

No. 8773

Supreme Court of Illinois

Victor Buckanan et al

vs.

John Ross

71641  7

Plead held at Lawrenceville, before the
Honorable the Circuit Court, in and for the
county of Lawrence in the State of Illinois at
the April Term AD 1830.

Be it remembered that on the 19th day
of April AD 1830 Victor Buchanan and James
Vandermark ~~filed~~ by Constable & Starow their
solicitors filed in the clerk's office of the Circuit
Court in and for said county of Lawrence
their Bill in Chancery against John Ross
Cashier &c, and which Bill in Chancery is
in the words and figures following, to wit.

To the Honorable Austin Harlan,
Judge of the Circuit Court in and for the
county of Lawrence and State of Illinois,
at the April Term AD 1830.

In Chancery Setting:

Humbly representing, Shew unto your Honor
your orators Victor Buchanan and James
Vandermark, of the county and state aforesaid
that sometime in the month of February A.D.
1838 they became sureties for a certain William
Baldwin then a resident of said county,
but now deceased, to enable him to negoti-
ate a loan from the Branch Bank of the
State Bank of Indiana, at Vincennes for
the sum of Twenty Five hundred dollars,
that the note executed for the payment
of the same, was payable four months from

" its date - That at the time when the said
" note became payable, to wit, in the month
" of June A.D. 1848 the said Baldwin called
" upon your orators stating his inability to
" meet the said payment, and asking their
" names to renew the same, That thereupon
" they executed another note for that pur-
" pose, which had four months to run and
" which they supposed would be paid at
" maturity - That on or about the 12th day
" of October A.D. 1848, the said Baldwin called
" upon your orator Buchanan to sign anoth-
" er note to again renew, stating to him
" that it was his purpose to obtain the signa-
" ture of your orator Vandermark, That your
" orator Buchanan then signed this third
" note, relying upon his procuring said
" Vandermark's signature thereto, Your orators
" then that they heard no more of this mat-
" ter, nor thought of the same until sometime
" in the month of March A.D. 1849, when, the
" said Baldwin terminated his own life, from
" what was supposed pecuniary embarrassment,
" when a conversation between your orators
" divulged the fact that the said last note
" signed by your orator Buchanan had never
" been presented to the said Vandermark for
" his signature - That this together with infor-
" mation that a note of the said Baldwin
" with your orators as sureties was due and
" unpaid at said Bank led your

" orators to suppose that a forged note had
" been used, so far as Vandermarks signature
" was concerned at the said supposed sec-
" ond renewal. Receiving no notice, however,
" your orators did nothing in the matter, ex-
" cept an inquiry made by the said Van-
" dermark at the Bank, whether they held a
" note against your orators, until sometime in
" the month of November A.D. 1829, when hearing
" having received notice from the Bank, they
" attended at the office of the Bank to endeavor
" to adjust the matter, and prevent suit.
" That without particularly examining
" the note claimed to be held against them,
" your orators laid before the directory of the
" Bank their note for the sum of Twenty
" one hundred and thirty four dollars dated
" the 12th day of November A.D. 1829. and due
" one hundred and twenty days after date,
" That at ~~that~~ the meeting of the Directory
" said note was received, and the note
" which it was intended to liquidate transmitt-
" ed to your orators by mail - Your orators further
" shew that still ignorant of anything extra-
" ordinary connected with the said note they
" were surprised to find that the date of the
" same had been changed without their knowl-
" edge or apsent in any manner given or obtain-
" ed from June to October, and then the court
" that this knowledge was obtained for the first
" time after the giving their said last mention-
" ed note to discharge it, and prevent suit.

" upon it, and upon inspecting the same when
" received as aforesaid from the Bank.

" Your orators further shew, that still
" ignorant of the effect of the said alteration in
" the date of said note, they proceeded to endeavor
" to save themselves by compelling the pay-
" ment of the money due the Bank by the ad-
" ministrators of the said Baldum, two proceeding
" for which purpose are now pending in this
" honorable court.

" Your orators further shew your Hon-
" or that John Ross, the cashier of the said
" Bank has instituted a suit against your
" orators upon their note, which suit is now
" pending and undetermined in this court, and
" upon which judgment is sought at this term
" of this court.

" Your orators further therefore charge
" that the said note executed by William
" Baldum on the 9th day of October A.D. 1828
" was not executed at that date by them,
" but that the same so far as they appear to
" be parties to it was dated and executed in
" June 1828 and was presented by the said
" Baldum paid Five hundred dollars to the
" Bank, together with four months interest on
" Twenty Five hundred dollars at the time when
" executed by your orators. That the Directory
" of the Bank refused to discount or accept it,
" unless the said Baldum paid Five hundred
" dollars upon the note sought to be renewed

" That when this determination was had the
" said Baldwin was in the East, and the
" matter remained unadjusted until he re-
" turned, and that then, not procuring the
" signature of the said Van dermark to the
" said second renewal, the said Baldwin
" and the said officers of the Bank colluding
" together, without the knowledge or consent
" of your orators, changed and altered the
" said date from the 26th day of June 1848 to the
" ninth (9th) October 1848, thereby seeking to
" charge your orators wrongfully, and without
" their knowledge.

" That the said John Ross, Cashier &c and
" the said William Baldwin were guilty of gross
" fraud and collusion in so altering and caus-
" ing the said note to be altered, and that the
" same by reason of the said ~~interpolation~~ alteration
" became and was void and invalid in law
" against your orators.

" That the said altered note being void
" and invalid in law as against your orators,
" the said note of the 12th November 1849 was
" without consideration, and void by rea-
" son of the fraudulent character of the pretend-
" ed consideration thereof.

" Your orators further aver their read-
" iug to dismiss the proceedings instituted by
" them as aforesaid, upon the direction of
" this honorable ^{Court} I surrender to defendant his said
" note in their possession.

Wherefore in consideration of the premises

" and to the end that the said John Ross cashier
" &c may be made defendant to this your orato-
" rors Bill of complaint exhibited against him
" and that he may be compelled true, full
" and perfect answer to make under oath
" touching all and singular the matters and
" things, averred, contained and set forth in
" their said bill, as fully and particularly
" as of ~~thereunto~~^{therewto} especially interrogated, and
" that pending the hearing of this cause an in-
junction may be entered upon the records of
" this court, staying all further proceedings in
" the said suit instituted on the common
" law side of this court by the said John Ross,
" cashier &c against your orators for the recovery
" of the amount claimed to be due on their said
" note of the 12th November AD 1869. - and that
" on the final hearing of this cause your Honor
" may order, adjudge and decree that the said
injunction be made perpetual, and that the
" said note be declared void and of no effect
" in law as also the said altered note in-
tended to be discharged thereby, and that the
" said John Ross, cashier &c, and all persons
" acting for, by, or under him be forever enjoined
from in any manner charging or collecting
" from your orators, their administrators or le-
gal representatives any sum or sums of money
" whatever on pretence of any claim growing
" out of, or connected with the said altered
" note, or that exceeded by your orators in

" consideration thereof - and that your Honor
" may grant other and further relief &c as
" to your Honor may seem meet &c

" May it Please your Honor to grant
" unto your orators all necessary aid in the prem-
" ises &c, And as in duty bound &c

Victor Buchanan
James Vandermark

" State of Illinois
" Lawrence County

" Victor Buchanan and
" James Vandermark the complainants in
" the above Bill say & make oath that the
" matters and things therin contained
" averred and set forth, so far as stated
" on their own knowledge are true, and so
" far as stated on information from others,
" they believe to be true &c

" Subscribed and sworn
" to before me this 11th day of Victor Buchanan
" April AD 1850 James Vandermark

P.A. Thomas Clerk

And thereupon afterwards, to wit, at the
September Term AD 1850 of said court, the
following decree was made by the court in the
above entitled cause, in the words and figures
here following to wit:

" Victor Buchanan Sev &

" James Vandermark

" vs. M Chancy
" John Ross Cashier. &c.

" And now at this day come the
" said complainants by their attorneys, and
" it appearing to the court that the said John
" Ross has not filed his answer herein accord-
" to the order made at the last term of this
" court, and the said John Ross being further
" called but ~~not~~ coming not, nor shewing
" to this court anything now in answer to
" the allegations & matters set forth and a-
" vanced in said complainants bill, It is
" ordered and decreed by the court here, that
" the same be taken for confessed as against
" the said defendant Ross, And this cause now
" coming on for final hearing upon bill &
" exhibits and the court being satisfied
" touching the equity of this cause, It is there-
" upon finally ordered and decreed by the
" court, that the injunction decreed here-
" in at the last term of this court be and
" the same is hereby made perpetual, and
" the said John Ross ~~as~~ also all persons
" acting by through or under him be forsw-
" baned, and restrained from further pro-
" ceedings in the said suit at law, and en-
" forcement of the payment of the said note
" as against the said Buchanan & Vandemark
" as well as from the enforcement & collec-
" tion, as against & from them, of the said o-
" riginal note, in consideration of which the
" said note sued on was executed, and
" that both of the said notes as well that

executed by the said Buchanan and Vandermarck as that which purports to have
been executed by them as co-makers with
William Baldwin in his life time, be and
the same are hereby declared void and
of no force in law as against the said
Victor Buchanan Son and the said James
Vandermark, It is further ordered that the
said John Ross have leave to withdraw the
said original note, by the said bill
tendered him, as a voucher against the
estate of the said William Baldwin de-
ceased, It is further ordered and decreed that
the said complainants recover from the
said defendant their costs about this suit
suspended and that they thereof have one-
eighth part of all the expenses.

State of Illinois, Lawrence County vs
I. Frederick A. Thomas, Clerk of
the Circuit Court of said county certify that the
foregoing transcript of the cause Victor Buchanan
Sr. et al. vs John Ross, be is a true and complete
copy of the record of proceedings in said cause as appears of
record in my office

Witness my hand, and seal
of said office at Lawrence-
ville this eighth day of
November AD 1851.

F.A. Thomas Clerk

State of Illinois Nov Term Sup Court 1851.

John Ross Rush }
v
Victor Buchanan & }
James Vandermark }
Error to Lawrence

And now at this day came plaintiff by
Leates his attorney & says that in the record & proceedings &
in the rendition of the judgment against plaintiff, manifest
errors have intervened, and for assignment of error the said
plaintiff assigns the following causes -

First There was no summons in Chancery in this cause, if indeed
served - and plaintiff did not enter his appearance
Second The court rendered a decree against plaintiff of perpetual
imprisonment & of cancellation of said notes & per costs
Third There is no equity in said bill - because all the matters

therin set forth, are matters that Depto might set up
in defense of a recovery at law.

Fourth There was no bond for the injunction required or
given.

Fifth The injunction ought to have been dissolved and the
bill dismissed & a decree in favor of plaintiff for costs.
Wherefore for these & other errors in the record & proceedings
he prays that the decree be reversed & for nothing
attenuated & that he recover his costs &c

Walter P. Seates for plff
& Attklcll

Victor Buchanan &
James Vandemark

vs
John Ross Cashin &c

Filed the 12th day
of November, 2 o'clock
P.M. - 1851

Parney D. Preston
Clerk

8773

State of Illinois, }
SUPREME COURT. { ss.

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Sheriff of the County of Lawrence

GREETING:

We command you that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of Victor Buchanan
and James Vandemark in your County

you cause to be made the sum of

— Dollars and — Cents damages, and

the sum of ten — Dollars and thirty five Cents

costs in the said Supreme Court, which John Ross, Cashier &c.

lately recovered against them before the Justices of our said Supreme Court, as appears
to us of record, and make return hereof in ninety days.

Witness, the Hon.

Chief

Justice of the Supreme Court, and the seal thereof, at Spring Mt.
Vernon ~~field~~ this 24th day of February
in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and
fifty two —

Henry D. Preston
Clerk of the Supreme Court.

Opnle 17th 1852, This Recitation returned satisfied
and the money sent by mail to F. & W. Preston
Clkrs of Supreme Court at No 22 Queen St.
herewith enclosed,
Ch. Potts Shiffells.

Supreme Court.

John Russ, Cashr

vs.

V. Buchanan, et al

Execution.

Damages

Costs \$10.95

273

Filed 1852

Recd 1st Decr 1852
F. & W. Preston
Cost \$10.95
Wm. C. Dickey
Clerk of Supreme Court