

No.

12000

Supreme Court of Illinois

ILAN
Gilligan, et al.

VS.

Gray, et al.

71641 7

Rane

John Gilligan et al.
vs.
Daniel S. Gray et al.

1852

12000

X

John Gilliam &
Horace Hubbard }
Daniel S Gray & }
Ralph Gray }
21
Civ to Hanr

And now comes the plaintiff in
error by Molin & Murphy their Atts and
apprjn for error the following

- 1st The Court erred in admitting the note as
evidence when objected to on account
of variance
- 2^d The Court erred in overruling the motion
for a new trial
- 3rd The Court erred in rendering Judgment
for the defendant in error

Molin & Murphy for
plaintiff

The declaration avers the note upon which
suit is brought was made by defendants 22nd June
1850, in which they promised to pay plaintiff
\$125- for value recd

The note affd is made payable to Daniel S
Gray & Son on first day of Jan^r next

No averment in Hanr that plaintiff were
doing business - name of Daniel S Gray &
Son

State of Illinois

Kane County Circuit Clerks Office

Geneva Dec 13th 1851

Be it remembered that on the 5th day of June AD
1851 there was filed in the Clerks Office of Kane County,
Circuit Court, a Petition in the Words & Figures
following to wit:

Daniel S. Gray

Ralph Gray

John Gilliland

Horace Hubbard

Kane County in a sumpt. t. Damages \$200.

June 5th 1851

Kane Circuit Court for
August Term 1851

The Clerk will please issue
a Summons to Sheriff of

Kane County for Plaintiff,

And afterward, to wit, on the same day a Sum-
mons issued from said Clerks Office in the Words
and figures following to wit:

State of Illinois

Kane County & The People of the State of
Illinois to the Sheriff of Said
County, greeting,

We command you to summon John Gilliland
& Horace Hubbard. If to be found in your
County, personally to be and appear before the
Circuit Court of Said County, on the first ^{day} of the
next Term thereof, to be held at the Court House
in Geneva, on the Second Monday of August
next to answer unto Daniel S. Gray & Ralph
Gray of a plea of Assumpsit to his damage, as
he says in the sum of Two hundred Dollars, and
make due return of this Writ

Witness Charles B. Wells, Clerk
of Said Court and the Seal thereof.
at Geneva this fifth day of June
A.D. 1857

C.B. Wells

Clerk

On which is endorsed the following to wit:
Personally

Served on the within named John Gilliland &
Horace Hubbard by reading June 11th 1857

Gather Dearborn
Suff Kane Co

And afterwards to wit: on the 25th day of June
A.D. 1857, was filed the狀 in assumpsit, in word
and figures following to wit:

State of Illinois } Kane County Circuit Court
Kane County 18 August Term A.D. 1857

Daniel S. Gray and Ralph Gray Plaintiffs complain
of John Gilliland and Horace Hubbard Defendants
in a plea of the case: for that whereas the said
Defendants, on the twenty second day of June
Eighteen hundred and fifty, at Montgomery
to wit, at Kane County aforesaid, made and
Signed their certain promissory Note, and then
and there delivered the same, bearing date the
same day and year aforesaid, to the said
Plaintiffs, and thereby the said defendants promised
to pay the said plaintiffs the sum of One Hundred
and twenty five dollars, for value received
By means whereof and by force of the Statute

in such case made and provided. the Said Defendants became liable to pay the Said Plaintiffs the Said Sum of Money in Said Note mentioned; and in consideration of such liability. the Said defendants afterward to Wit: on the same day and year aforesaid. at the place aforesaid. undertook. and then and there faithfully promised the said plaintiffs to pay them the Said Sum of money in Said Note mentioned according to the tenor and effect thereof.

And whereas. also. the said defendants. afterwards. to Wit: on the same day and year aforesaid. at the place aforesaid. were indebted to the said plaintiffs in the further sum of two hundred Dollars. for the work and labor. care and diligence of the said plaintiffs by the said plaintiffs before that time done. performed and bestowed in and about the business of the defendants and for the said defendants and at their special instance and request. And also in the further sum of two hundred dollars
for divers goods wares and merchandise of the said plaintiffs before they were sold and delivered to the said defendants with all the usual charges and expenses paid; also in the further sum of two hundred dollars
in the further sum of two hundred dollars. for so much money by the said plaintiffs before that time lent. and advanced to. and paid. laid out and expended for. the said defendants and at their special instance and request: and for so much money by the said defendant before that time had and received. to and for the use of the said plaintiffs: And being so indebted they. the said defendants. in consideration thereof. afterwards. to Wit. on the ^{same} day and year aforesaid. at the place aforesaid. undertook

and then and there faithfully promised the said plaintiffs to pay them the said several sums of money mentioned. when then the said defendants should be thereto afterwards requested

Yet the said defendants although often requested &c., have not paid the several sums of money in the first and second counts mentioned. or any part thereof. to the said plaintiffs but to pay the same. or any part thereof. to the said plaintiffs. have hitherto wholly refused. and still do refuse. to the damage of the plaintiffs. of Two Hundred Dollars. and therefore they bring suit ~~to~~ by their atty

W. B. Plato

Copy of Note

Montgomery June 22nd 1850

On or before the first day of January next I promise to pay to Daniel S. Gray & Son at their shop in Montgomery the sum of one hundred & twenty five dollars with use for one of Husey's reaping and Grass cutting Machines

John Gillilan
(Signed) Horace Hubbard

afterwards to wit: on the 12th day of August 1851 was filed in the Circuit Clerks Office of Kane County the following pleas to wit:

State of Illinois
Kane County

John Gillilan & Horace Hubbard) Caus

ad vs Daniel S. Gray & Ralph Gray In Kane Circuit Court
8 August Term 1851

And now comes the defendants. by C. McCline
their attorney. and for plea says that they did
not promise and undertake. as the said plaintiffs
in their said declaration thereof hath complained
against them. And of this they the said defendants
put themselves upon the Country

C. McCline atty for Dft
and the pltf doth the like
W. B. Plato

The plaintiffs, in the above entitled cause will
please take notice that on the trial of the above cause
said defendants will introduce evidence. and
insist on the following. as a defence in the said
cause. to wit: that the promissory note on which
this suit is brought was executed by said defen-
dants to said plaintiffs for & on consideration for
one of Husesys reaping and Grass Cutting Machines.
that at the time of the execution of said Note and
as part of said contract. for said Machine. by their
agent Horace Wilder warranted said Machine
to the said defendants. to be well made of good
materials & to work well if well managed. that
the said Machine was not made of good materials
that said Machine did not work well. Although
it was well managed. but on the contrary that
said Machine would not work well. though
well managed. but proved wholly worthless
and of no value whatever. to the said defendants.
and that the said defendants soon after trying said
Machine. notified the said plaintiffs and requested
the said plaintiffs to take the said Machine away
and give up said Note. Which said plaintiff refused
to do.

The said defendants will also
insist that the said note was procured by fraud
covin and misrepresentation

C. McCloskey atty for Def't

Afterwards to wit: on the 20th day of August
A.D. 1851 it being one of the days of the August
Term of the Kane County Circuit Court A.D. 1851
the following proceedings were had in said
Cause to wit:

173

Daniel S Gray &
Ralph Gray
John Gilliland
Horace Hubbard

Assumpsit

This day comes the
Plaintiffs by Plato
their attorney,

and the defendants by McCloskey & Niring their
attorneys also come have a jury and submit
this cause to the court for trial, after hearing
the evidence the court finds the issues joined
in favor of the plaintiff, and assesses their dam-
ages at the sum of One hundred and Thirty Three
 $\frac{75}{100}$ dollars. Thereupon comes the defendants
and move for new trial which is overruled by
the court

afterwards to wit: on the same day the defendants
filed a motion for a new trial which is in words
and figures following to wit:

Daniel S Gray & Ralph Gray
John Gilliland & Horace Hubbard

Motion for New Trial. That the court erred
in admitting the Note offered in evidence when
objected to

Afterwards to wit: on the 20th day of August A.D.
1851 it being one of the days of the August Term
A.D. 1851 of the Kane County Circuit Court. the
following proceedings were had. which is in words
& figures following to wit:

Daniel S Gray &
Ralph Gray }
John Gilliland }
Horace Hubbard }

Assumpsit

This day comes onto
be heard the defen-
dants Motion

heretofore entered for a new trial. the court being
fully advised overrules the same. it is therefore considered
by the court that the plaintiffs have and recover
from the defendants the sum of One hundred
and Thirty Three $\frac{1}{100}$ dollars and their costs
in this suit expended and have execution there-
fore: & thereupon comes the defendants and prays
an appeal to the Supreme Court of the State of
Illinois: which is allowed by the court upon condition
that the defendants enter into Bond to the plaintiff
in the penal sum of Three hundred dollars with
Alfred Edwards. Incas. Bosworth or Henry C Hunt
as security to be filed within Thirty days

Afterwards to wit: on the same day. the defendants
filed a bill of exception. of which the following is
a copy to wit:

State of Illinois } In Kane County Circuit Court
Kane County } August Term A.D. 1851.

Daniel S Gray & }
Ralph Gray } Case
v
John Gilliam }
Horace Hubbard }

Be it remembered that on this
20th day of August A.D. 1851 the above entitled
cause came on to be heard, before the Hon^d G. Willow Judge of the 13th judicial Circuit
of the State of Illinois, a jury being by the
parties expressly waived. When the plaintiffs
to sustain their action offered a Note in
evidence in the following words & figures to
wit:

Montgomery June 22nd 1850

On or before the first day of January next I
promise to pay to Daniel S Gray Son at their
shop in Montgomery the sum of one hundred
& twenty five dollars with interest for one of
Huseys reaping & grass cutting machines

(Signed) John Gilliam
Horace Hubbard

To the introduction of which evidence the
defendants objected, in consequence of a
variance between said Note and declaration
in said case, which objections was overruled
& said Note received in evidence. And judgement
rendered for plaintiffs. When the defendants by
council moved the court for a new trial, for
the following reason: That the court erred in
admitting the note offered in evidence when
objected to, which motion was overruled by
said court to the judgement of which court in

receiving said Note in evidence. & overruling
said Motion for a new trial the defendants by
Council excepts & prays that the bill of excep-
-tions may be signed Sealed & made part of
the record. which is done

Isaac H Wilcox
Judge &c

Afterwards to wit: on the 15th day of September
A.D. 1851 was filed an appeal bond to the Supreme
Court of the State of Illinois. which is in words
and figures following to wit:

Know all men by these presents
that we John Hillian and Horace Hubbard
and Henry E Hunt of the County of Kane and
State of Illinois. are held and firmly bound
unto Daniel S Gray and Ralph Gray also
of the same County & State in the penal sum
of Three Hundred dollars current money
of the United States for the payment of which well
and truly to be made we bind ourselves our
heirs executors and administrators jointly
severally and firmly by these presents.

Witness our hands and seals this Eleventh
day of September A.D. 1851

The condition of the
above obligation is such that whereas the
Said Daniel S Gray and Edward Gray did
on the 21st day of August A.D. 1851 in the Circuit
Court in and for the County of Kane and State
aforesaid recovered a judgement against

the above bounden John Hillian and Horace Hubbard for the sum of one hundred Thirty Three $\frac{75}{100}$ dollars damage and fourteen $\frac{30}{100}$ dollars cost from which said judgement of the Circuit Court the said John Hillian and Horace Hubbard has prayed for and obtained an appeal to the Supreme Court of said State. Now if the said John Hillian and Horace Hubbard shall duly prosecute their said appeal with effect and shall moreover pay the amount of the judgement costs interest and damages rendered and to be rendered against them in case the judgement shall be affirmed in the said Supreme Court then the above obligation to be void otherwise to remain in full force and virtue

John Hillian Seal
Horace Hubbard Seal
H. E. Hunt Seal

State of Illinois }
Kane County ss }

I Charles J. Wells, Clerk of Kane
County Circuit Court, do hereby

Certify, that the foregoing is a true Copy of
the original Puepsi. Writ. Declaration. Pleas.
Motion for new trial. Bill of exceptions, on file
in my Office in the Case of Ralph & Gray v
Daniel Gray against John Gilligan and
Anace Hubbard. also Copies of all the adju-
dgments of Record in said cause, as appears
of Record.

In Testimony Whereof I
have hereunto set my hand
and Seal. At Geneva this
17th day of Dec AD 1851.

J. J. Wells
Clerk.

Kane

Ralph Gray
Daniel S. Gray

John Gillilan
Horace Hibbard

Recd from Kane

Filed Octr. 18. 1852.
L. Deland C.R.

Filed again July 23rd 1852

for 27 days \$ 2.80
in Advance ~~\$.55~~
\$ 3.15

13 12 1851

13 8 1851

4

6 7 10
12 12
13 4 20

4

Gillilan & Hubleland

6
Grey and Gray

I object to the allowance of a supers-

-deas

1st because no ~~objection~~ exception to the decision of the court was ever taken till long after the trial

The error assigned is that the court erred in admitting the note, the bill of exceptions does not show or purport to show all the evidence and it is perfectly manifest that parol proof may have been introduced making the note ~~not~~ ⁱⁿ proper evidence.

I think therefore that it is palpable that a supersedesas would only have the effect to delay the plaintiff.

Gilligan & Hubbard
vs
Gray & Gray

Segregatio verbi