

No. 11880

Supreme Court of Illinois

Curenus.

VS.

Wheeler.

71641

Op. 39.
C. G. Curenus et al.
vs.
William Wheeler et al.

1844

11880

Leverett vs. Giswold } Supreme Court, Northern
vs. Division, per Judge
Wheeler & Putnam } Appellants from Justice —
Same as. Same }

And the said Appellants, by Peters
their Attorney, come & say, that in the record & proceed-
ings aforesaid, & in the rendition of the judgment
aforesaid, there is manifest error, in this Court, that
it appears by the record aforesaid, that the circuit court
rendered a judgment in favor of the afft. below,
whereas the said judgment should have been rendered
in favor of the plaintiffs & against the defendants.
And for further errors in the record & proceedings
aforesaid, the said appellants argue the following, scit,

1. — The said circuit court erred in excluding the
testimony of said White, —

2. — The court erred in overruling the motion of the
affl. for a new trial =

3. — The court erred in giving the full attention (due) to the affl.
whatsoever for the errors & ~~for~~ aforesaid, & for other
errors in the ~~same~~ record & proceedings aforesaid.
The said affl. say that the said judgment may be re-
versed, annulled & for nothing, returned, & they re-
quested to what they have lost by reason thereof.

By their Atty. Ossian Peters

And the said defendant by his attorney
comes & says that in the record and proceedings and
in the rendition of the Judgment aforesaid there
is no error wherein he says that the same
may in all things be affirmed

218202
People & Minister for Safety

Heas before the Circuit Court, within and for
the County of Stark, and State of Illinois, on the ninth day
of October, in the Year of our Lord, one thousand Eight
Hundreds and forty eight, before the Honorable John Dean Eaton,
one of the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of said
State, and Presiding Judge of the Ninth Judicial Circuit.

Be it remembered, that on the day and year
aforesaid, the following Orders were made in said Court,
as follows to wit:

No 10. Gurneys & Griswold,

vs
Wheeler & Putnam, app't.

Appeal,

This day came the plaintiffs

by Peter & Grammond, their attorneys and the defendants
by Merriman, their attorney, and on Motion of defendants
attorney, it is Ordered by the Court that this Cause be passed
for this day at the defendants costs. It is therefore Considered
by the Court that the said plaintiffs have and recover of the
said Defendants, their costs & charges by them in this behalf
expended for one day, and that they have execution therefor.

No 11. Gurneys & Griswold,

vs
Wheeler & Putnam, app'

Appeal,

This day came the plaintiffs

by Peter & Grammond, their attorney, and the defendants
by Merriman, their attorney, and on Motion of said defendants
attorney, it is Ordered by the Court that this Cause be passed
this day at the defendants costs. It is therefore Considered
by the Court that the said plaintiffs have and recover of the

Said ~~plaintiff~~ Defendants, thru' Cases & Charges by them
in this behalf expende for this day, and that they have
executed thereto,

And afterwards, to wit, on the 10th day of October, in the
Year, & at the Term aforesaid, the following Orders were made
to wit,

No 10. Curtinias & Griswold,

vs

Appeal.

Wheeler & Putnam, app'ts

This day came the plaintiffs by
Peters & Grammond, their attorneys, and the defendants by
Merriman their attorney, and by agreement of the several parties,
it was ordered by the Court, that this Cause, and Cause
Number 13, be tried at the same time, and by the same
jury, as the evidence to be given would apply to both Cases
alike, and the issues being now joined, it was therefore
ordered by the Court, that a jury be empannelled to try these
Causes, whereupon, came twelve good & lawful Men, viz
James H. Bairstow, Robert Mintw., John Hamilton, Ezra Hines,
Joseph Atherton, Joseph Esry, Allen Bagley, Philander Arnold,
John W. Hanno, Charles Bolt, Archimiah Myckoff, &
Sewell Smith, who being duly chosen, tried & sworn, well
and truly to try the issues joined, and a true Verdict give
according to Evidence, who after hearing the proofs & allegations
of the parties respectively, and arguments of Counsel, returned
to Consider of their verdict, And now come into Court, &
upon their oaths say, we of the jury, do find for the defendants,
whereupon the said plaintiffs enter a Motion for a new trial,

No 13. Curtinias & Griswold,

vs

Appeal.

Wheeler & Putnam, appt.

This day Cam. the parties by their respective attorneys, and by their Agreement, it is ordered by the Court, that this Cause and Cause Number 10, be tried by the same jury, and the parties being ready for trial, it was Ordered by the Court, that a Jury of twelve good and lawful men be empannelled, viz., James H. Bancroft, Robert Winter, John Hamilton, Ezra Himes, Joseph Atherton, Joseph Eddy, Allen Bagley, Melandw Arnold, John M. Mann, Charles Bolt, Nehemiah Wyckoff, & Jewel Smith, who being duly Chosen, tried & sworn, will & truly to try this Cause, and a true verdict give according to evidence, after hearing the proofs and allegations of the parties respectively, return to Consideration of their Verdict, now Come into Court, and upon their oaths do say, we of the jury, do find for the defendants, wherupon, the plaintiffs enter a motion for a new trial, —

And afterwards, to wit, On the 11th day of October, in the year, and at the time first aforesaid, the following Orders were made to wit:

A^o H. Cuttenius & Griswold,

as

Appeal,

Wheeler & Putnam, appt.

This day again came the parties by their attorney, & this Cause came on to be heard, upon the Motion hereinbefore entered for a new trial, which was argued by Counsel, in Consideration whereof, the Court being fully advised in the premises, overruled the said Motion, Whereupon it is Considered by the Court, that the said Defendants have & recovered of the said plaintiffs, their Costs & charges about

their defence in this behalf Expended (Except the witness' attendance
one day) and that they the said defendants have Executed
thus far. It is also ordered by the Court, that it be Certified
the following witnessess sworn for defendants will all necessary
to wit W. Donlin, S. G. Wright, A. Sacy, Alvin Mepinger, J. B.
Lewis, P. S. Minw, Ed. Hicks, P. J. Anshutz, S. F. Hurd, & Horace
Bushnell, whereupon the said plaintiff prayed an appeal to
the Supreme Court of this State, which is allowed them, upon
their entering into bonds to the said defendants, in the sum of
One hundred dollars, with Samuel Thomas, and Wm T. Thomas,
as securities Conditioned according to law, & to be filed in the
office of the Clerk of this Court, within thirty days.

No 10. Cuttins & Griswold,
as Appeal
Wheeler & Putnam, aplts

This day again came the parties
by their attorneys, & this cause came on to be heard upon
the Motion hereinbefore entered for a new trial, which was
argued by Counsel, in Consideration whereof, the Court being
fully advised in the premises, overruled the said Motion, - Therefor-
eth it is Considered by the Court, that the said defendants have
& received of the said plaintiffs, their Costs & Charges about
their defense in this behalf Expended (Except the witness attendance
one day) and that they the said defendants have Executed thus far.
It is also ordered by the Court, that it be Certified, that the
following witnessess sworn ^{for deft} will all necessary, viz W. Donlin,
S. G. Wright, Alvin Mepinger, J. B. Lewis, P. S. Minw, Ed. Hicks,
A. Sacy, P. J. Anshutz, S. F. Hurd, & Horace Bushnell, Whereupon
the said plaintiff prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court of
this State, which is allowed them upon their entering into bonds
to the defendants, in the sum of One hundred dollars, with Samuel
Thomas, & Wm T. Thomas, as securities, Conditioned according

to law, and to be filed in the office of the Clerk of this Court within thirty days.

And afterwards to wit, on the day and year last aforesaid, viz on the 11th day of October, A.D. 1848, the plaintiff filed their bill of exceptions, which is in the words and figures following to wit:

A. G. Curtinias
John S. Griswold, &
Matthew Griswold

vs
William Wheeler, &
Alfred Putnam,

Damages Damages.

Stark Co. Oct. 1. 1848.

Appeal from Law Peace,
Samo.

Be it remembred, that these causes coming on for trial, by agreement of the parties, both causes are submitted together to the same jury for trial, - The Plaintiff to maintain the issues on their part, read to the jury the following Notes and the endorsements theron to wit,

Pronoia September 6th 1847.

Note, \$82³⁴ On or before the first April next we or either of us promise to pay J. M. & S. Thomas, or bearer Eighty two dollars and Thirty four Cents for value received with six per cent interest from date, if not paid at maturity, witness.

J. A. White,

William Wheeler,
Alfred Putnam,

Endorsement. "For value recd. we hereby bind, sign and guarantee the payment of the within note when due to Curtinias & Griswold or Order, Sept 30th 1847. J. M. & S. Thomas,

Note \$82³⁴ Pronoia September 6th 1847, On or before

the first of January next, we or either of us promise to pay
J. M. & S. Thomas, or bearer Eighty two dollars and thirty
four cents for value received with six per cent interest
from date, if not paid at maturity.

Witness,

J. A. White,

William Wheeler

Alpheus Putnam,

Endorsment

"For value rec'd we hereby bind ourselves & guarantee
the payment of the within note to Curtinias & Griswold,
or Ords, Sept 30th 1847. J. M. & S. Thomas,"

"And further their case, - The defendants then offered
evidence to prove that the said plaintiffs were not bona
fide holders of the notes, but that they received & held
them as collateral security for a debt due from the
payees to them, & under such circumstances as would entitle
the deft, to set up the same defense as if the suits had been
brought by the payees thereof, - They also offered evidence to
prove that the notes were given to secure part of the purchase
money of a horse found said mill, sold by the payees of the
notes to the deft, - That the agreed price of the mill was
\$400, that the whole of the consideration had been paid
by deft, to payees except the amount of said two notes, that
the mill was not well made, & was worth less than
the agreed price to the amount of the said notes, - The
plts, then called Samuel C. White, as witness & proposed
to prove by him facts material to the issue, - The defendants
objected to the admission of the testimony of this witness, because
of his incompetency, by reason of his interest in the result
of these suits, - Said White testified to the Court that he had
executed a mortgage to the plts, of his real estate, to secure
the payment of a debt due from said payees (the Thomas')
to the plaintiffs for the security of which said debt of the
Thomas', said two notes had also been signed by payees to the

plaintiff, that if judgment should be recovered in these cases, the Money would go to discharge the debt which the Mortgagor was given to bear; but he stated that he had no other interest in these suits, & that if he should be compelled to pay anything by reason of his having given said Mortgage, the said party would be liable to pay the same to him, as he, White, was security only for them, he also stated that after ^{the} said Notes had been assigned to plaintiff, they having learned that there was difficulty about the notes, required further security, & in consequence of this, he, White, gave the Mortgage aforesaid, — The Court decided that the witness, incompetent to testify, & excluded him as a witness, and to this decision, the plaintiff excepted, — "At the request of defendants the Court instructed the jury as follows, to wit,

(Instructions.) "1^o That if the jury believe from the evidence, that Cuttins & Griswold, hold these Notes in Suit for the benefit of the Thomas, or if they have no interest in the Notes in Suit, they are not bona fide holders of the notes, & the defendants have a right to make any defense to these Notes in the names of plaintiffs, that they could if suit were in the names of the Thomas."

"2^o If the jury believe from the evidence, that ^{proposed} these Suits are for the benefit of the Thomas, and further, that the Mill in dispute was made of improper Materials, or the Workmanship was unworkmanlike, the jury will allow to the defendants against said Notes such account as the defendants have proved was the fair difference in value between the Mill as it was made, and as it should have been by the contract, or in other words, what it was worth less, in consequence of bad Materials, or improper work in the construction, — 3^o When a Mechanic undertakes to do work, the law requires him to do it in a good & workmanlike manner, and

" furnish suitable materials,
" It is of the Plaintiff from the evidence, that the Thomas'
" warranted the Mill to be a good one, and do good work;
" And it was not either from unsuitable Materials, or
" unskillful Workmanship in the construction, the defendant
" are entitled to a deduction from the Contract price of all
" damages they have necessarily sustained from such causes
" according to the rule laid down in the 2nd instruction, &
" No notice to the Thomas' was necessary if part of purchase
" Money was paid. Provided, the jury further believe that the
" Plaintiff, prosecute these suits for the benefit of the Thomas',
" The Jury returned verdicts in both cases for the defendants.

" The Plaintiff's Motion for a new trial,
" 1st Because the Verdicts were against law & Evidence,
" 2nd Because the instructions given by the Court to the Jury at the
" request of Defendants were against law,
" 3rd Because the Court excluded Samuel E. White, as a witness.

The Motion for a new trial was overruled by the Court &
the Plaintiff excepted to the decision of the Court, & prayed that
that his bill of Exceptions may be allowed, signed & sealed
by the Judge, & made part of the record in this cause, which
is done,

" D. Caton. (Seal.)

And afterwards, to wit, on the 9th day of November,
A.D. 1848, the said Plaintiff filed in the Clerk's office of the
Court aforesaid, an appeal bond in the words & figures
following to wit,

App'dond.
" Know all men by these presents, that
we A. G. Curtinias, John Griswold, Mathew Griswold,
Samuel Thomas, & Wm F. Thomas, of the County of Stark &
State of Illinois, are held and firmly bound, unto Alfred
Patnam, and William Whorbo, in the sum of one hundred

dollars, for the payment of which we & truly to be made,
we, & each of us, bind ourselves, our heirs, executors & administrators,
jointly & severally, & firmly by these presents, sealed with our seals,
and dated at Soulard this eight day of November, Anno Domini
one thousand eight hundred and forty eight.

The Condition
of the above obligation is such, that Thomas Alfred Putnam,
& William Whelby, did on the eleventh day of October, A.D.
1848, in the Circuit Court, within, and for the County of Stark,
and State of Illinois, obtain a judgment against the above
bound Curtinias & Griswold, for the sum of Sixty Dollars
& three cents, Costs of suit, from which judgment, the said
Curtinias & Griswold, have prague for, and obtained an
appet to the Supreme Court of said state, - Now if the said
Curtinias & Griswold, shall duly prosecute said appeals,
and shall monova pay the amount of the judgment, Costs,
intrests & damages, rendered & to be rendered against them,
the said Curtinias & Griswold, in case the said judgment
shall be affirmed in the said Supreme Court, then the above obliga-
tion to be null and void, otherwise to remain in full
force and virtue, Alfred G. Curtinias, (Seal) Thos S. Griswold
(Seal) Mathew Griswold, (Seal) Samuel Thomas, (Seal)
Wm. St. Thomas, (Seal)

State of Illinois, ³
Stark County. ³

I Oliver Whitaker, Clerk of the Circuit Court
within & for the County of Stark, & State aforesaid, do hereby certify
that the foregoing, contains a full & perfect transcript of the
record, in Causes, Numbers ten & thirteen. Witness my hand,
and the seal of said Court, at Soulard, this
26th day of December A.D. 1848, Oliver Whitaker,
Clerk.

³⁹
Carticus & Griswold,

vs
Wheeler & Putnam,

Transcript

²⁰
~~15~~
10

Filed June 13. 1849.
Leland Ch.

\$5. paid Ch. by Petus.

Clerks fees Viz.

For transcript. \$4.25

Cert & Seal. .50

taking & sig Bond. ^{56 2/3}
\$5.31 1/2

11800

State of Illinois, ss.

Supreme Court, Third Grand Division, at Ottawa:

The People of the State of Illinois, to the Sheriff of Stark County---Greeting:
WE COMMAND YOU, That of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements, and real estate of

William Wheeler & Alfred Putnam

you cause to be made the sum of *twenty one* dollars and
thirty five & a fourth cents costs in the said Supreme Court, which *Alfred G. Cur-*
terius, John A. Griswold & Clatthew Griswold

lately recovered against *them* - before the Justices of our said Supreme Court, as appears to
us of record, and make return hereof in ninety days.

WITNESS, the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice
of our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Ottawa,
this *16th* day of *July*
in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and forty nine.-

S. C. Leland Clerk of the Supreme Court.

Supreme Court.

Alfred G. Cuttins et al.

vs
William Wheeler et al.

Execution.

Bill of Costs $\$21.35\frac{1}{4}$
Att Bill 3.50
Chrt. $\$24.85\frac{1}{4}$

Filed Sept. 27. 1849.
Silas Clark Ck.

I could not find any property belonging to the parties named William Wheeler & Alfred Cuttins to levy this execution upon therefore I cannot have the money ready as compensation for the above fees
Wm. Clark Rec'd
Sept 27. 1849
John Murphy Sheriff
Bank Co. N.Y.

Came to hand July 21st at State pris
1849

Supreme Court, 3rd Grand Division - June Term 1849.

Alfred G. Curtiss, John L. Z.
Griswold & Matthew Griswold

vs Appeal from Stark.

William Wheeler & Alfred Putnam pdt. evd. & cause recd. -
Appellants costs - Appeal. 25, fil. & ret. joined 3 $\frac{1}{4}$, bill of costs 3 $\frac{1}{2}$, copy 25, 118 $\frac{3}{4}$
Certif. seal 50, fil. & ret. 18 $\frac{1}{4}$, Shps. retur. 10 $\frac{1}{2}$, sat. exp. 25, Dr. in 125, 2. 31 $\frac{1}{4}$
Am't. \$ 3.50

State of Illinois, ss.

Supreme Court—Third Grand Division, at Ottawa:

The People of the state of Illinois to the sheriff of Stark — county — Greeting:
WE COMMAND YOU, that of the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of William
Wheeler & Alfred Putnam — you cause to be made the
sum of three dollars — dollars and fifty —
cents, the amount of the foregoing bill, which is due and unpaid, and is a true
copy from the Fee Book in my office; and hereof make due return in ninety days.

WITNESS, the Hon. SAMUEL H. TREAT, Chief Justice of
our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Ottawa, this
16th day of July —
A. D. 1849.

S. Ireland Clerk of the Supreme Court.

I could not find any property belonging to the within
named William Math & Alfred Hartman to levy this fee
bill upon therefore I can not have the money ready as
commanded Sept^r 22nd 1849 John Finley Sheriff
Fees returning \$19¹² Stark Co. Ill.

File Sept^r 27, 1849
William H. Hartman et al.

Defence Court
Affreet G. Cuttins et al.
William H. Hartman et al.
Fee Bill - \$3.50