

8479

No. _____

Supreme Court of Illinois

Wm. Lynch

vs.

Leonard D. Cullom

71641  7

Re it remembered that on the 10th day of February
A.D. 1857, there was filed in the Circuit Court, of Crawford
County State of Illinois, the following transcript which
is in the words & figures following to wit;

State of Illinois } Leonard O'Callahan } Action of a sum
Crawford County } w } -sueit, Account
William Lynch. } Demand \$37,50

December 30th 1856 summons issued and
handed to Wm H. Bradberry, on the 10th day of
January A.D. 1857 at one O'clock P.M. set for
trial; January 10th 1857, summons returned
served by reading to said defendant, and it
being the time set for trial to the Plaintiff
not appearing by consent of the defendant the cause
was continued; to the 17th day of January (inst)
at one O'clock P.M.;

January 17th 1857 The defendant
not appearing by consent of Plaintiff the
cause was continued to the 24th (inst) at one
O'clock P.M., Jan 24th 1857 it being the time
set for trial to the parties being present, went
into trial; Whereupon, after hearing testimony
of the parties it is considered that the Plaintiff is entitled to a judgement
for thirty two dollars & fifty cents: judgement is therefore
rendered against the defendant & in favor of the Plaintiff for
thirty two dollars & fifty cents to costs of suit, Erastus Logan J.P.
Whereupon the defendant filed his bond with security & took ^{law}
an appeal to circuit court Erastus Logan J.P. (sealed)
State of Illinois }
Crawford County } I certify that the above is a true & correct
copy from my docket; in the above entitled
cause: Erastus Logan J.P.

And afterwards (to court) at a regular term of the court
court, at the May term of the circuit court; in & for the coun-
ty of Crawford & state of Illinois. The following order was
made, in said cause, which is in the words & figures
following, (to court)

Leonard O' Cullom

vs } Appeal
William Lynch }

This day come the said Plaintiff by
Allen & Rable his attorneys & the defendant by W H Sterrett
his attorney, and on motion of Plaintiff's attorneys, &
his affidavit being filed, for continuance. It is therefore
ordered, by the court, that this cause ^{is hereby} be continued until
the next term of this court, at the costs of the said Plain-
tiff Leonard O' Cullom;

And afterwards (to court) at a regular
term of the court, (to court) at the October term of the circuit
court, in & for the county of Crawford, & state of Illinois.
The following order was made in said cause which is
in the words & figures following (to court)

Leonard O' Cullom

vs } Appeal from the judgement of a
William Lynch } Justice of the Peace

And now at
this day comes the said Plaintiff by Allen & Rable
his attorneys as also the said defendant, by William
H Sterrett his attorney, his issue being joined in this
cause, the said parties waiving a jury, & submit said
cause to the court, for trial, & the court having heard the
proofs, & allegations of the parties do find for the Plaintiff
& assess the damages at thirty two dollars & fifty cents
It is therefore considered, by the court that the said Plaintiff
recover of the said defendant, the said sum of thirty two
dollars & fifty cents, his said damages, together with

his costs & charges by him in & about this suit in his
behalf laid out & expended, Thereupon the defendant
move the court, for a new trial, which was refused by the
Court. To which finding & judgement of the court, the
said defendant, by his said Attorney, at the time of
rendering the same, Excepts & tenders his bill of Exceptions,
which is allowed; & signed & sealed by the court, &
the said defendant, Prays an appeal, from said judgement
of this court, to the supreme court of the state of
Illinois, which said appeal is allowed; upon the said
defendant... Filing with the clerk of this court an appeal
Bond, with Daniel H. Lagore as his security in the
Penal sum of two hundred dollars, to be filed within
thirty days, from the rising of this court, at its pres-
ent term thereof. And it is further ordered, that said
bill of Exceptions, be filed by the clerk of this court
& be considered ~~as~~ part of the record in this cause

Which said bill of Exceptions, so signed & sealed
by the court, is in the words & figures following to wit
Leonard & Cullom

W^u) suit pending in the Crawford circuit
William Lynch } court, & State of Illinois of the
October term A D 1857

Be it remembered that on the trial of the above enti-
tled cause the following testimony, was adduced to the
court & first Plaintiff produced, his son Thomas Cull-
om a witness who testified, that Plaintiff was to furnish
and deliver the running gear or wood work of a wagon
at defts shop, in the town of Palestine this county and
defendant was to furnish iron & iron to complete the
same, by the following ensuing summer; The

Plaintiff was also to furnish & deliver Deft a lot of coal, for the payment, at thirteen cents per bushel that Plaintiff delivered, ten loads of the coal & it was understood between the parties, that twenty five bushels of coal to the load, the wood work of the wagon was taken to the shop on the 14th July.

Asa Black was also produced as a witness for the Plaintiff, who testified that the wagon or running gear was taken to the shop & that he brought it away by order of the Plaintiff on the 14th September next after the contract was made, in the same condition as when taken there & here the Plaintiff rested his cause.

James Goadwin was produced, as witness, for defendant who stated that a contract was made at the time indicated by the witness for Plaintiff which contract was to this effect, Plaintiff agreed to furnish & deliver defendant at his shop in the town of Palestine in this County, a lot of coal, which Plaintiff said was then dug and on the coal bank same distance from Palestine the price agreed for the coal, was to be thirteen cents per bushel, And the price agreed for ironing the wagon was to be fifty five dollars, and the coal to be delivered ^{was to the amount which was to be delivered} to defendant, at his shop, in Palestine during the fall, the contract was made, The Plaintiff was also to furnish Deft at his shop in Palestine the wood work or running gear of a wagon & left, agreed, to furnish iron and complete the ironing or work thereon by the next fall, after the contract was made, The Plaintiff delivered nine loads of coal, here the defendant closed his case, and the Plaintiff recalled Thomas Cullum, who stated a load of the coal was delivered to neither Deft or James Goadwin was present, but one Daugherty was there and here

the testimony closed, no other testimony was adduced on the trial, by either Plaintiff or defendant, & therefore the court rendered a judgment for the Plaintiff in the sum of \$32,50. & costs of suit and thereupon the defendant moved the court for new trial which was refused, by the court, the Defendant then presented this his bill of exceptions, and pray the court an appeal and that the testimony herein be made part of the record; all which is granted accordingly in open court,

And afterwards, to-wit, on the 13th day of November AD 1857 a bill was filed in the office of the clerk of said Crawford circuit court, a true copy thereof, is in the hands & figures, following to-wit,

Know all men by these Presents that we William Lynch and David H. Lagow, are held and firmly bound, unto Leonard O'Cellan in the sum of, two hundred dollars, current money of the United States of America, for the payment of which we jointly & severally bind ourselves our heirs, Executors, & Administrators signed & sealed by us, this 11th day of November AD 1857

The condition of the above obligation is such whereas the above bondsmen William Lynch, has prayed an appeal from the judgment & decision of the circuit court, of Crawford county and state of Illinois at its October term AD 1857, to the supreme court of the state of Illinois, in a cause wherein; the said Leonard O'Cellan was Plaintiff and the above bondsmen William Lynch defendant; None if the said William Lynch shall pay the judgment, costs, interest and damages in case the said judgment shall be affirmed and

and also for the due Prosecution of said appeal then
this bond shall cease & be void, otherwise to be
and remain in full force & effect in law;

W^m Lynch *(seal)*

David H Lagow *(seal)*

State of Illinois }
Crawford County }

I Hiram Johnson Clerk of the circuit
court, Within & for the County & State aforesaid do hereby
certify, that the above & foregoing is a true & correct
copy of the Proceedings as had in the above entitled cause
at the October Term A D 1854 of the Crawford Circuit
Court; as appears from the records & files of my office
Given under my hand and official
seal at Robinson this 25th day of
October A D 1854

Hiram Johnson clk
of Crawford circuit court

Price 25 October 1854.
H. Johnson clk
Paid by James Barker
on account \$15.00
By Dr. J. P. Kelly - State
one check - \$2.00

William Lynch
Appellant
vs } Appeal from
} Crawford Co.
Leonard S. Galloway
Appellee

Robinson & Co. v. D. C. Circuit
Illinois October 25th 1858

My dear Sir

After my best respects - would
feel that the enclosed papers should have
been forwarded to you some time since
but owing to indisposition which compelled
me to journey on the Salt Water during
the most of last summer. was necessarily
obliged to leave my business in hands of
another. with directions to have the
papers forwarded to some competent attorney
visiting your Court to attend to the
black of our Court supposing the
original papers all that was necessary
forwarded them to E. Beecher Esq. with
whom he was acquainted. I returned
from the East a short time ago. & on
yesterday the papers was returned desiring
a complete record therof. Our black
although the Circuit Court now comming
has made out the same. It was sent
Mr. Beecher. as Declar. fee. which no
doubt he will hand you. also \$2. for
or parties fees entom - or the should it re-
ceive any they more. Please inform me
I will remit immediately - if not to much
trouble please have the same in such shape
as. in take its place on the docked in
its order. your attention will confer a
form - and remunerated by me. when an
opportunity offers.

Yours & truly
D. H. Stennett

9 Nov. 58 -

Palestine Illinois
Oct. 25th 1858

Noah Johnson Esq.
Drifin

The record ~~in~~ the Court of
Cullom against Lynch will be forwarded
with this letter Mr Sternitt the attorney for Lynch
is entirely unacquainted with the attorney who
practices in your Court and is anxious you
should select one competent who will give
his case the proper attention. Mr Lynch
is a reliable good man and will pay
any reasonable fee for the services rendered
and it will be only necessary for you
or the attorney to correspond with Mr
Wm Sternitt, who will give you
such information in the premises and
who will see that the fees are promptly
paid.

P.S.

The point as I ~~conceive~~ ; Cullom - they
not delimit the Cal. n^o 55. with which
is intended to be completed & to
wage on t.

see case of Rose - /
Elijah Rubing.

Respectfully
Yours & C
Ed. Ryan

IN THE SUPREME COURT—STATE OF ILLINOIS—FIRST GRAND
DIVISION—TO NOVEMBER TERM, A. D. 1858.

WILLIAM LYNCH, Appellant, }
 vs. } Appeal from Lawrance County.
LEONARD D. CULLOM, Appellee. }

This was an action of assumpsit, instituted by the appellee against the appellant before a Justice of the Peace, of Lawrance County, where the appellee recovered a judgement for \$32 50 cts., and the cost of suit. The appellant appealed from said judgement to the Circuit Court, and at the October term thereof A. D. 1857, the cause was submitted to the court, and the judgment of the Justice of the Peace affirmed.

Upon the trial in the Circuit Court the, plaintiff introduced his son, Thomas Cullom, who testified that plaintiff was to furnish and deliver the running gear, or woodwork of a wagon at defendant's shop in the town of Palestine, this county, and defendant was to furnish iron, and iron and complete the same, by the following or ensuing summer. The plaintiff was also to furnish and deliver to defendant a lot of coal for the payment, at thirteen cents per bushel—that plaintiff delivered ten loads of the coal, and it was agreed between the parties, that twenty-five bushels of coal to the load. The woodwork of the wagon was taken to the shop on the 14th of July.

Plaintiff also introduced as a witness, Asa Black, who testified that the wagon and running gear was taken to the shop, and that he brought it away by order of the plaintiff on the 14th of September next after the contract was made, in the same condition as when taken there. Here the plaintiff rested his cause.

The defendant then introduced James Goodwin as a witness, who stated that a contract was made at the time indicated by the witness for the plaintiff, which contract was to this effect: Plaintiff agreed to furnish and deliver to defendant, at his shop in the town of Palestine, in this county, a lot of coal, which plaintiff said was then dug, and on the coal-bank some distance from Palestine. The price agreed for the coal was to be thirteen cents per bushel, and the price agreed for ironing the wagon was to be fifty-five dollars, and the coal to be delivered to defendant at his shop in Palestine, during the fall, the contract was made. The Plaintiff was also to furnish to defendant at his shop in Palestine, the woodwork or running gear of a wagon, and defendant agreed to furnish iron and complete the ironing or work thereon by the next fall after the contract was made, the plaintiff delivered nine loads of coal. Here the defendant rested his cause.

Plaintiff then recalled Thomas Cullom, who stated that a load of the coal was delivered and neither Defendant or James Goodwin was present, but one Dougherty was there; and here his testimony closed, and no other testimony was adduced either by plaintiff or defendant. And thareupon the Court rendered judgment for the plaintiff and against the defendant for \$32 50 cents and costs of suit. Whereupon the defendant moved the Court for a new trial, which was refused by the Court, and the defendant excepted, and now brings the cause to this Court and assigns the following causes of error :

- 1st. That the verdict was against the evidence.
- 2d. That the judgment was against the law.
- 3d. That the judgment was against the law and the evidence.
- 4th. That the Court erred in refusing to grant a new trial, and in rendering judgment.

TANNER & CASEY, Attorneys for Appellant.

IN THE STATE OF MARYLAND—ST. MARY'S COUNTY
PARISH—TO MARYLAND STATE, A.D. 1898.

WILLIAM L. TOWN, A. B. HARRIS,
REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS,
A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS,
A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS,
A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

44

Lynch
or
Gullion

844 1857 79

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

REVEREND D. GUNTER, A. B. HARRIS, { Bishop from Baltimore County.

TAMMIE A. CAVELL, Attorney for Plaintiff.

Supreme Court of the State of Illinois
First Grand Division A.D. 1858

William Lynch, Appellant

vs

Leonard G. Cullens, Appellee

Appeal from

Lawrence County

This was an action of Assumpsit instituted by the Appellee against the Appellant before a Justice of the Peace of Lawrence County, where the Appellee recovered a judgement for \$32⁵⁰, and the costs of suit. The Appellant appealed from said judgement of the Circuit Court at the October term thereof A.D. 1857, the judgment of the Justice of the Peace was affirmed; ~~and the appeal was dismissed~~

Upon the trial in the Circuit Court the Plaintiff introduced ^{his son} Thomas Cullens, who, testified, that Plaintiff was to furnish and deliver the running gear or woodwork of a wagon at Defts shop in Palestine the town of Palestine this County, and Deft was to furnish iron and iron and complete the same by the following or ensuing summer - The Plff was also to furnish and deliver to Dft. a lot of Coal, for the payment, at thirteen cents per bushel - that Plff delivered ten loads of the Coal, and it was agreed between the parties, that twenty five bushels of Coal to the load. The woodwork of

The wagon was taken to the shop on the 14th
of July.

Plff also introduced, as a witness, Asa
Black, who testified that the wagon or running-
gear was taken to the shop, and that he brought
it away by order of the Plff on the 14th of September
next after the contract was made, in the same
condition as when taken there; ~~there~~ hence the Plff
rested his cause -

The Dft then introduced James
Goodwin as a witness, who stated that a Contri-
act was made at the time indicated by the witness
for the Plaintiff, which contract was to this effect -
Plff agreed to furnish and deliver to Dft at his shop
in the town of Palestine in this County, a lot of Coal,
which Plff said was then dug out on the Coal bank
some distance from Palestine. The price agreed for
the Coal, so as to be thirteen cents per bushel; and the
price agreed for ironing the wagons, was to be fifty five
dollars, and the Coal to be delivered to Dft at
his shop in Palestine during the fall, the contract
was made - The Plff was also to furnish to Dft
at his shop in Palestine the wood work or running
gear of a wagon, and Dft agreed to furnish
iron and complete the ironing or work thereon
by the next fall after the contract was made; the
Plff delivered nine loads of Coal. Hence the Dft
rested his cause -

Pltf then recalled Thomas Cullow, who stated
that a load of the Coal was delivered and neither
Deft or James Goodwin was present, but one
Dougherty was there. Whereupon the ~~Pltf~~^{Deft} testimony
closed; and no other testimony was adduced
either by Pltf or Deft. And thereupon the Court
rendered judgment for the ~~Pltf~~^{Deft} for \$2 50⁰⁰ and costs
of suit. ~~and~~ Whereupon the Deft
moved the Court for a new trial, which
was refused by the Court; and the Deft
excepted; and now brings the cause to this
Court and assigns the following Causes of
Error.

- 1st That the ~~Judge~~ Verdict was against the
~~Law~~ and the Evidence
- 2nd That the Judgment was against the Law
- 3rd That the Judgment was against the Law
and the Evidence
- 4th That the Court Erred in refusing to grant a
new trial and in rendering Judgment
Tucker & Heasey
Atty for Appellants

In the Supreme Court

November Term A.D. 1818

William Lynch

vs

Leonard O'Callan

Abstract

IN THE SUPREME COURT—STATE OF ILLINOIS—FIRST GRAND
DIVISION—TO NOVEMBER TERM, A. D. 1858.

WILLIAM LYNCH, Appellant,
vs.
LEONARD D. CULLOM, Appellee. } Appeal from Lawrance County.

This was an action of assumpsit, instituted by the appellee against the appellant before a Justice of the Peace, of Lawrance County, where the appellee recovered a judgement for \$32 50 cts., and the cost of suit. The appellant appealed from said judgement to the Circuit Court, and at the October term thereof A. D. 1857, the cause was submitted to the court, and the judgment of the Justice of the Peace affirmed.

Upon the trial in the Circuit Court the, plaintiff introduced his son, Thomas Cullom, who testified that plaintiff was to furnish and deliver the running gear, or woodwork of a wagon at defendant's shop in the town of Palestine, this county, and defendant was to furnish iron, and iron and complete the same, by the following or ensuing summer. The plaintiff was also to furnish and deliver to defendant a lot of coal for the payment, at thirteen cents per bushel—that plaintiff delivered ten loads of the coal, and it was agreed between the parties, that twenty-five bushels of coal to the load. The woodwork of the wagon was taken to the shop on the 14th of July.

Plaintiff also introduced as a witness, Asa Black, who testified that the wagon and running gear was taken to the shop, and that he brought it away by order of the plaintiff on the 14th of September next after the contract was made, in the same condition as when taken there. Here the plaintiff rested his cause.

The defendant then introduced James Goodwin as a witness, who stated that a contract was made at the time indicated by the witness for the plaintiff, which contract was to this effect: Plaintiff agreed to furnish and deliver to defendant, at his shop in the town of Palestine, in this county, a lot of coal, which plaintiff said was then dug, and on the coal-bank some distance from Palestine. The price agreed for the coal was to be thirteen cents per bushel, and the price agreed for ironing the wagon was to be fifty-five dollars, and the coal to be delivered to defendant at his shop in Palestine, during the fall, the contract was made. The Plaintiff was also to furnish to defendant at his shop in Palestine, the woodwork or running gear of a wagon, and defendant agreed to furnish iron and complete the ironing or work thereon by the next fall after the contract was made, the plaintiff delivered nine loads of coal. Here the defendant rested his cause.

Plaintiff then recalled Thomas Cullom, who stated that a load of the coal was delivered and neither Defendant or James Goodwin was present, but one Dougherty was there; and here his testimony closed, and no other testimony was adduced either by plaintiff or defendant. And thareupon the Court rendered judgment for the plaintiff and against the defendant for \$32 50 cents and costs of suit. Whereupon the defendant moved the Court for a new trial, which was refused by the Court, and the defendant excepted, and now brings the cause to this Court and assigns the following causes of error :

- 1st. That the verdict was against the evidence.
- 2d. That the judgment was against the law.
- 3d. That the judgment was against the law and the evidence.
- 4th. That the Court erred in refusing to grant a new trial, and in rendering judgment.

TANNER & CASEY, Attorneys for Appellant.

2479-10

155-0
75-0

189

MURKIN & CO. - LAWYERS & ATTORNEYS
AT LAW
TO KANSAS CITY, KAN.

NOV. 11, 1858.

W. D. MORSE, ATTORNEY

Mr. Wm. M. Thompson,
Atchison, Kans.,
Dear Sir:

I have the honor to inform you that I have received your letter of the 22d of Oct., and will forward to the General Court, with a copy of the same, my opinion of the constitutionality of the proposed amendment to the Constitution of Kansas, and of the proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States, which would prohibit the admission of Kansas as a State before the 1st of January, 1861.

The proposed amendment to the Constitution of Kansas is unconstitutional.

The proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States is constitutional.

The proposed amendment to the Constitution of Kansas is unconstitutional.

The proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States is constitutional.

The proposed amendment to the Constitution of Kansas is unconstitutional.

The proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States is constitutional.

Very truly yours,

W. D. MORSE,

Synck
M
bottom

No 44

Nov. A.D. 1858.

William Lynch
Appellant

^{by}

Leonard D. Cullinan
Appellee

Appl. for Crawford

8474

Reversed for
Majoran in err.