorce alimony and custody of children.

4th. Affidavit of non-residence of Deft. The Summons in Chancery and Sheriff of Washington county, Illinois and returnable to first Monday in September 1861.

Return of Sheriff not found

5th. Notice by publication in usual form, certificate of publisher of Washington County Herald in usual form.

6th. Subpoenae for Compls. witnesses.

7th. Order or decree of Court shows calling of Deft. three times, came not, default bill and all therein contained taken for confessed against Deft., setting down, of cause on bill and exhibits examining bill, hearing testimony. Decree of divorce: That the care of the children be given to Compt., and that she hold her present homestead as alimony, with the right to rent the same till the youngest child becomes of age, also all the personal property in her possession, and Compt. pay costs and commencement of Clerks certificate.

8th. Conclusion of Clerks certificate with seal.

JAMES JOLLIFF }  
vs. } ERROR FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY.  
ISABELLA JOLLIFF }

Now comes the said Plaintiff by W. Stoker, his Attorney, and makes and sets down the following assignments of errors:—

1st. The Court Erred in rendering a decree for divorce without proof of publication having first been made to the court giving jurisdiction of the person of the Deft.

2nd. The court erred in allowing of the homestead without affixing any specific sum as alimony and allowing the Defendant the privilege and opportunity of discharging that sum at stated periods.

3rd. The Court erred in allowing the Complainant the homestead and the right to rent the same until the youngest child come of age, the same being the alimony allowed the Compt.

4th. The court erred in allowing all the personal property in possession without fixing any definite amount as alimony.

5th. The decree is erroneous and should be reversed.



State of Illinois, }  
SUPREME COURT, } SS  
First Grand Division. }

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of Washington Greeting:

**Because,** In the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Washington county, before the Judge thereof between

Isabel Golliff plaintiff and

James Golliff defendant it is said manifest error hath intervened to the injury of the aforesaid James Golliff as we are informed by his complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly without delay send to our Justices of our Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaint aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at **Mount Vernon**, in the County of Jefferson, on the 1<sup>st</sup> Sunday after the 2<sup>d</sup> Monday in November next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon. John D. Catron Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal thereof, at MOUNT VERNON, this thirteenth day of October in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and Sixty-three.

Noah Hunter  
Clerk of the Supreme Court.

SUPREME COURT.  
First Grand Division.

James Jolliff

Plaintiff in Error,

vs.

Isabel Jolliff

Defendant in Error.

WRIT OF ERROR.

Issued & FILED - Oct. 15 -

1863.

N. Johnston Cllk



State of Illinois  
SUPREME COURT.  
First Grand Division.

To the Clerk of the Grand Court for the County of Cook  
Be it remembered that the following is a true and correct copy of the original of a writ of error in the case of James Jolliff  
vs. Isabel Jolliff  
as the same was presented to the Clerk of the Grand Court for the County of Cook  
this 15th day of October 1863.  
N. Johnston Cllk

*[Faint, illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*

In the Supreme Court, State of Illinois,  
FIRST GRAND DIVISION, AT MT. VERNON.  
NOVEMBER TERM, A. D. 1863.

*JAMES JOLLIFF* }  
*vs.* } Error to Washington.  
*ISABEL JOLLIFF.* }

PLAINTIFF'S BRIEF—Divorce, Alimony and Relief.

Alimony is not a sum of money or specific proportion of the husband's Estate given absolutely to the wife, but is a continuous allotment of sums, payable at regular periods for her support from year to year.—Bishop on marriage and Divorce, Page 591, Sec. 591, 5th Eng. Egr. Rep'ts 126.

Alimony cannot be allowed for a certain period during the wife's natural life, or until her youngest child comes of age. The husband may die first and is only bound for her support during his life; the wife may die before the child comes of age.—Bishop on Marriage and Divorce, Sec. 592 and 597, Note 1, Sec. 549.

W. STOKER, Att'y for Pl'ff.

James Galliff  
vs  
Isabel Galliff  
Brief of ~~Self~~

FIRST GRAND DIVISION, AT MT. VERNON,  
IN the Supreme Court, State of Illinois.

NOVEMBER TERM, A. D. 1863.

JAMES GALLIFF

vs

ISABEL GALLIFF

Filed to Washington.

W. STORER, Att'y for Plff.

and Divorce, Sec. 602 and 601, Note 1, Sec. 640.  
wife may die before the child comes of age.—Bishop on Marriage  
may die first and is only bound for her support during his life; the  
natural life or until her youngest child comes of age. The husband  
Alimony cannot be allowed for a certain period during the wife's  
life per Hoop's 130

20  
Bishop on Marriage and Divorce, Page 601, Sec. 601, 6th  
ed. of same, payable at regular periods for her support from her  
husband's Estate gives specifically to the wife, but is a continuous elec-  
tion of money or specific proportion of the hus-  
band's income—Divorce, Alimony and Relief.

Filed Nov 11-1863.  
W. Storer Atty

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS,

FIRST GRAND DIVISION

NOVEMBER TERM, 1863.

James Jolleff, pl'ff in Error, }  
VS } Error to Washington.  
Isabel Jolleff Def't in Error. }

REMARKS.

1st Error. The notice is in the record filed in apt time, &c., and this court will not presume that the circuit court had no jurisdiction.

2 The prayer of the bill is in the alternate ALIMONY, in a specific sum, or in GROSS.

The record discloses no facts why the Decree is not correct. She was brutally assaulted, and abandoned with six children to support.

3. The alimony as a matter of law terminates with her death, and limiting it to the age of 21 years of the youngest child, is an error if any of which Def't might complain, but not the pl'ff in error. 18 h Ill. page 39.

The court in the absence of anything appearing to the contrary, will presume that the proof warranted the Decree.

M. M. GOODNER & H. K. S. O'MELVENEY, for Def't in Error.

14 Ill. 147

15 Ill. 145

22 " 425

decided cases in full

full doctrine stated

Pollock  
vs  
Pollock  
depts brief.

20

Filed Nov. 11 - 1863  
St. Johnston City

WEST GRAYD DIVISION  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS  
NOVEMBER TERM 1863



# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

## 1st Grand Division.

JAMES JOLLIFF, )  
vs. ) *Bill for Divorce.*  
ISABEL JOLLIFF, ) *Alimony and Relief.* *Error to Washington.*

### ABSTRACT OF PLAINTIFF.

PAGE 1st. Term of Court, September Term 1861. Before S. L. Bryan, Judge. Title of cause, Bill for Divorce, alimony and relief allegation of lawful marriage in March, 1845, of James Jolliff and Isabel Joliff. Married in Washington County Illinois.

Resided together as husband and wife until July, 1860; that she conducted herself as a good wife toward Defendant; avers frequent and repeated cruelty, frequent acts of personal violence upon Oratrix: Three years habitual drunkenness, confirmed drunkenness at home; That in July 1860, without cause abandoned Oratrix; after assaulting and beating Oratrix departed from the State of Illinois.

- 3rd. Compt. and Ddft. had six children by their marriage, aged from 1-2 years to 15 years. Prayer for divorce alimony and custody of children.
- 4th. Affidavit of non-residence of Deft. The Summons in Chancery and Sheriff of Washington county, Illinois and returnable to first Monday in September 1861.
- Return of Sheriff not found.
- 5th. Notice by publication in usual form, certificate of publisher of Washington County Herald in usual form.
- 6th. Subpoenae for Compts. witnesses.
- 7th. Order or decree of Court shows calling of Deft. three times, came not, default bill and all therein contained taken for confessed against Deft., setting down, of cause on bill and exhibits examining bill, hearing testimony. Decree of divorce: That the care of the children be given to Compt., and that she hold her present homestead as alimony, with the right to rent the same till the youngest child becomes of age, also all the personal property in her possession, and Compt. pay costs and commencement of Clerks certificate.
- 8th. Conclusion of Clerks certificate with seal.

JAMES JOLLIFF  
vs.  
ISABELLA JOLLIFF } ERROR FROM WASHINGTON COUNTY.

Now comes the said Plaintiff by W. Stoker, his Attorney, and makes and sets down the following assignments of errors:—

- 1st. The Court Erred in rendering a decree for divorce without proof of publication having first been made to the court giving jurisdiction of the person of the Deft.
- 2nd. The court erred in allowing of the homestead without affixing any specific sum as alimony and allowing the Defendant the privilege and opportunity of discharging that sum at stated periods.
- 3rd. The Court erred in allowing the Complainant the homestead and the right to rent the same until the youngest child come of age, the same being the alimony allowed the Compt.
- 4th. The court erred in allowing all the personal property in possession without fixing any definite amount as alimony.
- 5th. The decree is erroneous and should be reversed.

James Galliff  
vs  
Isabel Galliff

20

JAMES GALLIFF  
vs  
ISABEL GALLIFF

For Grand Jurisdiction.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

1863

*Abstract*

*Official*

ABSTRACT OF PROCEEDINGS  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS  
IN THE CASE OF JAMES GALLIFF vs ISABEL GALLIFF  
FILED FOR RECORD IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE  
AT SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS  
THIS 10th DAY OF NOVEMBER 1863  
J. H. HILL, 1863  
Clerk of the Court

Filed Nov. 10. 1863.  
A. Johnston Clk

877 The debt is confessed and no plea is pleaded.

878 Exec. and definite amount as allowed.

879 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband in bankruptcy a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

880 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

881 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

882 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

883 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

884 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

885 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

886 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

887 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

888 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

889 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

890 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

891 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

892 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

893 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

894 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

895 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

896 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

897 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

898 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

899 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

900 The court ruled in awarding to the defendant's husband a writ of habeas corpus until the husband's claim was paid.

10 — 10

Jolliff

<sup>in</sup>  
Jolliff

from Washington

Appointed

Reported

8430

1883

[8430-18]