

8706

No. \_\_\_\_\_

Supreme Court of Illinois

W. L. Dupuy

---

vs.

Isaac Gibson, et al,

---

71641  7

Know all men by these presents that we  
 W<sup>m</sup> J. Shelby John M. Wilson and George Wagner do  
 sell & finally transfer unto the People of the State  
 of Missouri in the legal sum of ninety four dollars  
 to be received of our respective heirs & assigns &  
 tenements of respect to be made in the condition  
 following that is to say that whereas an <sup>exec</sup> <sup>bill</sup>  
 hath issued from the Clerk of the Supreme Court  
 of Missouri for the division at Mount Vernon  
 for the sum of \$700 against & to the Sheriff of  
 Richland County Missouri against Isaac Wilson  
 John M. Wilson W<sup>m</sup> J. Shelby John H. Hays &  
 John M. Gowan to be received of these years &  
 assigns & tenements of the said W<sup>m</sup> J. Shelby  
 & John M. Wilson being levied to satisfy the same  
 for the reasons first that there is no such judgment  
 as that specified in said execution & cert bill to  
 which <sup>parties</sup> ~~parties~~ <sup>parties</sup> ~~parties~~ which again the cert  
 bill is larger than the law justly and finally  
 said cert bill contains charges that have been  
 already paid now if the said John M. Wilson  
 & W<sup>m</sup> J. Shelby shall execute their return  
 with effect & will pay whatever <sup>judgment</sup> ~~cert~~ may be  
 rendered on the return or trial thereof  
 then this deed to be null & void otherwise to  
 remain in full force & virtue

W. J. Shelby  
 John M. Wilson  
 H. Hays

G. P. J.  
 G. P. J.  
 G. P. J.

William D'epar  
vs

Isaac Gibson  
John M Wilson  
M J Shelly  
John Pradjord  
David M<sup>d</sup> Graw

Filed 14<sup>th</sup> Aug 1865  
C. M. Cullen Clerk  
By R. J. Thurman. dpt

Olney Illinois

12<sup>th</sup> Dec

1865

Dear Sir:-

As there seems to be some misunderstanding as to the real progress of a case brought to be entered out of suit in your Court I am induced to write you for the Sheriff as well as a good friend of mine Mr. Shelby - I return the fee bill and the bond given by the parties in replevin - Mr. Shelby is in the case for having while Sheriff Bond served a writ of replevin on a Plaintiff's horse of one Cord when <sup>he</sup> consented that the property might be returned in the possession of one of the parties in interest - It is suggested by Mr. Shelby that a part of the fees taxed in your bill is paid viz: - Printers fees to be paid by J. G. Bowman \$300 - and that the Circuit Court fees of Clerk ~~are~~ were settled with the Clerk here

and that again, that under the ad-  
judication of the Supreme Court the  
law permitting a Court docket  
fee of five dollars to be taxed for  
further salary allowed to the  
Supreme Judges is not now charge-  
able as taxed in your bill - I  
wish however that you write me  
in reference to this matter when  
I think the money will be forwarded  
less these items if the exceptions  
taken be correct something is  
also said by Mr. Wilson the attorney  
in the case for the item \$18<sup>00</sup> for  
abstracts, this I have explained  
to them & will not perhaps  
be in the way, though the item  
seems a little high - I write  
you not as an attorney for either

party but finding that the Sheriff  
had been badly advised by whom  
an attorney here in reference  
to <sup>the</sup> Court to which the papers  
should be returned he advising  
adversely to your written re-  
quest made to the Sheriff Oct. 3<sup>d</sup>  
of present year - Let me hear  
from you at length upon  
the subject - I under my respects  
to Casey & Tanner, et al,

Very truly &c

J. D. Pustow

In the Supreme Court  
First Grand Division  
November Term A.D. 1865-

William L Dupuy Plff. in Error

vs.

Isaac Gibson et al Defs in Error

Reply of  
Execution to  
Circuit Court

And now on this the  
fifth day of this Term of Court, being  
the Eleventh day of November, Comes  
the Plaintiff in Error by Tanner + Casey  
his Atty. And on his motion the  
above styled Cause is docketed,  
and a rule taken upon the Defend-  
ants in Error to ~~answer~~ file  
Reasons or Show Cause for  
quashing the Plaintiff's Execution  
for cost: ~~in~~

Same  
vs  
Same

And now again

Comes the Plaintiff in Error on  
the same day by his said Attornies  
and the Defendant having failed  
to answer the rule taken upon

them this day. It is therefore  
considered by the Court that the  
Replevin be dismissed.

It is further ordered by the  
Court that the Clerk issue  
an alias Execution for the  
Costs which accrued in the  
above styled Cause at the last  
term of this Court; and that the  
Plaintiff in Error have recovery  
of and from the said Defendants  
their costs, wrongfully expended  
in this behalf, and that he  
have Execution therefor

No 10 of 1864.

No 50 - of 1865.

W. L. Dupuy

4

Isaac Gibson et al.

Motion of Defts to  
quash writs - and  
processes - and  
Certiorari on Page 623 -  
of Execution out for  
Certs. Dec. 13 - 1865 -

8706