

No. 8746

# Supreme Court of Illinois

Nathan P. Chambers

---

vs.

James Rowe

---

71641  7



1  
Deas Contained and held at the Court house in  
the Town of Pinckneyville within and for the County  
of Perry and State of Illinois in the third Judicial  
Circuit of the State of Illinois before the Honorable  
Alexander M. Jenkins the Judge of the 3<sup>rd</sup> Judicial  
Circuit within and for said County of Perry  
and State aforesaid at the April Term of said  
Circuit to wit on the fourth Monday in the month  
of April A D 1861.

James Rowe

vs

Bill in Chancery

For Specific perfor-  
mance & Injunction

Nathan P Chambers Joseph A Skipper  
Benjamin Skipper J. J. Chambers &  
William B Johnson

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the  
third day of April in the year of our Lord one  
thousand Eight hundred and Sixty One. Bill in  
Chancery in the above styled Cause was filed in  
the office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of  
said County of Perry and State of Illinois on change  
of venue from the County of Clinton and  
State aforesaid which said Bill is in the  
words and figures following to wit



State of Illinois, Of the March Term of the Clinton County Circuit Court.  
Clinton County, Court A 21858.

To the Hon. Judge of said Circuit Court in Chancery  
 setting Humbly complaining Your Orator James Rowe. Shew-  
 eth unto your honor, that on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May 1857 he  
 contracted for the title of the N<sup>W</sup> 1/4 of the N<sup>W</sup> 1/4 of Sec  
 28. T1 N R 1W of the 3<sup>rd</sup> pr<sup>o</sup>. Meridian 40 acres from the  
 Illinois Central railroad company, in consideration of the  
 payment to s<sup>d</sup> Company therefor the sum of \$520.00 and  
 3 per cent interest, payable, and paid as in the said written  
 contract set forth, and on the terms, time and conditions  
 stipulated in said contract of purchase, of the date  
 above mentioned and signed on behalf of said company,  
 by John Nelson their Land Commissioner, and P. Duggan  
 secretary, and by Your Orator, All of which will more  
 fully and at large appear reference being had to said  
 contract which is herewith <sup>filed</sup> Marked "A" and prayed to be  
 taken (and here inserted) as a part of this bill of com-  
 plaint.

Your orator States also that for the services of  
 Messrs. Ingraham Holcom & co land agents at Centralia  
 Illinois in negotiating for the s<sup>d</sup> purchase he agreed to



pay to them the sum of twenty Dollars in addition to the s<sup>d</sup>  
3 sum of \$20. + the interest of 3 per cent as aforesaid &  
that interest for two years next ensuing the date of said  
contract to wit; the sum of \$31.20 was paid in advance by  
your Orator to said company;

Afterwards to wit; on or about the 28<sup>th</sup> day of May  
A D 1857 it being represented to your Orator that one  
Nathan C Chambers, had been & still was desirous of  
purchasing said land - Your Orator called upon said  
Chambers. (whom your orator makes defendant to this his  
bill of complaint) and s<sup>d</sup> Deft represented to your Orator,  
that he would be glad to purchase s<sup>d</sup> land, urging 1<sup>st</sup>  
that it would square his land - 2<sup>d</sup> that as he was owner of  
a saw mill & the land lay near the mill, he could saw  
the timber to profit, + 3<sup>rd</sup> that when cleared he could  
use it to advantage for a blue grass pasture. And at  
the request urgent solicitation of s<sup>d</sup> Deft. Your Orator agreed  
with s<sup>d</sup> Deft. in consideration that the s<sup>d</sup> Deft. would  
pay to s<sup>d</sup> Railroad Company the purchase money as stipulated  
in said contract between s<sup>d</sup> company & your Orator -  
above set out - at the times specified therein together  
with the interest as therein mentioned, and would  
in all things answer the obligations of said contract  
to said company - in the place of your Orator - & in  
consideration also that the s<sup>d</sup> Defendant would  
pay to your Orator, the s<sup>d</sup> sum of \$31.20 interest - ~~pre~~ paid  
on said purchase to s<sup>d</sup> Co., by your orator, and the  
further sum of twenty Dollars which your Orator had  
become bound for to s<sup>d</sup> Ingraham, Tolson & Co.,



**11** and also as a bonus, the sum of ten Dollars. That your Orator would assign to said Def<sup>t</sup>, all his right-titled interest in s<sup>d</sup> contract between your Orator & s<sup>d</sup> company - and would transfer & convey the s<sup>d</sup> Contract to the s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> by assignment & delivery thereof :-

bound for

And your Orator avers that the s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup> did in consideration of the transfer and agreement to him of the s<sup>d</sup> contract agree with your Orator, to pay to your Orator, the sum of \$31.  $\frac{20}{100}$  pre paid as interest as aforesaid - also the s<sup>d</sup> sum of \$20<sup>00</sup> which your Orator was to s<sup>t</sup> Ingraham Folow & co aforesaid & ten Dollars as a bonus amounting in the aggregate to the sum of \$61. 20, and further that he would assume all further payments on s<sup>d</sup> land and all stipulations and obligations in s<sup>d</sup> contract between your Orator & s<sup>d</sup> company which by s<sup>d</sup> contract was to be performed by your Orator - to all intents and purposes to save your Orator harmless - from injury and loss - by the payment of s<sup>d</sup> sums still remaining unpaid to the company of s<sup>d</sup> purchase money.

And your orator shows further that at the time of closing s<sup>d</sup> contract with s<sup>t</sup> Chambers the s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup>, tendered the sum of \$10.00 in gold or specie - but that your Orator being desirous to aid his son in the improvement of a farm declined the sum so tendered, and it was then agreed between your Orator & the s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup>. (s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup>, then being engaged in sawing lumber) that for the amount of s<sup>d</sup> \$61.20 the s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup>, would pay the same in lumber at the said Mill near s<sup>d</sup> Land. Your Orator offering at the same time to take of s<sup>d</sup> Def<sup>t</sup>, to the quantity



5 of 14,000 feet. And Your Orator sheweth further that confiding in the honesty and integrity of the s<sup>d</sup>. Deft. to comply with s<sup>d</sup>. agreement. Authorized s<sup>d</sup>. Deft. to enter upon & take possession of s<sup>d</sup>. Land & take the timber upon the same, to be sawed into lumber. That at the time of making s<sup>d</sup>. contract with Deft., no time was stipulated when the assignment of s<sup>d</sup>. R.R. contract was to be made but the same was to be done at a future time to suit the mutual convenience of said parties :-

And your orator sheweth further that s<sup>d</sup>. Deft. did afterwards during the summer of 1857. take possession of s<sup>d</sup>. Land, and by himself & others in his employ by his authority have striped the said Land of a large portion of the timber - and sawed the same into lumber:

That s<sup>d</sup>. Deft. did also deliver lumber and the same was accepted by your Orator tho received by his son and received at the time, as a payment of the sum of \$61.20 and for such quantity of Lumber so delivered to his son as exceeded in value the s<sup>d</sup>. \$61.20 Your Orator admits he was liable to pay -

Your orator shows further that business of an urgent character required his personal attention in the South & on or about the 18<sup>th</sup> day of July 1857 he departed for Louisiana leaving instructions, and full authority to Andrew J Rowe, to transfer and assign to s<sup>d</sup>. Deft. s<sup>d</sup>. contract of Purchase - That in the month of August A D 1857 the s<sup>d</sup>. Andrew J Rowe called upon s<sup>d</sup>. Deft. and informed s<sup>d</sup>. Deft. that he was ready & willing to make s<sup>d</sup>. assignment, & that



6 he called upon him for that express purpose - when the  
S<sup>d</sup> Dept. denied that he was to pay the amt. to your orator  
agreed to be paid to S<sup>d</sup> Ingraham & Co to wit the S<sup>d</sup> \$20.00  
& refused to have the contract assigned on the terms of  
that payment S<sup>d</sup> A. J. Rowe then forbid any further waste  
or removal of timber from S<sup>d</sup> land by S<sup>d</sup> Dept. until  
S<sup>d</sup> matter was settled.

Your Orator returned to said Co. of Clinton on  
or about the 25<sup>th</sup> day of November 1857 & Paid to S<sup>d</sup>  
Ingraham Folsom & Co the S<sup>d</sup> twenty Dollars, and on  
or about the 28<sup>th</sup> of November 1857. Your orator  
called upon S<sup>d</sup> Dept. to settle the matter in  
difference in friendship. and also on the 5<sup>th</sup> of Decr.  
1857 at Centralia. Your Orator proposed to settle & officiate  
to transfer the S<sup>d</sup> Contract upon compliance of Dept  
with the terms of S<sup>d</sup> Contract but S<sup>d</sup> Dept. denied  
the said \$20.00 to S<sup>d</sup> Ingraham Folsom & Co yet the  
S<sup>d</sup> Dept. wholly refused to accept any terms of settle-  
ment; and Dept. afterwards sued your Orator in the name  
of the partnership firm owning the said saw Mill for not  
the excess of lumber over the \$101.20 only, which  
had been delivered but also for the entire quantity  
of ~~lumber~~ lumber including that quantity & recovered judg-  
ment therefor which is still in force & which lumber  
had been received & applied by your Orator in payment  
of said amount as by the contract of S<sup>d</sup> Dept. Your  
Orator was warranted in so doing. A copy of said  
Judgment recovered before William B Johnson  
J. P. is herewith filed marked "B" & <sup>prayed</sup> referred to



7 be taken as a part of this bill & the excess over  
\$61.20 is here tendered & c. But now so it is the  
S<sup>d</sup> Deft. falsely & fraudulently pretends that he was  
not to pay S<sup>d</sup> sum of \$61.20 and fraudulently denies  
that the quantity of lumber first <sup>delivered</sup> described was to  
be applied in payment of said \$61.20. And although  
your Orator here distinctly & positively charges that by  
virtue of said parol agreement of purchase the S<sup>d</sup> Deft  
entered upon & took possession of said land and has  
by taking the valuable Lumber trees committed irre-  
parable damage to the value of said land, and  
charges further that the lumber first delivered was  
to the amount of \$61.20 payment to your Orator on  
S<sup>d</sup> contract as made between Deft. & your Orator  
Yet the S<sup>d</sup> Deft., with the fraudulent & unlawful intent  
to cheat your Orator now falsely pretends that  
because the said contract was not in writing, he  
the S<sup>d</sup> Deft. is discharged from compliance on his  
part from all its terms & conditions.

And your Orator offers here as he has repeatedly  
offered to assign said contract to S<sup>d</sup> Deft., and  
tenders \$16.80 & interest thereon & costs of suit before  
said justice mentioned said exhibit "D". And your  
Orator in consideration of the premises & because the  
same is contrary to equity prays process against the  
S<sup>d</sup> Deft. - And also prays to the end that Justice  
and equity be done in the premises that the  
peoples writ of injunction issue restraining &  
enjoining the collection of the said judgment



8 to the amount of \$61.20 until after the hearing of this bill forever against William B. Johnson Justice of the Peace and the said plaintiff Nathan P. Chambers Joseph A. Shipper Benjamin Shipper & J. I. Chambers. And that the s<sup>d</sup> Deft., Nathan P. Chambers be ruled to answer each allegation of the s<sup>d</sup> Bill of Complaint of your orator, and the oath thereto is hereby expressly waived and upon proof of the allegations therein - Your orator prays a specific performance of said contract so made by the s<sup>d</sup> Deft., with your orator - and that a Decree be entered against said Deft., requiring indorsements to your orator against the payment of said land to s<sup>d</sup> R. B. Co. - And that your orator have such other & further relief as the equity and justice of this case may warrant & to your honor shall seem meet & will ever pray &c.

James Rowe Complainant

H. K. S. Ormeloy Solicitor,

State of Illinois, James Rowe being first duly sworn deposes  
Clinton County, Ill. and says that the facts set forth in said bill  
so far as he knows thereof of his own theme of his  
own knowledge are true & so far as he has ob-  
tained information thereof from others he believes  
them to be true. James Rowe

Sworn to & subscribed before me this  
5<sup>th</sup> day of January A. D. 1858. J. R. Woper clk



9 State of Illinois vs. The Clerk of the Circuit Court  
Clinton County will issue a writ of injunction  
on the within petition against the within named  
Defendants directed to the Sheriff of Clinton  
County and State of Illinois, and that he  
take bond as by law in such case is required  
from the said Complainant in the penal sum  
of Two hundred Dollars -

January 5<sup>th</sup> 1857 = Joseph Case Master in  
Chancery Clinton Co Ills

And upon the Back thereof are  
the following endorsements thereon to wit:

James Rowe Complainant

vs

Arthur P. Chambers

Joseph A. Skipper

Benjamin Skipper &

J. J. Chambers &

Wm. P. Johnson

---

Filed Jan<sup>y</sup> 5<sup>th</sup> 1858.

J. B. Roper clk

Bill in Chancery for specific performance  
& Injunction

Exhibit (A)

Filed April 3<sup>rd</sup> 1861

E. P. Rushing clk



10

And on the day and year last aforesaid Deft Nathan P. Chambers filed in said cause his answer to said Bill which said answer is in the words and figures following to wit:

Of the October Special Term of the Clinton Circuit Court A D 1858:

State of Illinois

Clinton County

James Rowe

vs

N. P. Chambers & Etal

Bill for Specific performance & injunction:

Nathan P. Chambers defendant herein saving and reserving the advantage of the many errors and imperfections in complainant's bill of Compt for answer thereunto & to so much thereof as he is advised is necessary for him to answer for answer thereunto sayeth that true it is as respondent is informed Complainant did on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of May 1857 contract with the Illinois Central R R Company for the title to the land described in Compt's bill for the sum of & upon the conditions set out in Compt's bill - That true it is as respondent is informed Compt - did obtain the services of Ingraham Folson & Co and paid them as charged in Bill -

That true it is that Compt called upon respondent and offered to sell said lands to your respondent & that respondent would have been glad to obtain the title to said land but your respondent denies that he ever agreed with



11 complainant to take said rail road Contract off  
of his Complts hands and to pay complainant  
the sum of \$61.20 as charged for said land but  
on the contrary respondent charges the fact to  
be that the Complts agreed to & with respon-  
dent to assign said Rail road Contract to  
respondent for and in consideration of the sum of  
\$41.20 the sum of \$31.20 being the amount  
of interest advanced to the Ills Et R R Co  
And \$10. as a bonus — said contract being oral  
& void under the Statute that respondent then & there  
offered to pay Complts the \$10. and to pay the balance  
upon the Complts making the assignment of the said  
Railroad agreement. that true it is Complts refused  
to take it stating that he would take it — all  
in lumber delivered at The Mill of S. P. Chambers  
& Co that he would rather have lumber than  
money and respondent expressly charges that Complts  
told Respondent to go to cutting the timber as  
soon as he respondent pleased — respondent admits  
that he did cut timber on said land but only  
a small quantity some ten or fifteen trees — and  
respondent expressly charges that Complts did go  
South as charged in his bill without making  
over <sup>any</sup> assignment of said Rail road Contract and  
without authorizing any one to make said assignment,  
Respondent represents expressly charges that said  
assignment could only be made by Complts or an  
atty in fact the same having <sup>to</sup> been acknowledged



12 as deeds are (under the instructions of the Land  
department of the Ills Central R R Co) That some  
time after Compt's departure for the South as  
charged the son of Compt came and demanded  
an ass<sup>n</sup> contract the sum of \$61 <sup>20</sup>/<sub>100</sub> but stated  
that he had no power of atty to execute  
said assignment, that unless respondent would  
pay the \$61 <sup>20</sup>/<sub>100</sub> respondent could not get the  
land - respondent Charges that never having made  
any contract with Compt to pay the said  
sum of \$61 <sup>20</sup>/<sub>100</sub> he then and there refused to  
pay the same or to wait longer for an assignment  
of said agreement of the Ills. C R R Co - and  
that then and there the said son of Compt as  
the agent of Compt. forbid respondent from  
cutting timber or otherwise using or occupying  
said land then and there as such agent of said  
Compt. refused to make any assignment of  
said contract of the Ill C R R Co for the  
sum of \$41 <sup>20</sup>/<sub>100</sub> Stating that respondent should  
should never have said land - and that then &  
there respondent and said Andrew J Rowe  
son of Compt acting as such agent as aforesaid  
of Compt then & there by mutual consent  
cancelled said contract, and the said A. J.  
Rowe as such agent as aforesaid threatened  
to sue respondent in trespass for cutting the  
timber on said land - Respondant Charges



13 that the only agreement for the purchase of  
said land (from Campb) was for the sum of  
\$41,200<sup>00</sup>/<sub>100</sub> as above charged and for no other  
sum - And having fully answered the material  
allegation of said bill as he is advised - is  
necessary to be answered respondent charges  
all the material facts in said answer to  
be true and this he is ready to verify &c

A. P. Chambers per

Receipts & Stoker Solts.,

And upon the back of said Answer are  
the following endorsements to wit

A. P. Chambers

at

James Rowe

Answer to Bill

Filed Oct 21<sup>st</sup> 1858

A. B. Roper Clk

Filed April 3<sup>rd</sup> 1861

E. B. Rushing Clk

And upon the day and year last aforesaid to wit  
on the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of April A D 1861. Exceptions to Depositions  
of A. J. Rowe was filed in the Clerk's office of said  
Circuit Court in the words and figures following  
to wit:



James Rowe

vs  
In Chancery:  
A. P. Chambers

Exceptions to depositions by defendant - to the Depositions of A. J. Rowe Defendant  
 Except to the answer to the second Interrogatory  
 Because answer shows all the information witness had as to the contract - there mentioned was void by the instructions given witness as agent by exempt himself  
 To the Deposition of J. B. Vamb because of insufficiency of the Notice & in not having been taken before Circuit Clerk and because said Deposition is wholly irrelevant to the issue in not stating that the land is the land described in bill or lies in Clinton County nor does it show the quantity of land

To the deposition of A. D. Ingraham because of insufficiency of Notice, notice does not specify before whom the deposition is to be taken there being no one named before whom the same will be taken

Stoker Exempt & Bates  
 Solst For Deft.

and upon the back of said exceptions are the following file marks to wit: Filed July 9<sup>th</sup> 1860 J. B. Popper clerk  
 Filed April 3<sup>rd</sup> 1861  
 E. B. Rushing clerk



And On the said 3<sup>d</sup> day of April 1861 the following receipt was filed in said Clerk's office to wit:

Rec<sup>d</sup> Centralia Nov. 26 1857 of James Rives Twenty Dollars being amt. in full for Commission on N. W. 1/4 of N. W. 1/4 Sec 28 T. 1 N. 1 W. Ingraham Folsom & Co

And upon the back of said Receipt are the following endorsements to wit:

Filed Jan'y 5-1858

J. B. Roper clk

Filed April 3<sup>d</sup> 1861 E. B. Rushing clk

And Among the files of said Papers as transmitted to the said Clerk of the Circuit Court of Perry County by the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Clinton County Illinois are a number of Depositions which said Depositions so transmitted as aforesaid are in the words and figures following to wit

The Deposition of Joseph B Lamb of the County and of Washington and State of Illinois a Witness produced sworn and examined before Samuel L. Page Clerk of the County Court of Washington County Ills on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of February A. D. 1859 at the Court house in Nashville Washington County afo<sup>r</sup> to be used read as evidence in the trial of a certain suit in Chancery now pending and undetermined in the Circuit Court of Clinton



16

County State of <sup>Pa.</sup> wherein James Rowe is Com-  
plainant and A. P. Chambers is defendant in  
the part and behalf of the said Plaintiff  
the said Joseph B Lamb being duly sworn  
according to Law deponeth and saith in mes-  
senger to the several Interrogatories administered  
on the part of <sup>the</sup> said Plaintiff as follows

Question 1<sup>st</sup> Do you know the Parties to this suit  
Plaintiff and Defendant in the title of these  
Interrogatories named or either of them and  
which of them and how long have you known  
them respectively

Ans I know the Plaintiff & Defendant - I have known  
them about two years.

Ques 2<sup>d</sup> Do you know of a contract having been  
made between the Plaintiff James Rowe and  
the Defendant Chambers during the months  
of June or July A D 1857 concerning a  
tract of Land which the said James Rowe  
had purchased of the Central Rail road Com-  
pany and if so state what that contract  
was - State all you know about it.

Ans I know of a contract having been made  
between s<sup>d</sup> parties - as to s<sup>d</sup> contract about as  
follows - Rowe was to assign all his interest  
to Chambers for the consideration that  
Chambers was to pay him all that he (Rowe)  
had paid out and give him a certain bonus  
which bonus I think was ten Dollars



Ques. 3<sup>rd</sup>  
17  
Ans.

Do You remember any thing being s<sup>d</sup> about interest or Commission in the Contract Spoken of  
I do. My understanding was that Chambers was to pay Rowe all that he Rowe had paid out for Interest & also Commission

Ques. 4<sup>th</sup>

Please State if you know what price per acre the said James Rowe had contracted to pay for the said tract of Land and how much he had paid in advance upon the Contract price.

Ans.

My recollections are that he was to pay twelve dollars per acre - he paid the usual three per cent as assessed by the Company.

Ques 5<sup>th</sup>

Please state if you know whether the said Defendant Chambers agreed to pay to said Plaintiff the Commissions charged by the Agent for selling the Land above referred to. and if you know what that Commission was please state it

Ans.

I so understood it - that he was to pay it it was half a Dollar per acre

Ques 6

Do you know whether Rowe sought to sell the Land in question to Chambers - or did not Chambers desire to be the Purchaser for the sake of the accomodation

Ans

I think that Chambers was very anxious to purchase the Land of Rowe



Ques 7

Do you know of any other matter or thing that may tend to the benefit and advantage of the Plaintiff in the cause - If yes declare the same as fully as if you had been thereto particularly interrogated

Ans,

I cannot say that I do

Joseph B Lamb

State of Illinois } I Samuel C Page Clerk of the  
Washington County } County Court in and for said County  
do certify that the foregoing is a true and correct Deposition of Joseph B Lamb as to the foregoing interrogatories - that said Lamb was by me duly sworn previous to deposing them and that he signed the same in my presence  
Given under my hand and official seal

(SS)

this 28<sup>th</sup> day of February A D 1859  
Samuel C Page Clerk

Fees \$2.00 paid by Plff

And upon the back of said Depositions are the following file marks &c

Dr Lamb's Deposition

Filed April 3<sup>rd</sup> 1861 C. P. Rushing atty

State of Illinois } The deposition of A. D. Ingraham  
Marion County } taken before me on the Eighth day  
of March A D 1859 to be used as evidence in  
a suit pending in the Clinton County Circuit  
in which James Rowe is Plaintiff & Nathan



A. D. Ingraham of Full age after being duly sworn deposes & says as follows to wit:

Question. Do you know the parties to this suit

Ans. I do I know Mr. Rowe and I know Mr. Chambers who I suppose to be the party, for nearly two years.

Interrogatory. Do you know whether James Rowe, during the year of '57 Bought a tract of Lands of the Illinois Central Rail Road Co., & If so state what price he paid per acre for the same & how much he bought and state, (If you know) how much the said Rowe paid on said land in advance Also describe said land.

Ans. He did. he paid thirteen Dollars per <sup>for 40 acres</sup> acre, he paid thirty one and  $\frac{20}{100}$  Dollars in advance, the land is the N.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the N.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of Sec 28 Township one North Range one West of 3<sup>d</sup> principal meridian.

Interrogatory 3<sup>d</sup>. State if you know how much the said Rowe paid If anything for Commission to the agent for selling said Land.

Ans. He paid twenty Dollars as commissions to said agent.

Interrogatory 4<sup>th</sup>. State if you know whether Chambers the defendant had engaged in negotiation for the same tract of land prior to the purchase by said Rowe

Ans. He had.



20

Interrogatory 5<sup>th</sup>

State If you know why Chambers did not succeed in getting the land - State all about it

Ans

This land was reserved by us Ingraham-Folsom & Co in our name for Mr. Chambers for ten days ending May 21<sup>st</sup> 1857. Chambers failed to pay the money at the expiration of the time we then sold to Mr. Rowe.

A. D. Ingraham

Subscribed & sworn to before me this Eighth day of March

A. D. 1859 J. J. Johnson J. P. Clerk

State of  
Marion

Illinois as I J. J. Johnson a Justice of the Peace in & for said County do hereby Certify that the within deposition was duly taken before me on the Eighth day of March A. D. 1859, in presence of William Stoker the defendants ally. Witness my hand & seal this 8<sup>th</sup> day of March A. D. 1859.

J. J. Johnson J. P. Clerk

Deposition of A. D. Ingraham

Filed April 3<sup>rd</sup> 1861

C. B. Rushing clk



The deposition of Joseph R. Parule of the County of Washington and State of Illinois a Witness produced sworn and examined before Samuel C. Page Clerk of the County Court in and for said County and State on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of July A D 1857 at the Court house in Nashville in said County to be read as evidence in the trial of a certain suit in Chancery now pending and undetermined in Clinton Circuit Court wherein James Rowe is Complainant and A. P. Chambers is Defendant - on the part and behalf of the said Plaintiff - The said Joseph R. Parule being duly sworn according to Law deposite and Sworn in answer to the several interrogatories on the part of the said Plaintiff as follows -

Ques 1<sup>st</sup> Do you know the parties to this suit Plaintiff and Defendant the title of these interrogatories named or either of them and which of them and how long have you known them respectively?

Ans. I know the parties - I have known Rowe two years

Ques 2 Do you know of a contract having been made between the Plaintiff James Rowe and the Defendant Chambers during the months of June or July A D 1857 concerning a tract of Land which the said James Rowe had



31

purchased of the Central Rail road Company and if so state what that contract was. State all you know about it;

Ans. I know of a contract having been made between said parties in June or July of 1857 about a certain piece of Land purchased of the Illinois Central Rail Road Company - The contract was that Rowe was to transfer his right in the Land to Chambers - That Chambers has to pay him said Rowe the three per cent that he paid also the amount paid to the Land agent and twenty dollars bonus for his bargain or ten dollars I am not satisfied as to which amount -

Ques 3 Please state if you know what price per acre the said James Rowe has contracted to pay for the said tracts of Land and how much he had paid in advance upon the contract paid -

Ans. To the best of my recollection he was to pay twelve dollars per acre - he paid the usual sum three per cent. in advance and also the amount of Commission which was twenty dollars -

Ques 4 Do you know when Rowe bought the Land in question if so state it and do you know whether Chambers was or was not negotiating for the same Land at or about



the time that the land was bought by Rowe  
Rowe bought the land a few days before  
he made the trade with Chambers he Chambers  
was trying to obtain the land about the same  
time when purchased by Rowe -

Ques 5- Do you know whether Rowe sought to sell  
the land in question to Chambers or did not  
Chambers desire to be the purchaser for the  
sake of the accommodation

Ans. Chambers was anxious to purchase said  
land.

Ques 6 Do you know of any other matters or things  
that may tend to the benefit and advantage of  
the Plaintiff in the Cause if Yes declare the  
same as fully as if you had been thereunto  
particularly interrogated.

Ans. I do not remember any thing more  
in particular affecting the case

Joseph B. Sambl

State of Illinois, I Samuel C. Page Clerk of the  
Washington County <sup>3</sup> County Court in and for said  
County do certify that the foregoing deposition  
of Joseph B. Sambl was sworn to and signed  
by the defendant before me and in my presence;  
and that the said Deposition was taken by  
me at the court house in Nashville in said  
County on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of July A D 1859 between  
the hours of one o'clock P.M. and three



23 o'clock P. M. of said day

Given under my hand and the

seal of said Court this 25<sup>th</sup> day  
of July A. D. 1859

Saml. C. Page Clerk

And upon the back thereof are the following  
file marks to wit:

Filed April 3<sup>rd</sup> 1861

E. B. Rushing C. M.

The Deposition of A. J. Rowe of the  
County of Clinton and State of Illinois  
a Witness produced sworn and examined before  
James B. Williams a Justice of the Peace in  
and for said County and State of the 27<sup>th</sup> day  
of July A. D. 1859. at the house of James B.  
Williams J. P. in said County to be read  
in evidence or on the trial of a certain  
suit in Chancery now pending and undetermined  
in said Circuit Court wherein James Rowe  
is Plaintiff and A. P. Chambers is defendant  
on part and behalf of the said Plaintiff  
the said A. J. Rowe being duly sworn according  
to law deposed and said in answer to the  
same in large long perambulations on the part  
of of the said Plaintiff as follows:

Question first

do you know the parties to this suit and  
how long have you known them

Answer

I have known A. P. Chambers some two

Suppressed

2741-197



24 years or over and James Rowe is my father -

Question No two - Please State. State all you know about a contract having been made between the Plaintiff James Rowe and the defend. A. P. Chambers during the month June or July A D 1857 concerning a track of land which the said Rowe had purchased of the Illinois Central Rail road company State all you know about it -

Answer - All that I know about it is I have learned from my father and Mr. Chambers the Plaintiff left me here when he went South in July A D 1857 to make for him a transfer of the Bond of the Illinois Central Rail Road Company of a piece of land purchased by the Plaintiff and sold to A. P. Chambers I was authorized by the Plaintiff to make to a transfer of the bond on the following conditions that Plaintiff stated in the instructions given me was the contract between him self and Chambers - Chambers was to pay him in lumber to the amount that he had paid the Illinois Central Company twenty dollars commission to the land agent - and a bonus of ten dollars amounting in all to sixty one dollars and twenty cents as follows Interest as follows three per cent to the Rail Road company thirty one dollars twenty cents commission to the land agent twenty dollars and a bonus



ten dollars I met A. P. Chambers in Centuria by appointment about the first of August 1857 to make the transfer and he denied that the twenty dollars commission to the land agent was in the contract in consequence of which defendant refused to take the land I then told him I that I was not authorized to make the transfer on any other conditions and that defendant must stop cutting timber from said land until the misunderstanding could be adjusted.

Cross Examined by defendant.

Please state what was the reason that I said that I would not take the land.

Answer

Defendant said that the contract between him and plaintiff Army required him to pay the interest on said land amounting thirty one dollars and twenty cents and a bonus of ten dollars and that he was not to pay the twenty dollars commission that plaintiff had paid to the land agent.

Question by defendant - please state if I would have taken the land if the twenty dollars commission was taken off.

Answer

That was all the objection named and he offered to take it with that amount taken off.

Question 3

State what you know about the timber on



26

on said land before and after the contract between the Plaintiff and defendant.

Answer.

Before the contract I knew nothing subsequently I walked over the land in company with defendant saw that most of the trees that would saw logs had been cut and hauled off and defendant told me as well as I remember that he had hauled timber enough to make twelve thousand feet of lumber from said land.

Cross Examined by defendant

Question

Please state whether the defendant did not say that there had been a great deal of timber taken off the land before he bought it of Plaintiff

Answer

When we were walking over the land defendant pointed out to me trees that he said had been cut by others and before he had purchased the land from plaintiff

Question No four-

Please state whether you saw defendant hauling timber off said land after being forbidden

Answer

I saw defendant team in the act of hauling a log off said land as defendant had designated the land to me I saw fresh marks where timber had been drawn from the tops and stumps



Question By Defendant.

27

What did I state to the driver of the team and whether that log was unloaded or threw off.

Answer Defendant said to me or the driver that said driver had been instructed to take no more timber off said land and I do not remember whether the log was unloaded or hauled to the mill.

Question No 5- Please state how long you have acted as the representative and attorney of the Plaintiff James Rowe. to make and sign contracts collect money and receipt for the same. and sign bills with the Plaintiff proper name or his attorney.

Answer Since the year of 1856 I have been in the habit of transacting all such business for the plaintiff without an written power of attorney

Question No 6- Do you know of any other matter or thing that may attend to the advantage or benefit of the Plaintiff if yes declare the as fully as you had been therunto particular interrogated

Answer - I think of nothing else

Andrew J. Rowe

Justice fees 1.60 Witness fees 1.50

State of Illinois ss J. James B. Williams a Justice of the peace in Clinton County and for said County and State do certify that the foregoing deposition was sworn to and signed by A. J. Rowe at my office on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of July A D 1859 in Clinton County Given under my hand and seal



28 this 27<sup>th</sup> day of July A D 1857

J. B. Williams J. P. Seal

Justice fees 25-

Filed April 3<sup>rd</sup> 1861

E. B. Rushing M

The deposition of William H. Crawford of the County of Clinton and State of Illinois witness produced Sworn and examined before James B Williams a Justice of the Peace in and for said County and State on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of July A D 1857 at the house of said James B Williams in said County of Clinton Suit in Chancery now pending and undetermined in the said Circuit Court wherein James Rowe is plaintiff and A. P. Chambers is defendant on the part and behalf of said Plaintiff

The said William H Crawford being duly sworn according to law deposeth and Saith in answer to the several interrogatories on the part of the said plaintiff as follows-

Question first Do you know the Parties to this suit plaintiff and defendant in the title of the interrogatories named and how long have you known them respectively

Answer I have known James Rowe about two years and have known A. P. Chambers about Eighteen years

Question second Do you know about a contract having been made between the Plaintiff James Rowe



29

and the Defendant Chambers during the months of June or July A D 1857. concerning a contract of land that the - James Rowe purchased of the Illinois Central Rail Road Company and if so state what that contract was state all you know about it and how you come to know about it:

Answer

I know of there being a contract made during the months of June or July A D 1857 between the Plaintiff James Rowe and the defendant A. P. Chambers in reference to a tract of land which the said James Rowe purchased of the Illinois Central Rail Road Company I heard Chambers say that he had bought the land from James Rowe and that he was to pay all the money that Rowe had paid out and was to pay Rowe a bonus -

Question third -

Please state if you know anything about the said tract of land before it was sold to defendant where situated the number ~~Chambers~~ character of the land timber and &c

Answer

I know it it was situated in the North West quarter of the North West quarter Section twenty eight - Town one North range one West third principal meridian timbered land good average timber

Question fourth -

Please state as near as you can what the condition of the land and timber now is and what in your opinion is the difference of



30 the land now and when sold by Plaintiff to defendant, and do you know by whom the timber has been removed

Answer - I consider that there now two hundred dollars difference now and when Chambers bought the land the principal part of the good timber has been taken off -

Question fifth - Do you know whether defendant removed the principal part of the good timber off of that land or not -

Answer - I heard defendant say that he had got the best timber off said land and afterwards I heard him say that he had got the cream of the luke -

Cross Examined by defendant -  
Where was this conversation had and what gave rise to it

Answer - At my house J. B. Nichols came to my house with a wagon and said he had a claim in Rail road land and I think Nichols ~~at~~ ask Chambers if he might go on said - and get a load of wood Chambers said he had no objection to said Jackson Powe had dispossessed him of said land it was in September or October 1854

Question No Sixth - What did defendant say in the conversation just referred to what he would do with the contract and land made with plaintiff:

Answer - Defendant said that he would have nothing



31 more to do with the contract as the timber was all that he wanted.

Question Seventh - Please state whether or not defendant acknowledged that he had logs cut on said land, and was caught in the act of removing of them after he was forbid taken any more from said land until and adjustment was made of the existing difficulty of said contract.

Answer I heard defendant say that he had a few logs cut on said land when Jackson Rome forbid him taken any more timber and he sent Henry Keller or John Keller to haul said logs and was caught loading hauling the first log.

Question Eight - Do you know any other matters or things or things that may tend to the advantage or benefit of the Plaintiff in the case if you declare the same as fully as if you had been Mercurio's particular interrogated.

Answer It is all I know. W. H. Crawford.

Justice fee 1.50 Witness fee 1.50

State of Illinois ss I James B. Williams a Justice of the peace  
Clinton County ss in and for said Clinton County and State do  
certify that the foregoing deposition was signed  
and sworn to before me at my office in Clinton  
County by William H. Crawford on the 27<sup>th</sup> day  
of July A. D. 1859 Given under my hand and  
seal this 27<sup>th</sup> day of July A. D. 1859

J. B. Williams J. P. Seal

Justice fee, 25-



32

State of Illinois }  
Clinton County } p

James Roe

vs

Nathan P Chambers

In Chancery to com-  
pell Specific Performance

James Roe complainant  
and Andrew J Roe agent & attorney in fact  
for complainant. Gentlemen you will please  
take notice that I shall attend at the office of  
William B. Johnson one of the justice of the  
peace in and for the county of Clinton & State of  
Illinois on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of July A D 1860 between  
the hours of 9 o'clock A M & 3 o'clock P M for the  
purpose of taking the depositions of Benjamin  
Skipper Joseph A Skipper John J Chambers John  
W Killen & Henry J Killen to be read in evidence  
on the part of the Defendant in the above entitled  
cause and continue from day to day untill all  
the depositions are taken at which time & place  
you may attend & cross examine if you see  
proper so to do  
N P Chambers  
June 27<sup>th</sup> 1860  
per Stoker Secourt & Bates

Solst for Deft

All Depositions taken in pursuance of the notice  
herewith attached to be read in evidence in a certain  
cause in chancery now pending and undetermined  
in the circuit Court, of the county of Clinton &  
State of Illinois wherein James Rowe is  
complainant and Nathan P Chambers is



defendant before me the undersigned at my office in the county of Clinton & State aforesaid on the 23<sup>d</sup> day of July A.D. 1860 commencing between the hours of 9 o'clock A.M. & 2 o'clock P.M.

Benjamin Skipper of lawful age being duly sworn to true answers make to such interrogatories which should thereunto be put to him as to the 1<sup>st</sup> Interrogatory he says,

I am acquainted with the parties above named  
2<sup>nd</sup> How long have you been acquainted with the parties  
ans. I have been acquainted with Mr. Chambers since 1829 with Mr. Rowe since 1857

3<sup>rd</sup> How are you acquainted with Andrew J. Rowe  
ans. I am

4<sup>th</sup> How long have you been acquainted with the said Andrew J. Rowe  
ans. Since 1857

5<sup>th</sup> How do you state whether you have heard any conversation between the said Andrew J. Rowe Nathan P. Chambers in regard to a contract for some land and if so state what it was.

ans. I have heard some conversation at the mill belonging to the said Chambers and others the agreement was that the said Chambers should pay said Rowe the usual price for the Lumber which he had cut & hauled from Mr. Rowe's land and Mr. Rowe to keep the Land and Chambers was not to



34 disturb the land or Timber any further

6<sup>th</sup> ques when was this conversation

ans. I think it was in July or August 1857

7<sup>th</sup> ques. what land was this that Mr. Rowe was to keep  
and N. W. quar. N. W. cor of Section 28 of one A B one W  
of the 3<sup>rd</sup>. P. M. this was the land that they was  
talking about Referred to above

8<sup>th</sup> ques was the Timber for which Mr Chambers was  
to pay referred to in the above conversation taken  
off the land above described.

ans That was my understanding

9 what was the price agreed upon that Mr  
Chambers was to pay Mr Rowe for that timber

ans 37 $\frac{1}{2}$  cts per hundred feet square lumber deduct-  
ing the chopping out.

10<sup>th</sup> ques Examination by A J Rowe for complainant  
qs do you know whether this conversation was before  
or after the misunderstanding between Mr Rowe  
and Mr Chambers in Reference to this land contract

ans it was after I infer from the conversation

qs what was the object of this conversation

ans To settle up the whole difficulty was my understanding

qs do you know whether not during the conversation I  
did not propose to wait til I saw my father or  
heard from him before I acted in the matter

ans after the settlement and arrangement was all  
made then Mr Rowe flew off and said he would  
do nothing about it till he could see or hear from  
his father.



qs did Mr Chambers object to that arrangement,  
ans I do not Recollect,

No 3. Direct Examination Renewed

qs 1<sup>st</sup> what was the condition of the land the time when  
it was said Mr Chambers had bought this land in  
June 1857

ans it was Timber land badly cullid before Mr Rowe  
bought it. The best of the Timber was post oak  
Timber the balance of the Timber was small Timber

qs How does the timber compare now with what it did in 1857

ans it is not so good now as it was then for it has  
been badly cullid since that time for I have seen  
persons hauling wood from off of it since that time

Subscribed and sworn to Benjamin Skipper

before me this July 23<sup>rd</sup>  
1860 W B Johnson J P. }

John J Chambers of lawful age being first  
duly sworn to true answers make to such inter-  
rogatories which should thereunto be put to him.

As to the 1<sup>st</sup> Interrogatory

I am acquainted with the parties this suit  
James Rowe & Nathan P Chambers I have  
been acquainted with Mr Rowe since 1857

qs 2<sup>nd</sup> are you acquainted with Andrew J Rowe  
ans Since June or July 1857

qs 3<sup>rd</sup> do you know or do you not know any thing about  
a contract for land between Mr James Rowe  
& Mr Nathan P Chambers if so state what it was



36

ans Some time in the month June 1857 I think  
Mr. James Rowe made a verbal agreement to sell  
to Mr Nathan P Chambers his interest in a  
certain Tract of land being the N W qr of N W qr  
& Section 28 T one N R one W of the 3<sup>rd</sup> P W  
for the sum of forty one dollars & 30 or 25 cts

qrs State whether or not you have heard any conversation  
between Andrew J Rowe & Nathan P Chambers  
in regard to that land and if so state it

ans Nathan P Chambers was to pay Mr Rowe 37 1/2  
cts per hundred for the Squire lumber cut and  
hauled deducting the price for cutting the timber  
which was cut and not hauled Mr Rowe forbid  
Mr Chambers hauling it he also forbid him cutting  
any more -

qrs did Mr Chambers express himself willing to comply  
with the purchase contract

ans he did -

qrs State if you know how much lumber Mr  
Chambers has cut and hauled from that land

ans Twelve Thousand feet,

qrs State if you know how Nathan P Chambers was  
to pay Mr Rowe for this Twelve Thousand feet of lumber

ans Mr Rowe was indebted to Nathan P Chambers & cts  
for lumber had at their Mill previous had  
on which indebtedness Mr Nathan P. Chambers  
was to cr Mr Rowe for the value of the said  
Twelve Thousand feet of lumber -

qrs State if you know the condition of that land



37 when Mr Chambers contracted it from Mr Rowe  
in 1857.

ans I consider it about fourth Class Lumber for this  
country it has been celled four or five Times  
to my certain knowledge previous to that time

Crop examined for complainant  
qs do you know positively whether or not there was a  
bonafied agreement between Nathan P Chambers &  
Andrew J Rowe agent for James Rowe that  
said Andrew J Rowe would take back the land  
and allow the price of the Lumber taken off by  
Nathan P Chambers to rebut against the lumber  
bought of the Company by James Rowe -

ans to the best of my recollection there was such an  
agreement between the said Andrew J Rowe  
& Nathan P Chambers

Subscribed and sworn to  
before this July 23<sup>rd</sup> 1860

J. J. Chambers

W B Johnson J P.

Henry J Killen of lawful age having first  
been duly sworn to true answers make the such  
interrogatories which should there to be put to him  
as to the 1<sup>st</sup> Interrogatories he says

I am acquainted with the parties to this suit  
I first knew Mr Rowe in 1857 I have known  
Nathan P Chambers since about 1845;

qs are you acquainted with Andrew J Rowe  
I have known him since 1857



qs have you or have you not heard Mr Andrew of  
Rowe say any thing in regard to the ownership  
of land that Mr Chambers claimed to have  
bought of

ans Sometime in the month of June or July 1857  
I was hauling saw logs from a piece of land  
which Mr Nathan P Chambers claimed to  
have been bought of Mr James Rowe when Mr  
Rowe came along and told me not get too  
far over that way for fear you get on my land  
for that belongs to me now referring to the  
land that Nathan P Chambers claimed to  
have bought of said James Rowe Mr Rowe  
forbid me from hauling any more logs from  
that piece of land

H. J. Killen

sworn to and subscribed  
before me this July 23<sup>rd</sup>

1860 W B Johnson J.P.

John H Killen of lawful age &c

qs are you acquainted with the parties to this suit  
ans I am well acquainted with Nathan P Chambers  
and slightly acquainted with Mr James Rowe & Andrew  
of Rowe -

qs have you or have you not cut saw logs for  
Nathan P Chambers upon land that Mr Chambers  
have contracted with Mr Rowe if so state  
what amount.

ans some time in June 1857 I think I cut logs on  
that tract referred to for which I received



39

between #27 and #30

Sworn to and subscribed  
before me this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of  
July 1860 N B Johnson J.P.

J. W. Killen

State of Illinois } ss.

Clinton County } I N B Johnson a justice  
of the Peace in and for said county do hereby  
certify that the foregoing depositions were taken  
before me at my office in Clinton County  
on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of July A D 1860 and that  
it is a true declaration of the evidence given  
by the several Witnesses given under my  
hand and seal this 23<sup>rd</sup> day of July A D 1860  
N. B. Johnson J.P. *(initials)*



And afterwards to wit; at the April Term of the Perry Circuit Court 1861 the following Order of Court was made and entered of Record in said Cause to wit:

" James Pove

" vs

" Nathan P. Chambers

Change of venue from Clinton County  
Saturday May 4<sup>th</sup> 1861

" And now on this day no one appearing  
" in said. Ordered by the Court that said Cause  
" stand continued until the next Term of  
" said Court & C: "

And afterwards to wit at the September Term of said Perry County Circuit Court A D 1861 the following order of Court was made and Entered of record in said Cause to wit:

" James Pove

No 64: "

vs

" Nathan P. Chambers

Change of venue from Clinton Co.,

Friday Sept. 27<sup>th</sup> 1861

" Ordered by the Court that said Cause  
" be continued - no one appearing on either side & C: "

And afterwards to wit at the April Term of said Perry Circuit Court A D 1862 the following order of Court was Entered of record in said Cause to wit:



41

James Rowe

No 40

"

vs

Change of venue

Nathan P. Chambers

" This cause continued without order  
" until the next term of this Court & C;

And afterwards to wit at the December Special Term A.D. 1862 - the following order of Court was made and entered of record in said cause

James Rowe

No 31-

vs

Change of Venue

Nathan P. Chambers

Thursday December 4<sup>th</sup> A.D. 1862

And now on this day comes said Complainant by O. Melvrey his solicitor and <sup>calls up</sup> exceptions to the answer of 2<sup>nd</sup> interrogatory propounded to A. J. Rowe

It is therefore considered by the Court that Exceptions be sustained - and the answer thereto suppressed - and it is further considered by the Court that the exceptions to depositions of A. D. Ingram and J. B. Lamb overruled by the Court - And it is further considered by the Court on motion of said Complainant by his solicitor that said cause be and the same is set down for a hearing at the next Term of this Court on Bill answer exhibits and testimony - and said cause cont<sup>d</sup> & C;



And afterwards to wit at the April Term of  
said Perry County Circuit Court A. D. 1863. the following  
Order of Court was made and entered of record  
in said Cause to wit:

James Rowe

vs

vs

Change of Venue

Nathan P. Chambers

Tuesday April 27<sup>th</sup> A. D. 1863

And now at this day on motion It is  
Ordered by the Court that said Cause be  
Continued until the next Term of this Court

And it is further Ordered adjudged  
and Decreed by the Court here that said  
Complainant pay the Costs of this continuance  
&c.

And afterwards to wit on the 29<sup>th</sup> day of  
Sept. 1863 the following Order of Court was  
made and entered of record in said  
Cause which is in the words and figures  
following to wit:

James Rowe Complainant

Bill for Specific Performance

vs

and injunction

Nathan P. Chambers

Tuesday 29<sup>th</sup> day of

Sept. A. D. 1863

And now on this day came the Com-  
plainant by his Solicitor John H. Mulkey  
And the said Defendant not appearing



43

either by himself or solicitor, and the said cause being ready for a hearing the Court proceeded to examine the Bill answer exhibits - Depositions and proofs for and on behalf of the said defendant as well as on behalf of the said Complainant and from the such examination finds that said Bill Answer exhibits Depositions and proofs fully prove and establish that Complainant on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May A 1857 contracted in writing with the Illinois Central Rail Road Company for the purchase of the following land and premises to wit: The North West quarter of the North West quarter of Section Seventy Eight Township One North Range One West of the 3<sup>rd</sup> principal Meridian in the State of Illinois that the consideration agreed to be paid was \$520. that upon that amount Complainant paid advance interest to said Company amounting to \$31.20 - That Complainant also paid as commission to Messrs. Ingosham Folsom & Co for negotiating said the sum of \$200 that afterwards to wit on or about the 28<sup>th</sup> day of March 1857 Complainant agreed with defendant to sell transfer and assign to him all his right title and interest in and to said contract of purchase in consideration of which agreement the said defendant agreed to assume all of complainant



and liabilities to the said Illinois Central  
Rail Road Company refunded to complainant  
the \$31.20 advance interest and the \$20. Com-  
mission for negotiating Original purchase and  
also pay Complainant as a bonus on his contr-  
act with the Rail road Company the sum of  
ten Dollars. That in pursuance of said contract  
defendant Entered upon and took possession of  
said Land and premises, that upon so Taking  
possession of said Land and premises defendant  
cut down and Striped the same of its most  
valuable timber and converted the same to  
his own use thereby materially injuring said  
Land and depreciating the value thereof;  
That Complainant within a reasonable time  
offered to transfer and assign said contract  
and that defendant refused to accept the  
same and has wholly made default in the  
payment of his contract with Complainant,  
and that there is now due ~~upon~~ from def-  
endant to complainant the sum of \$61.20 together  
with interest thereon from the 28<sup>th</sup> day of May  
1857. It is therefore Ordered adjudged and  
decreed that said Contract and agreement  
between Complainant and Defendant be  
specifically performed - that Defendant fully  
perform and carry out Complainants  
Contract with the said Illinois Central







Deposition of Andrew J Row Taken in open Court upon the hearing of this Cause

Q<sup>1</sup> What is your name age Occupation  
 A<sup>1</sup> I<sup>st</sup> ans. Andrew J Row age 33 Occupation a Farmer.

Q<sup>2</sup> Are you acquainted with the parties to this suit

A<sup>1</sup> Yes Sir

Q<sup>3</sup> State whether you were ever employed or authorized to assign a contract of purchase of land from the Ill Central rail road to complainant if so when by whom for what ~~amount~~ The Contract was entered into

A<sup>1</sup> I was sometime in Aug or July 1857 I dont know the number of the Land it was the same Land complainant may further purchased from Ill Central Rail Road Company

Q<sup>4</sup> State whether you were authorized in writing or by parole

A<sup>1</sup> My Authority was verbal.

Q<sup>5</sup> What did complainant authorize you to do all he authorized you to do.

A<sup>1</sup> To make the transfer of the Bond or agreement that he received from the Ill Cen R Road Com. by which he acquired possession of said Land to A. P. Chambers - on the condition



47 he should pay the 3 percent int in advance  
which he had paid in advance 20 dol Com  
to land agent and a bonus of ten dollars

Int. What did he authorize you to do if any  
thing in the event Chambers refused to  
comply with said conditions

Ans. He gave me no instructions for such a  
contingency

State whether Complainant ever gave  
you any authority to rescind or annul  
the said Contract-

Ans. He never did sir.

Int. State what you may know if anything  
about your fathers offering after he came  
back to assign said contract

Ans I know nothing of my own knowl-  
edge - except my father said he was going  
there for for that purpose

Andrew J Rowe

Subscribed + Sworn to before  
me in Open Court this 28<sup>th</sup>

day of September A.D. 1863.

E. P. Rushing Ck

and upon the back of said  
written evidence are the following endorsements  
to wit: James Rowe - vs Nathan P. Chambers  
Testimony of Andrew J Rowe taken in open Court  
Filed Sept. 28. 1863 - E. P. Rushing Ck



State of Illinois ss-  
 Perry County      J. Evan B Rushing Clerk  
 of the Circuit Court within and for the County of  
 Perry and State of Illinois. do hereby certify the  
 foregoing Record contains a true and correct copy  
 of the Bill. Answer. Exceptions to Depositions. Receipts  
 and all the Depositions in the case of James  
 Rowe vs Nathan P. Chambers, et al as the  
 same appears on file and of record in my said  
 office - and also the orders of Court. Entered  
 in said cause -

In Testimony Whereof I have  
 hereunto set my hand and  
 Affixed the Judicial Seal of  
 Said Court at office in Punct-  
 myville - this 9<sup>th</sup> day of February  
 A D 1864:

E. B. Rushing Clerk



179



*[Faint, illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*



In the Supreme Court of the State of  
Illinois

First Grand Division

Nathan P. Chambers, plaintiff in error

"

vs

James Howe

defendant in error

November term AD 1864

Came this day the plea by Nathan  
P. Chambers his attorneys & says  
that in the record proceedings  
and process aforesaid there  
is manifest error in this  
that the said Circuit Court of  
Perry County, and said decree  
in favor of the defendant  
in error whereas by the law  
of the land said decree  
ought to have been rendered  
in favor of the plaintiff in error  
and assigning errors  
specially on the record the  
plea says the said Circuit Court  
first in rendering a decree for  
the specific performance of the  
Contract set forth in the Bill  
of the defendant in error  
secondly the said Circuit Court  
erred in not setting said cause



down for a hearing on Bill and answer

3<sup>rd</sup> The said Court erred in setting said Cause down for a hearing on Bill and answer depositions & exhibits

and fourthly the said Court erred hearing oral testimony at the bar of the Court upon the hearing of 3<sup>rd</sup> Cause & for these & divers other errors apparent upon the face of the record aforesaid. The prayer prays that the Judgment & Decree of the Circuit Court may be reversed &

Without award  
for paper in error  
for paper in error  
for paper in error

The writ of error will be made a supersedeas on the plaintiff in error amounting a bond in the penalty of two hundred and fifty dollars with James McKee and Benjamin W. Skiffen



Security Court tried according  
to law. Calyx March 11. 1864  
Stanley Preece  
Judge Sup. Court.

*[Faint, illegible handwriting, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]*



Nathan P. Chamberlaine  
Plaintiff in error  
vs

James Row  
Defendant in error

-----  
Error to Perry.  
-----

Filed April 18. 1864.

N. Johnston Clerk

Paid by Nelson - \$11.50



# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS.

## FIRST GRAND DIVISION.

NATHAN P. CHAMBERS, *Pl'tff in Error,*  
vs.  
JAMES ROWE, *Defendant in Error,*

NOVEMBER TERM, 1864.  
*Error to Perry.*

### ABSTRACT OF PLAINTIFF'S CASE.

1 The Defendant in error, who was complainant in the court below, filed his  
bill in Chancery against the plaintiff in error at the ~~April~~ *March* term of the Clinton circuit  
court, 1858, alleging that, on the 23d of May, 1857, he contracted with the Illinois  
Central Railroad Company for the purchase of the north-west fourth of the north-  
west fourth of section 28, township 1 south, range 1 west of the 3d principal Merid-  
ian, containing forty acres, in consideration of five hundred and twenty dollars and  
three per centum interest thereon, payable and paid as in said contract set forth,  
2 which said contract is made a part of bill: That complainant paid Ingraham, Folsom  
& Co. twenty dollars for services in making said contract, and also paid thirty-  
one dollars and twenty cents for two years' interest on said contract, in advance:  
that the defendant below wanted the land because it would square his farm; was  
the owner of a saw-mill near said land; wanted it for timber purposes, and also  
wanted it because it answered for a blue-grass pasture after it was cleared; and  
complainant, in consideration of defendant paying the said company for said land,  
3 paying Ingraham, Folsom & Co. the said sum of twenty dollars and the said sum of  
thirty-one dollars and twenty cents interest and also a bonus of ten dollars, to trans-  
fer and assign said contract with Railroad Company to him said defendant; all of  
which defendant agreed to do, and further agreed to indemnify said complainant  
against any liability whatever to said Railroad Company: That it was further  
agreed between complainant and said defendant that the said sums of money,  
amounting to sixty-one dollars and twenty cents, should be paid to complainant in  
4 lumber, complainant offering at the same time to take lumber of defendant to the  
amount of fourteen thousand feet:

5 That complainant gave defendant possession of said land and that there was no  
time specified when said transfer of contract with Illinois Central Railroad Company  
should be made, but it was to be assigned to suit their mutual convenience: That  
said defendant took possession of said land in the summer of 1857, and cut a large  
portion of the timber on the same and sawed it into lumber, and also delivered more  
than enough lumber to pay said sum of sixty-one dollars and twenty cents to his  
son, which defendant accepted, and admits he was liable to pay said defendant for  
whatever was received over that amount of sixty-one dollars and twenty cents:

6 That urgent business called complainant South, and he left his son, Andrew J.  
Rowe with sufficient power to assign said Railroad contract, who called on defend-  
ant below and offered to make said assignment; but said defendant refused to pay  
said twenty dollars paid to Ingraham, Folsom & Co., upon which said Andrew for-  
bid him, said defendant, to commit any more waste on said land until the matter  
was settled:

That complainant called on defendant for a settlement about 28th November,  
1857, and also requested him to settle and offered to assign said Railroad contract,  
but defendant denied his right to pay said twenty dollars paid to Ingraham, Folsom  
& Co. and refused to settle on any terms, and actually sued complainant in the  
name of the firm owning said mill, for all of the lumber delivered to complainant,  
and recovered judgment for not only the surplus, but also for the said sum of sixty-  
one dollars and twenty cents, before William B. Johnson, J. P., although the said



lumber was received and applied towards satisfying the said sum of sixty one dollars and twenty cents, according to the tenor of said contract, a copy of which said judgment, marked (B), is referred to as a part of bill.

7 Complainant charges that defendant took possession of said land and has committed irreparable waste, and that the lumber first delivered to amount of sixty-one dollars and twenty cents way delivered in payment of moneys due under said contract: But defendant now insists upon said contract being within the Statute of of Frauds, and refuses to comply with the same. Complainant tenders sixteen dollars and eighty cents and interest thereon and costs, before Justice, and prays an injunction against William B. Johnson, J. P., Nathan B. Chambers, Joseph A. Skipper, Benjamin Skipper and J. J. Chambers, enjoining the collection of said judgment, waives oaths, prays a specific performance of contract, and prays endorsement to complainant against payment of said land to said Railroad Company, and for other relief, &c., which bill was subscribed and sworn to by complainant.— There is no prayer to make any person defendant but Nathan B. Chambers, and there is nothing in bill to show that the Skipworths and J. J. Chambers had anything to do with this suit; nor was there any process prayed for decree rendered against them

10 The plaintiff in error filed his answer at the October special term of the said Circuit Court, to complainant's bill, and admits contract between complainant and Illinois Central Railroad Company as stated in bill; also admits payment of twenty dollars to Ingraham, Folsom & Co., and that complainant called on him and offered to sell the land in bill mentioned, and also that defendant was willing to buy it, but denies that he was willing to take said contract off his hands and pay him sixty-one dollars and twenty cents: On the contrary, complainant agreed to assign said contract to defendant for forty one dollars and twenty cents, viz: \$31,20 for interest, and \$10 bonus; and; said contract between him and complainant being oral and void under Statute of Frauds, defendant offered to pay ten dollars bonus and thirty-one dollars and twenty cents interest on complainant assigning to him said Railroad agreement, but complainant would not receive it in money, as he wanted lumber: And defendant expressly charges complainant told him to go to cutting timber as soon as he pleased: Admits he cut some ten or fifteen trees, and that complainant did go South without assigning said Railroad contract and without authorizing any person to make said assignment: That said assignment could only be made by complainant or his attorney in fact, the same having to be acknowledged as deeds, under the instructions of the Illinois Central Railroad Company:

12 That complainant's son, after complainant went South, called on defendant for sixty-one dollars and twenty cents under contract, but had no power of attorney to assign said Railroad contract as required by law, and stated that if respondent, would not pay said sum of sixty-one dollars and twenty cents, he could not get the land; and respondent, never having made such contract with complainant, refused to pay said sum of \$61,20, or wait any longer for the assignment of said Railroad contract; whereupon complainant's said son forbid respondent cutting any more timber on the land, and refused to make said assignment of said Railroad contract for forty-one dollars and twenty cents, and declared that respondent never should have said land, and then and there complainant and said Andrew J. Rowe, son, who was the lawful agent of complainant, by mutual consent, cancelled said contract, and that said Rowe as such agent threatened to sue respondent in trespass for cutting timber on said land; and respondent denies that any other agreement was made for the purchasing of said land than for the sum of forty-one dollars and twenty cents. To this answer there was no replication filed by complainant.

14 Depositions were taken on behalf of complainant and defendant below, and exceptions filed by defendant to depositions taken by complainant, on account of the witness A. J. Rowe stating all he knew about contract on knowledge drawn from complainant, and on account of notice to take deposition of witness J. B. Lamb, and on account of said witness not describing land with sufficient certainty.

Defendant also excepted to deposition of Ingraham because of insufficiency of notice; which exceptions were sustained as to answer to second question propounded to A. J. Rowe, and overruled as to depositions of Lamb and Ingraham,

15 The complainant's evidence is as follows: J. B. Lamb states that a contract was made between complainant and defendant as follows: Rowe was to assign all his interest to Chambers for the consideration that Chambers was to pay Rowe all he



- 16 had paid on said land and a bonus of ten dollars, and his understanding was that Chambers was to pay the interest he had paid and commission.
- N. D. Ingraham states that complainant paid thirteen dollars and fifty cents per acre for forty acres described as, N. W. fourth of N. W. fourth of Sec. 28, T. 1 N., R. 1 West of the 3d principal Meridian. He paid twenty dollars for commission.
- 21 J. B. Lamb, in a second deposition, states a contract was made between complainant and defendant, in June or July, 1857, about piece of land purchased of Illinois Central Railroad Company. Rowe was to transfer his interest in contract to Chambers, Chambers to pay him three per cent. that he paid, also amount paid land agent, and also \$20 bonus—or \$10, am not sure which. Rowe was to pay twelve dollars per acre for land, and paid usual three per cent in advance and also commission. Rowe bought the land a few days before he traded with Chambers — Chambers was very anxious to get land and had been trying to buy of Company himself before Rowe bought it.
- 24 A. J. Rowe states: All I know is that, when complainant went South, he authorized witness to make a transfer of a bond for land he bought of Illinois Central Railroad Company, to Chambers, and his instructions were that defendant wants to pay sixty-one dollars and twenty cents, being amount due to Illinois Central Railroad Company in advance, viz: \$31,20 interest, \$20 commission and bonus \$10.
- 25 I met Chambers in August, 1861; he denied that he was to pay twenty dollars commission, and I, not being authorized to assign on any other terms, ordered him to stop cutting timber on the land.
- A. J. Rowe, being cross-examined by defendant, stated that defendant said that he was to pay interest and bonus, but not commission. That was the only objection to his taking the land. I walked over land, after making of contract, with defendant. He told me he had cut and hauled timber enough to make twelve thousand feet of lumber. Most of the timber that was fit for saw-logs was cut and hauled off. Defendant pointed out the trees that were cut by others at the same time. I saw defendant in the act of hauling a log off said land, as defendant had designated the land to me, and I saw fresh marks where timber had been cut and hauled away.
- 27 Defendant did say to me, or to the driver, that said driver had been instructed to take no more timber off, and I forget whether the log was unloaded or hauled off. I have acted as complainant's attorney ever since 1856, signing contracts, collecting money and receipting for same, also signing complainant's name to bills, without any written power of attorney.
- 28 William H. Crawford: I know of a contract made in June or July, 1857, between complainant and defendant, in reference to land bought by complainant of the Illinois Central Railroad Company. Heard Chambers say he had bought land of Rowe; was to pay Rowe all he paid out and a bonus. It was the N. W. fourth of the N. W. fourth of Sec. 28, T. 1 N., R. 1 W. of 3d principal Meridian. It was average timber land.
- 30 The land is worth two hundred dollars less now than when Chambers bought it. I heard him say that he had cut the best timber off the land—had got the cream of the joke.
- Cross-examined by defendant: J. B. Nichols came to my house and said he had a claim on Railroad land. I asked Chambers if he might have a load of wood off said land. Chambers said he had no objection, as A. J. Rowe had dispossessed him of said land. This was in September or October, 1857. Defendant, in said conversation, stated he would have nothing to do with contract, as he had cut all the timber off the land. I heard defendant say that he had a few logs on hand when A. J. Rowe forbid his taking any more timber, and he sent Henry Killen and John Killen to haul said logs, and that he was caught hauling the first log.
- 31 Depositions of defendant are, in substance, as follows: Benjamin Skipper, on behalf of defendant below, stated he heard some conversation in July or August, 1857, between A. J. Rowe and Chambers. Chambers was to pay Rowe usual price for timber he had cut and hauled off land. Rowe was to keep the land and Chambers was not to disturb it any further. It was the N. W. fourth of the N. W. fourth of Sec. 28, T. 1 N., R. 1 W. of 3d principal Meridian, they were talking about. ~~Rowe~~ was to pay thirty-seven and a half cents per foot and to deduct out the chopping.
- 33

*Chambers*



35 Being cross-examined, states that this conversation was after Rowe and Cham-  
 fell out, and their object was to settle the difficulty. After they had settled, and  
 not before, Rowe flew off and said he would do nothing more until he would see his  
 father. The land was badly culled of timber before Mr. Rowe bought it. The best  
 timber on it was post oak; the balance was small timber. The land is not as good  
 now as it was then, as it has been badly culled since that time. John J. Chambers  
 states that James Rowe, some time in June, 1857, made a verbal agreement to sell  
 Nathan P. Chambers the land referred to for forty-one dollars and twenty cents.

I heard a conversation about same land between Rowe and Chambers. Chambers  
 was to pay thirty-seven and a half cents per foot to Rowe for the square timber,  
 cut and hauled. The chopping of timber cut and not hauled was to be deducted  
 from price. Rowe forbid Chambers cutting any more. There was 12,000 feet of  
 timber cut and hauled by Chambers from said land. Rowe was owing Chambers  
 for lumber and Chambers was to give Rowe credit for said 12,000 feet of timber.  
 The land was fourth-class, and had been culled four or five times, to my knowledge,  
 before Chambers contracted for it. There was a *bona fide* agreement between A. J.  
 37 Rowe and Chambers, to the effect above stated by me.

Henry Killen: I was hauling saw-logs for Nathan P. Chambers in June or  
 July, 1857, from a piece of land claimed to have been bought by Chambers of Rowe.  
 A. S. Rowe said to me: Don't get too far over that way, for fear you may get on  
 my land, for it belongs to me—referring to land bought by Chambers of Rowe. He  
 also forbid Chambers taking any more timber off said land.

38 John W. Killen stated that he hauled logs off the land in controversy, and got  
 between twenty-seven and twenty-eight dollars for them.

The venue in the case being changed to Perry County, at the September term,  
 1863, of Perry circuit court, the cause was heard and the following decree, in sub-  
 42 stance was rendered in the premises as neither defendant or his counsel appeared, and  
 43 the allegations in complainant's bill being proven by complainant, it was de-  
 44 creed by the court: That the contract between complainant and defendant be spe-  
 cifically performed by defendant; that defendant carry out and perform complain-  
 ant's contract with Illinois Central Railroad Company, and also pay complainant  
 sixty-one dollars and twenty cents with interest thereon from the 28th day of May,  
 45 1857, up to the time of payment; and, upon payment thereof, complainant is or-  
 dered to assign and deliver to defendant their contract of purchase for land in con-  
 troversy, and pay the costs of this suit.

46 Upon the hearing of the said cause, A. J. Rowe was orally sworn on behalf of  
 complainant, and stated as follows: The complainant authorized me to transfer  
 the agreement with the Railroad Company for purchase of land, to N. P. Chambers,  
 on condition defendant should pay three per cent interest paid the Company in ad-  
 vance, twenty dollars commission, and a bonus of ten dollars; but he never author-  
 ized me to annul or rescind the contract.

The plaintiff in error brings the cause into this Court by writ of error, and  
 seeks to reverse the said decree for the reasons following:

1st. Because the court below erred in rendering said decree.

2nd. The court below erred in not setting said cause down for a hearing on bill  
 and answer.

3d. The said court erred in setting cause down for hearing upon bill, answer,  
 exhibits and depositions, and in hearing oral testimony on the hearing; and, for  
 these and other errors apparent on the face of the record, he prays the decree of the  
 court below may be reversed.

**NELSON & SANDERS,**

*For Plaintiff in Error.*







# In the Supreme Court of Illinois---1st Grand Division.

NATHAN P. CHAMBERS, Pl<sup>t</sup>ff. in Error, }  
vs. } Error to Perry County,  
JAMES ROWE, Defendant in Error.

## Defendant's Brief.

The answer of Defendant was not under oath, and has no greater force than a pleading to make up the issue; it is not evidence for anything.

Wells, *et. al.* vs. Henderson, 4th Scam. p. 20. Ferguson vs. Sutphen, 3d Gil. 572. Unreplied to, it at most could be only taken as *prima facie* true, and if the evidence in the case establishes its untruth in any respect, to that extent it would be absurd as well as unjust to reject the truth proved and accept a false answer disproved.

The answer of Plaintiff in error, substantially admits the bill of Complainant, (Rowe) except one fact—the \$20 commission paid to Ingram & Folsom, as part of the consideration of the contract between himself and Rowe.

But the Plaintiff in error seeks to avoid compliance with his contract.

- 1st. By denying his agreement to pay those commissions, (\$20).
- 2d. The statute of Frauds.
- 3d. The non assignment by proper authority of railroad contract,
- 4th. A rescision of the contract.

1st. That Plaintiff in error agreed in the contract of purchase to pay the \$20 commissions, which Defendant in error had paid is conclusively proven. Ingram swears Rowe had paid it.—Mr. Crawford swears Chambers informed him he was to pay Rowe, all Rowe had *paid out*, and a bonus; and Lamb who was present at the making of the contract, swears that Plaintiff in error agreed to pay it.

Crawford also puts the damages done to the land by Chambers at \$200, and says Chambers told him "*he had got the cream of the joke.*"

2d. The Plaintiff in error having taken possession, having appropriated all that was most valuable on the land to his own use—"got the cream of the joke;" really, so far as he is concerned, had delivered the lumber, which was received in payment, but his co-partner refused to sanction the appropriation of the partnership property to the payment of his *individual* debt, and recovered it back;—the parties cannot be placed in *statu quo* by allowing him to abandon his contract. His acts and possession takes the case out of the statute of fraud. Hawkins vs. Hunt, 14th Ill. 43.—Freeman's Dig. 1467, §5.

3d. The Defendant in error denies that the Illinois Central Railroad Company prescribed any mode by which their contract for deeds should be assigned, and if they did he denies its binding force. He insists that it is not necessary that the *authority* of an agent to assign the Railroad contract should be under seal or even in writing. The property which Rowe held in this contract was not real estate, it was a chattel. The contract for the title to the land was in writing and signed by the party to be charged therewith—the R. R. Co. But if it had been a bargain simply of the land authority by parol to an agent, is sufficient if the agent execute the proper memorandum or contract by a writing. Doty vs Wilder, 15th Ill. 411, 17th Ill. 441, 21st Ill. 558.



4th. By the evidence it is certain no final agreement *receding* the contract was made. A. J. Rowe, the person with whom the supposed recision is represented to have been made, denies it.—Swears he had no such authority. That some conversation was had upon the subject there is no doubt, but Skipper, witness for Plaintiff in error corroborates the evidence of A. J. Rowe, on this point in this: That A. J. Rowe, flew off, and said he would do nothing in regard to it until he saw his father, (Defendant in error.) On the merits of the case questioned by the first error assigned, it is believed no substantial error exists.

5th. It is supposed the second error assigned is based upon the impression that an answer, unsworn to is of equal dignity with an answer sworn to, but that is not the case. 4th Scam. 20.

The 32d sec. Laws of 1845, page 96, has reference to the *sworn answer*, in the 20th sec. of the same Act, page 95; in Trout vs. Emmons 29th Ill. 437, it would seem that a sworn answer unrecplied to, may be overcome by two witnesses.

6th. The cause was set for hearing at a term preceding that at which decree was rendered on bill, answer, depositions &c. This seems regular.

No decree was taken against Johnson, Skipworth or J. J. Chambers—as to them, the bill had been dismissed. The decree was against Plaintiff in error above.

H. K. S. O'MELVENY, Att'y. for Def't. in Error.

*Handwritten notes:*  
H. K. S. O'Melveny  
Att'y. for Def't. in Error  
Case No. 11-101  
Decree  
Dismissed







Nathan Chambers plaintiff in error  
vs  
James Prome defendant in error

In the Supreme Court  
1<sup>st</sup> Grand Division Nov Term 1864  
This is a point Mr. Nelson  
being first duly sworn according to  
Law deposes & says that he has been  
informed & verily believes from  
what he has heard that James  
Mc Kee who resides at or near  
to Canton Illinois & Benjamin  
W. Shipper a resident of Clinton  
County Illinois or either of them  
are worth at least \$500 Clear  
of all homestead or exemption  
Laws, and that the plaintiff in  
error is worth worth a great  
deal more than that or in  
homestead & exemption Laws  
This is a point further states that  
all the above person as he  
has been informed & believes  
are worth the above amount  
Clear of all incumbrances debts  
or Judgments whatever

Subscribed & sworn to  
before me this 30<sup>th</sup>  
March 1864  
Geo. S. Smith CLK

Mr. Nelson



Nathan P. Chambers -  
Plaintiff in error

James Row -  
Defendant in error

App't of R. S. Nelson Esq.  
As to Solemnity of Oath

Error to Perry

Filed April 18. 1864

A. Johnston Clk



In the Supreme Court of Illinois---1st Grand Division.

NATHAN P. CHAMBERS, Plt'ff. in Error, )

vs. )

JAMES ROWE, Defendant in Error. )

Error to Perry County.

Defendant's Brief.

The answer of Defendant was not under oath, and has no greater force than a pleading to make up the issue; it is not evidence for anything.

Wells, et. al. vs. Henderson, 4th Scam. p. 20. Ferguson vs. Sutphen, 3d Gil. 572. Unreplied to, it at most could be only taken as *prima facie* true, and if the evidence in the case establishes its untruth in any respect, to that extent it would be absurd as well as unjust to ~~reject~~ <sup>reject</sup> the truth proved and accept a false answer disproved.

The answer of Plaintiff in error, substantially admits the bill of Complainant, (Rowe) except one fact—the \$20 commission paid to Ingram & Folsom, as part of the consideration of the contract between himself and Rowe.

But the Plaintiff in error seeks to avoid compliance with his contract.

1st. By denying his agreement to pay those commissions, (\$20).

2d. The statute of Frauds.

3d. The non assignment by proper authority of railroad contract,

4th. A rescision of the contract.

1st. That Plaintiff in error agreed in the contract of purchase to pay the \$20 commissions, which Defendant in error had paid is conclusively proven. Ingram swears Rowe had paid it.—Mr. Crawford swears Chambers informed him he was to pay Rowe, all Rowe had *paid out*, and a bonus; and Lamb who was present at the making of the contract, swears that Plaintiff in error agreed to pay it.

Crawford also puts the damages done to the land by Chambers at \$200, and says Chambers told him "*he had got the cream of the joke.*"

2d. The Plaintiff in error having taken possession, having appropriated all that was most valuable on the land to his own use—"got the cream of the joke;" really, so far as he is concerned, had delivered the lumber, which was received in payment, but his co-partner refused to sanction the appropriation of the partnership property to the payment of his *individual* debt, and recovered it back;—the parties cannot be placed in *statu quo* by allowing him to abandon his contract. His acts and possession takes the case out of the statute of fraud. Hawkins vs. Hunt, 14th Ill. 43.—Freeman's Dig. 1467, §5.

3d. The Defendant in error denies that the Illinois Central Railroad Company prescribed any mode by which their contract for deeds should be assigned, and if they did he denies its binding force. He insists that it is not necessary that the *authority* of an agent to assign the Railroad contract should be under seal or even in writing. The property which Rowe held in this contract was not real estate, it was a chattel. The contract for the title to the land was in writing and signed by the party to be charged therewith—the R. R. Co. But if it had been a bargain simply of the land—authority by parol to an agent, is sufficient if the agent execute the proper memorandum or contract by a writing. Doty vs Wilder, 15th Ill. 411, 17th Ill. 441, 21st Ill. 558.



4th. By the evidence it is certain no final agreement *recinding* the contract was made. A. J. Rowe, the person with whom the supposed rescision is represented to have been made, denies it.— Swears he had no such authority. That some conversation was had upon the subject there is no doubt, but Skipper, witness for Plaintiff in error corroborates the evidence of A. J. Rowe, on this point in this: That A. J. Rowe, flew off, and said he would do nothing in regard to it until he saw his father, (Defendant in error.) On the merits of the case questioned by the first error assigned, it is believed no substantial error exists.

5th. It is supposed the second error assigned is based upon the impression that an answer, unsworn to is of equal dignity with an answer sworn to, but that is not the case. 4th Scam. 20.

The 32d sec. Laws of 1845, page 96, has reference to the *sworn answer*, in the 20th sec. of the same Act, page 95; in Trout vs. Emmons 29th Ill. 437, it would seem that a sworn answer unsworn to, may be overcome by two witnesses.

6th. The cause was set for hearing at a term preceding that at which decree was rendered on bill, answer, depositions &c. This seems regular.

No decree was taken against Johnson, Skipworth or J. J. Chambers—as to them, the bill had been dismissed. The decree was against Plaintiff in error above.

H. K. S. O'MELVENY, Att'y. for Def't. in Error,



No 11.

Chambers vs. Jeffers

vs  
Rouse - executor

From the Grand Jurors

H. R. S. OMEJEVSKY, V. J. vs. D. J. P. F. J. P.

The decree was against Jeffers in error above.

The case was set for hearing at a term following that at which decree was rendered on

the 10th day of November 1848.

and the 10th day of December 1848. It would seem that a wrong answer must

have been given in the 20th day of 1848. But the answer was not the same as in the 20th day of 1848.

It is supposed the second error assigned is based upon the information that an answer

signed, it is believed no substantial error exists.

and his reply. (Defendant in error.) On the merits of the case questioned by the first error as

being in this: That A. J. Rouse, the old and said he would do nothing in regard to it until he

had seen the answer. The answer was not the same as in the 20th day of 1848. It would seem that a wrong answer must

have been given in the 20th day of 1848. But the answer was not the same as in the 20th day of 1848.



# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS.

## FIRST GRAND DIVISION.

NATHAN P. CHAMBERS, *Pl'ff in Error,*  
vs.  
JAMES ROWE, *Defendant in Error,*

NOVEMBER TERM, 1864.  
*Error to Perry.*

### ABSTRACT OF PLAINTIFF'S CASE.

1 The Defendant in error, who was complainant in the court below, filed his  
bill in Chancery against the plaintiff in error at the April term of the Clinton circuit  
court, 1858, alleging that, on the 23d of May, 1857, he contracted with the Illinois  
Central Railroad Company for the purchase of the north-west fourth of the north-  
west fourth of section 28, township 1 south, range 1 west of the 3d principal Merid-  
ian, containing forty acres, in consideration of five hundred and twenty dollars and  
three per centum interest thereon, payable and paid as in said contract set forth,  
2 which said contract is made a part of bill: That complainant paid Ingraham, Fol-  
som & Co. twenty dollars for services in making said contract, and also paid thirty-  
one dollars and twenty cents for two years' interest on said contract, in advance:  
that the defendant below wanted the land because it would square his farm; was  
the owner of a saw-mill near said land; wanted it for timber purposes, and also  
wanted it because it answered for a blue-grass pasture after it was cleared; and  
complainant, in consideration of defendant paying the said company for said land,  
3 paying Ingraham, Folsom & Co. the said sum of twenty dollars and the said sum of  
thirty one dollars and twenty cents interest and also a bonus of ten dollars, to trans-  
fer and assign said contract with Railroad Company to him said defendant; all of  
which defendant agreed to do, and further agreed to indemnify said complainant  
against any liability whatever to said Railroad Company: That it was further  
agreed between complainant and said defendant that the said sums of money,  
amounting to sixty-one dollars and twenty cents, should be paid to complainant in  
4 lumber, complainant offering at the same time to take lumber of defendant to the  
amount of fourteen thousand feet:

5 That complainant gave defendant possession of said land and that there was no  
time specified when said transfer of contract with Illinois Central Railroad Company  
should be made, but it was to be assigned to suit their mutual convenience: That  
said defendant took possession of said land in the summer of 1857, and cut a large  
portion of the timber on the same and sawed it into lumber, and also delivered more  
than enough lumber to pay said sum of sixty-one dollars and twenty cents to his  
son, which defendant accepted, and admits he was liable to pay said defendant for  
whatever was received over that amount of sixty-one dollars and twenty cents:

6 That urgent business called complainant South, and he left his son, Andrew J.  
Rowe with sufficient power to assign said Railroad contract, who called on defend-  
ant below and offered to make said assignment; but said defendant refused to pay  
said twenty dollars paid to Ingraham, Folsom & Co., upon which said Andrew for-  
bid him, said defendant, to commit any more waste on said land until the matter  
was settled:

That complainant called on defendant for a settlement about 28th November,  
1857, and also requested him to settle and offered to assign said Railroad contract,  
but defendant denied his right to pay said twenty dollars paid to Ingraham, Folsom  
& Co. and refused to settle on any terms, and actually sued complainant in the  
name of the firm owning said mill, for all of the lumber delivered to complainant,  
and recovered judgment for not only the surplus, but also for the said sum of sixty-  
one dollars and twenty cents, before William B. Johnson, J. P., although the said



35 Being cross-examined, states that this conversation was after Rowe and Cham-  
 fell out, and their object was to settle the difficulty. After they had settled, and  
 not before, Rowe flew off and said he would do nothing more until he would see his  
 father. The land was badly culled of timber before Mr. Rowe bought it. The best  
 timber on it was post oak; the balance was small timber. The land is not as good  
 now as it was then, as it has been badly culled since that time. John J. Chambers  
 states that James Rowe, some time in June, 1857, made a verbal agreement to sell  
 Nathan P. Chambers the land referred to for forty-one dollars and twenty cents.

37 I heard a conversation about same land between Rowe and Chambers. Chambers  
 was to pay thirty-seven and a half cents per foot to Rowe for the square timber,  
 cut and hauled. The chopping of timber cut and not hauled was to be deducted  
 from price. Rowe forbid Chambers cutting any more. There was 12,000 feet of  
 timber cut and hauled by Chambers from said land. Rowe was owing Chambers  
 for lumber and Chambers was to give Rowe credit for said 12,000 feet of timber.  
 The land was fourth-class, and had been culled four or five times, to my knowledge,  
 before Chambers contracted for it. There was a *bonafide* agreement between A. J.  
 Rowe and Chambers, to the effect above stated by me.

Henry Killen: I was hauling saw-logs for Nathan P. Chambers in June or  
 July, 1857, from a piece of land claimed to have been bought by Chambers of Rowe.  
 A. S. Rowe said to me: Don't get too far over that way, for fear you may get on  
 my land, for it belongs to me—referring to land bought by Chambers of Rowe. He  
 also forbid Chambers taking any more timber off said land.

38 John W. Killen stated that he hauled logs off the land in controversy, and got  
 between twenty-seven and twenty-eight dollars for them.

42 The venue in the case being changed to Perry County, at the September term,  
 1863, of Perry circuit court, the cause was heard and the following decree, in sub-  
 43 stance was rendered in the premises as neither defendant or his counsel appeared, and  
 44 the allegations in complainant's bill being proven by complainant, it was de-  
 creed by the court: That the contract between complainant and defendant be spe-  
 cifically performed by defendant; that defendant carry out and perform complain-  
 ant's contract with Illinois Central Railroad Company, and also pay complainant  
 45 sixty-one dollars and twenty cents with interest thereon from the 28th day of May,  
 1857, up to the time of payment; and, upon payment thereof, complainant is or-  
 dered to assign and deliver to defendant their contract of purchase for land in con-  
 46 troversy, and pay the costs of this suit.

Upon the hearing of the said cause, A. J. Rowe was orally sworn on behalf of  
 complainant, and stated as follows: The complainant authorized me to transfer  
 the agreement with the Railroad Company for purchase of land, to N. P. Chambers,  
 on condition defendant should pay three per cent interest paid the Company in ad-  
 vance, twenty dollars commission, and a bonus of ten dollars; but he never author-  
 ized me to annul or rescind the contract.

The plaintiff in error brings the cause into this Court by writ of error, and  
 seeks to reverse the said decree for the reasons following:

1st. Because the court below erred in rendering said decree.

2nd. The court below erred in not setting said cause down for a hearing on bill  
 and answer.

3d. The said court erred in setting cause down for hearing upon bill, answer,  
 exhibits and depositions, and in hearing oral testimony on the hearing; and, for  
 these and other errors apparent on the face of the record, he prays the decree of the  
 court below may be reversed.

**NELSON & SANDERS,**

*For Plaintiff in Error.*



Chambers  
~~Secretary~~  
Prose  
Hicks & Co

Abstract  
of the

NELSON & SPANDERS,

For Pleaders in Error.

court below may be reversed.

And the error appears on the face of the record, so that the decree of the

31. The said court erred in saying that the bill was not a bill in error, and

30. The court below erred in not setting said error down for a hearing on bill

18. Because the court below erred in refusing said decree.

The billfile is correct, and the bill is not a bill in error, but a bill in error, and

Upon the hearing of the bill, the court below erred in saying that the bill was

and a contract with Illinois Central Railroad Company, and also that complainant

directly benefited by defendant; that defendant erred in not having complainant

1893 of Kentucky, and the same was heard and the following decree in sub-

John W. Kilian stated that he purchased lots of the land in controversy, and that

1891, from a piece of land claimed to have been purchased by Chambers of Row.

Row and Chambers, to the effect above stated, it was

The land was found clear, and had been ordered for in the same, so as knowledge

from before. Row further complains against said decree. There was owing Chambers

1. That a conversation about said land between Row and Chambers, Chambers

now as it was then, as it has been fully settled since that time. John A. Chambers

bill on, and their object was to settle the difficulty. After they had settled, and

being cross-examined, states that the conversation was after Row and Cham-

8746



Know all men by these presents, that we  
Nathan P. Chambers of the County of Clinton,  
James McFee of the County of Monroe, and  
Benjamin W. Skupper of the County of Clinton,  
in the State of Illinois, are held and firmly bound  
unto James Rowe of the County of Clinton  
and State aforesaid, in the sum of two hundred  
and fifty dollars - lawful money of the  
United States - for the payment of which sum,  
well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves,  
and each of us, our heirs, executors and  
administrators, jointly, severally and firmly  
by these presents. Witness our hands and  
seals this 13 day of April A. D. 1864.

The condition of the above obligation  
is such, that whereas the aforesaid James  
Rowe did at the Circuit Court of the  
County of Perry, in the State of Illinois, at the  
September Term A. D. 1863, in a certain cause  
then and there pending, on Bills for Specific  
performance and injunction, obtain a  
decree against the above bound Nathan  
P. Chambers - upon which said decree, he  
the said Nathan P. Chambers is about serving  
out his writ of error from the Supreme Court  
within and for the first Grand Division of  
said State - and whereas upon inspection  
of a copy of the Circuit Court record of said  
cause, it is ordered by one of the Justices of



Said Supremebout, that the said writ of error  
be made a Supersedeas, upon the said Nathan  
P. Chamber, the plaintiff in error, entering into bond  
in the sum of two hundred and fifty dollars,  
Conditioned according to law - with James  
McKen and Benjamin W. Skipper Securities -  
Therefore now know ye, that if he the said  
Nathan P. Chamber shall with effect, and  
without delay, well and truly prosecute  
his said writ of error - and shall pay all  
such debt, damages, interests and costs  
as shall be awarded against him by the  
said Supremebout upon the affirmance  
of the said decree or the dismissal of the  
said writ of error, then this obligation to be  
void - otherwise, the same to remain in  
full force and effect in law.

N. P. Chamber  
James McKen  
Benjamin Skipper

Signed, Sealed and  
delivered in presence of  
W. H. H. H.

Benjamin Skipper



Nathan P. Chambers -  
Plaintiff in error -

vs

James Row -  
Defendant in error -

---

Error to Perry.

---

Superior Court

Filed April 18. 1864.  
A. Johnston Clk  
" "



State of Illinois,  
SUPREME COURT,  
First Grand Division. } SS

The People of the State of Illinois,  
To the Sheriff of Clinton County.

Because, In the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Perry county, before the Judge thereof between

James Row plaintiff and Nathan

P. Chambers et al defendants it is said that manifest error hath intervened to the injury of said Nathan

P. Chambers as we are informed by his complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgments, we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, at Mount Vernon, before the justices thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said James Row

that he be and appear before the justices of our said Supreme Court; at the next term of said Court, to be holden at **Mount Vernon**, in said State, on the first Tuesday after the second Monday in November next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if he shall think fit; and further to do and receive what the said Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said James Row notice together with this writ.

WITNESS, the Hon. P. A. Walker Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal thereof, at MOUNT VERNON, this eighteenth day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixty four.

Noah Johnston  
Clerk of the Supreme Court.



State of Illinois  
Clinton County

I have duly given the within by  
reading the same to the within named  
James Row, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of May A.D.

1864  
Robert M. Sproul Sheriff  
Do You Satisfy J. T. G.  
500  
500  
4.00

11  
SUPREME COURT.  
First Grand Division.

Nathan P. Chambers

Plaintiff in Error,

vs.

James Row

Defendant in Error.

SCIRE FACIAS.

FILED.

Come into my hands  
April 20<sup>th</sup> 1864  
S. May 5.

The writ of error issued and filed in this cause,  
is made a supersedeas, and as such, is to be  
obeyed by all concerned. April 18. 1864  
A. Johnston Cllk

*[Faint, illegible handwritten text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*







Nathan P. Chambers -  
Plaintiff in error -  
vs.  
James Rowce  
Defendant in error.

Principals.

Error to Perry

Filed April 18. 1864.  
A. Johnston cly



State of Illinois, }  
SUPREME COURT, } SS  
First Grand Division.

The People of the State of Illinois,

To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of Perry Greeting:

**Because,** In the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Perry county, before the Judge thereof between

James Row plaintiff and

Nathan P. Chambers et al defendants it is said manifest error hath intervened to the injury of the aforesaid Nathan P. Chambers as we are informed by his complaint, and we being willing that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly without delay send to our Justices of our Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaint aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at **Mount Vernon**, in the County of Jefferson, on the 1<sup>st</sup> Tuesday after the 2<sup>d</sup> Monday of November next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.

WITNESS, the Hon: P. H. Walker Chief

Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal thereof, at MOUNT VERNON, this eighteenth day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and Sixty-four.

Noah Johnston

Clerk of the Supreme Court.





State of Illinois  
SUPREME COURT,  
First Grand Division.

To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of [unclear]  
The People of the State of Illinois  
Greeting:

SUPREME COURT.

First Grand Division.

Nathan P. Chambers

Plaintiff in Error,

VS.

James Row

Defendant in Error.

WRIT OF ERROR.

Issued - made a  
Supersedeas  
and FILED. April  
18<sup>th</sup>. 1864.

N. Johnston C.M.

8746

This writ of Error is made a Supersedeas, and is to be  
obeyed accordingly. April 18<sup>th</sup>. 1864.

Nathan Johnston C.M.

Witness the Great Seal of the State of Illinois  
this 18<sup>th</sup> day of April 1864.

James Row  
Plaintiff in Error

James Row

Copyright in Supreme Court



1864.

11 \_\_\_\_\_ 20.

Chaubey

in

Rowe

1864

8946

Opinion of - with  
Preprint -