

No. 11838

Supreme Court of Illinois

Hamilton

vs.

Lowe

71641

~~James~~ Hamilton
vs 44
Henry Lowe

44

11838

1858

STATE OF ILLINOIS, { ss. The People of the State of Illinois,
SUPREME COURT, } TO THE CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF *Lake*

GREETING:

BECAUSE, In the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment
of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of *Lake* — County, before
the Judge thereof, between *James Hamilton*

plaintiff, and *Henry Lowe*

defendant it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid

James Hamilton as we are informed
by *his* complaint, and we being willing that error should be corrected if any there
be, in due form and manner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, com-
mand you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly, without delay,
send to our Justices of the Supreme Court the record and proceedings of the plaint
aforesaid, with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have
the same before our Justices aforesaid at Ottawa, in the County of La Salle, on the
Third Tuesday in April A.D. 1837 next, that the record and proceedings, being in-
spected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the error, what of right ought to
be done according to law.

WITNESS, The Hon. WALTER B. SCATES, Chief
Justice of our said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Ot-
awa, this *18th* day of *April* in the Year
of Our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred and Fifty-Seven

S. Leland
Clerk of the Supreme Court.
B. J. B. Rice Deputy

RECEIVED

AT THE OFFICE OF THE CLERK OF THE COUNTY OF

BROOKLYN IN THE COUNTY OF BROOKLYN AND COUNTY OF
MONMOUTH, WHEREAS IT IS MADE KNOWN BY THE COUNCIL OF THE
COUNTY, BEFOR

THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK, THAT A CERTAIN

JOHN SAWYER, OF THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

CLERK OF THE COUNCIL OF THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

TO THE LINE OF THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK, TO THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

FRANCIS HAMILTON

HENRY LOWE

WITNESS

BE IT KNOWN TO ALL MEN WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

THAT ON THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JUNE, IN THE YEAR OF OUR

SAFETY, THIRTY EIGHT, THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

DOES MAKE THIS PROCLAMATION,

THAT THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

DOES MAKE THIS PROCLAMATION,

THAT THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

DOES MAKE THIS PROCLAMATION,

THAT THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

DOES MAKE THIS PROCLAMATION,

THAT THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

DOES MAKE THIS PROCLAMATION,

THAT THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

DOES MAKE THIS PROCLAMATION,

THAT THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

DOES MAKE THIS PROCLAMATION,

THAT THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

DOES MAKE THIS PROCLAMATION,

THAT THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

DOES MAKE THIS PROCLAMATION,

THAT THE TOWNSHIP OF NEW YORK,

DOES MAKE THIS PROCLAMATION,

RECEIVED

United States of America }
State of Illinois, Lake County }

Plead before the Honorable

George Mamine Judge of the Seventh judicial Circuit
of the State of Illinois.

At a term of the Circuit Court for the County of Lake
in said Circuit, began and held at Waukegan, in said
County, on the fourteenth day of January, in the year
of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty six
and of the independence of the United States the eighteenth

Present, the Honorable George Mamine Judge,
John F. Gugle, Sheriff of Lake County
Attest, A. B. Cotes, Clerk,

Beth remembered that on the second day of April
said day being a day in Vacation between the January term
and April Special terms of the Lake County Circuit
Court for the State of Illinois, in the year of our Lord
one thousand eight hundred and fifty six an
affidavit was filed in the Office of the Clerk of said Court
which said affidavit is in the words and figures following
to wit.

"State of Illinois }
Lake County }

James Hamilton being sworn says
that fifty cords of wood of the value of one hundred
dollars the property of this defendant, was wrongfully
taken by Henry Lorne, and that the same is now
wrongfully detained by said Lorne, near the track of the
Chicago & Milwaukee Rail Road ~~Company~~ in the
Township of Deerfield in said County of Lake and
that said wood was not taken for any tax, assessment
or fine levied by virtue of any law of this State nor

2

seized under any execution or attachment issued against
this defendant. This defendant therefore pray that a Writ
of replevin issue agreeable to law
Sworn and Subscribed before James Hamilton
me this 2 day of April AD 1856
A. B. Cotes Clerk "

And ^{that} afterwards to wit on the said second day of April
AD 1856, the same being a day in the vacation ^{out of} of session
a Writ of replevin, was issued, said Circuit Court, which
said Writ is in the words and figures following to wit

"State of Illinois }
County of Lake } & The People of the State of Illinois

To the Sheriff of said County, Greeting
Whereas James Hamilton plaintiff complains that Henry
Sore defendant has wrongfully taken and does now
wrongfully detain the following described goods and chattels
to wit, fifty cords of wood near the track of the Chicago
and Milwaukee Rail Road, in the town of Deerfield in
said County of Lake, of the value of one hundred dollars,
from the possession of the said plaintiff.

Therefore We Command you, That if the said plaintiff
shall give bond with good and sufficient security in double
the value of the said goods and chattels as required by law
to prosecute his suit in this behalf to effect and without
delay, and to make return of the said goods and chattels
if return thereof shall be awarded, and to save and keep
^{you} harmless in replying said goods and chattels to be
replevied and delivered to said plaintiff without delay
and also that you summon the said defendant to be and
appear before the Circuit Court for said County, on the first
day of the next term thereof, to be held at Waukegan
in said County, on the third Monday of April instant to

8

answer said plaintiff in the premises. And if the
said goods and Chattels cannot be found by, or shall
not be delivered to, you, then you are hereby Commanded
to summon the said defendant, to appear at the time
and place aforesaid before the said Circuit Court and
answer to the said Plaintiff action for the recovery of
the Value of the said Goods and chattels, and have you
then and there this writ, with an endorsement thereon
of the manner in which you shall have executed the
same, together with the bond (if any) which you shall have
taken from the said Plaintiff as before Commanded,
before executing this Writ.

Witness Augustus B. Cotes, Clerk of said Court
And the Seal thereof at Waukegan in said County,
this second day of April A.D. 1856.

Seal of
Court

A. B. Cotes, Clerk

Which said Writ

was afterwards on the 6th day of April A.D. 1856 being a day in vacation aforesaid returned into the office of
the Clerk of the said Court with the endorsement of the Sheriff of Green which says
~~which said Writ is endorsed~~ ^{now} on the back ⁱⁿ the words
and figures following to wit.

Executed this Writ by taking the property therein described
& delivering the same to the within named James Hamilton
he having with John Dundlap as surety previously executed
to John F Gulyes Sheriff of Lake County a bond in the
penal sum of two hundred dollars according to the Statute
in such cases made and provided and also by reading
the same to and in the presence & hearing of the within
named Henry Lowe this 8th day of April A.D. 1856,

John F Gulyes

Sheriff

By H. Minkley Deputy

Fees levied 50

service 50

Milg 100

Retrn. 10
James Hamilton \$2.00

And that on the 7th day of April A.D. 1856 the same
being a day in the vacation aforesaid, James Hamilton by
his Attorney, Parks and Barnes filed in the Office of the
Clerk of the said Circuit Court his declaration which said
declaration is in the words following to wit,

State of Illinois }
Lake County Circuit Court, ss. Of the April Special
Term in the year of Our Lord One thousand eight
hundred and fifty Six

Lake County p. Henry Sime the defendant in this
suit was summoned to answer James Hamilton
plaintiff in this suit of a plea whereof he ^{took the} wood of the
said plaintiff, and unjustly detained the same against
sureties and pledges until &c, and therefore the said
plaintiff by Parks & Barnes his Attorneys complains for
that the said defendant on the tenth day of March
in the year of Our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and fifty six near the track of the Chicago and Milwaukee
Rail Road in the township of Deerfield in said County
of Lake took the goods and chattels to wit fifty cords of
wood of him the said plaintiff of great value to wit,
of the value of one hundred dollars, and unjustly
detained the same against sureties & pledges until
&c Wherefore the said plaintiff saith that he is injured
and hath sustained damage to the amount of one
hundred & fifty dollars, and therefore he brings suit &c

Parks & Barnes
Atty,

5 United States of America v.
State of Illinois Lake County

Please before the
Honorable George Mamerie, Judge of the seventh judicial
Circuit of the State of Illinois, at a Special term of a
Circuit Court for the County of Lake in said Circuit,
began and held at Waukegan in said County on the
twenty first day of April in the year of our Lord One
thousand eight hundred and fifty six and of the
independence of the United States the eightieth, said
term of Court being held pursuant to an order of the said
Honorable George Mamerie judge as aforesaid and
presiding Judge of the Circuit Court of Lake County
aforesaid which was made and entered at the last
January term of the said Circuit Court, the said
term being a regular term thereof and which appointed
the said twenty first day of April in the year of our
Lord one thousand Eight hundred and fifty six as a time
for holding a Special term of the said Circuit Court
for hearing and deciding ^{Chancery} Causes and also for the trial
of civil and criminal causes

Present the Honorable George Mamerie, Judge
John F. Gables Sheriff of Lake County
Attest, A. B. Cotes, Clerk

And be it also remembered that afterwards to wit, on
the twenty second day of April AD, 1856, the same
being one of the days of the April Special term of said
Court for the year last aforesaid proceedings were had in
said Court which are entered of record in the words
and figures following to wit

"James Hamilton

III vs. { Replevin

Henry Some } Now come said parties, the said

6 plaintiff by Parks, his Attorney, and the said defendant by Ferry, his Attorney, and by their Agreement it is Ordered that the said defendant have until the Opening of Court to morrow morning in which to file his plea herein."

And that afterwards to wit on the twenty third day of April AD, 1856 it being as yet of the April Special Term of said Court for the year aforesaid Henry Love by Ferry & Clarke his attorneys filed his pleas with the Clerk of said Court which said pleas are in the words and figures following to wit:

"James Hamilton }
vs.
Henry Love } Plea.

"Lake Co Cir Court.

Apr Spec Term 56

And the said Deft by Ferry & Clarke his Attorneys comes & says that he did not take and detain the said goods and Chattels in said declaration mentioned or any part thereof in manner or form as the plaintiff hath above alleged. and of this the defendant puts himself upon the contrary &

Ferry & Clarke

Atty's"

"And the said deft, for a further plea in this behalf says actis non because he says that the said goods and chattels mentioned in said declaration whence were not the property of the said plaintiff, but were then & then the property of this deft, to wit at Lake Co aforesaid and this he is ready to verify

Ferry & Clarke

Atty for deft,

7 United States of America }
State of Illinois Lake County } ss.

Please before the Honorable
George Manierre Judge of the seventh judicial Circuit
of the State of Illinois. At a Circuit Court for the
County of Lake in said Circuit, began and held at
Waukegan in said County, on the second day of June
in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred
and fifty six, and of the independence of the United
States the eighteenth

Present the Honorable George Manierre Judge
Aforeaid, John F. Gables Sheriff of Lake County
Attest, A.B. Cotes, Clerk,

And be it also remembered that afterwards to wit on the
eleventh day of June A.D. 1856, the same being one of the
days of the June term of said Court for the year ^{last} aforesaid
proceedings were had in said Court which are entered
of record in the words and figures following to wit;

110 James Hamilton }
vs. { Replevin
Henry Sone

Now come said parties the
said plaintiff by Maine his attorney, and the said
defendant by Ferry his attorney and the said
defendant waiving the making and filing of an
affidavit, it is ordered that this ^{Court} be and the same
is hereby continued at the costs of the said plaintiff,
and that the said defendant have and recover of the
said plaintiff his costs and charges of this term
expended herein and that he have execution therefor

"United States of America }
State of Illinois Lake County }

Pleas before the
Honorable George Manierre Judge of the seventh judicial
Circuit of the State of Illinois

At, a Circuit Court for the County of Lake in said
Circuit, began and held at Waukegan, in said County
on the thirteenth day of October, in the year of our
Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty six, and
of the independence of the United States, the eighty
first, Present, the Honorable George Manierre Judge
aforesaid, John F. Cuyler Sheriff of Lake County
Attest, A.B. Lutes Clerk,

And be it also remembered that afterwards to wit
on the thirteenth day of October A.D. 1856 the same being
one of the days of the October term of said Court for the year
last aforesaid proceeding were had in said Court and
entered of record in the words and figures following to wit

66 James Hamilton }
vs. Replevin,
Henry Lowe }

Now comes said parties, said
plaintiff by Parks his Attorney, and said defendant
by Feeny his Attorney, and by their agreement, it is
ordered that this cause be and the same is hereby continu'd

"United States of America }
State of Illinois Lake County }

Pleas before the Honorable
George Manierre Judge of the Seventh judicial Circuit
of the State of Illinois

At a term of a Circuit Court for the County of
Lake, in said Circuit began and held at Waukegan
in said County, on the second day of February in the
year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty
seven, and of the independence of the United States the
eighty first

Present the Honorable George Mairneire Judge,
Carlos Raven States Attorney, Parnell Munroe
Sheriff of Lake County,
Attest. A.B. Cots Clerk

And be it also remembered that on the sixth day of
February A.D. 1857 the same being one of the days of the
February Term of said Court for the year last aforesaid
proceedings were had in said court, which are entered
of record in the words and figures following to wit:

"James Hamilton }
vs. } Beflewin
Henry Stone }

Now come said parties, the said
plaintiff by Parks his attorney, and the said defendant
by Kelley his attorney, and thereupon the said
defendant enters his motion to Strike from the files
of this Court the depositions of G. H. Benson and
H. Brecht filed by the said plaintiff in this cause,
and after arguments of Counsel, the Court being fully
advised in the premises, it is ordered that the said
depositions be, and they are hereby Stricken from the
files of this Court,

"United States of America }
State of Illinois Lake County }
Plead before the Honorable

George Mamerie Judge of the Seventh judicial Circuit
of the State of Illinois

At a Special term of a circuit Court began and held
at Waukegan in Said County on the Seventeenth day of
February in the year of our Lord one thousand eight
hundred and fifty seven, and of the independence of the
United States the eighty first, which said Special term
of Court being held pursuant to a Special order of the
Honorable George Mamerie Judge of the Seventh judicial
Circuit of Said State and presiding Judge of the Circuit
Court of Lake County bearing date the sixth day of February
A.D. 1857, and entered of record on the said sixth day of February,
the same being one of the days of the said term of said Court
for the year A.D. 1857, Calling said Special term to commence
on the third Tuesday of February instant being the
seventeenth day of the present month for the transaction
of Civil and Criminal business,

Present the Honorable George Mamerie Judge,
Samuel Meunin Sheriff of Lake County
Attest, A.B. Cotes, Clerk,

And be it also remembered, that on the twenty first day
of February A.D. 1857 the same being one of the days of the
February Special Term of said Court for the year last
aforeaid, proceedings were had in said Court which are
entered of record in the words and figures following to wit

41 James Hamilton }
vs. { Keplevin
Henry Lowe }

Now come said parties, the said
plaintiff by Parks his attorney, and the said defendant
by Clarke his attorney, and thereupon the said plaintiff
enters his motion, to strike from the files the deposition

11 of Enos G. Miller, taken on the part of the said defendant
herein, and the Court being fully advised in the premises,
it is ordered that the said deposition be, and the same
is hereby stricken from the files at the costs of the said
defendant.

And afterwards to wit on the said twenty first day of
February A.D. 1857 the same being as yet of the Said
Special February term of said Court. proceedings were had
in said Court which are entered of record in the words and
figures following to wit:

41. James Hamilton
vs.
Henry Lowe } Replevin

Now comes said plaintiff by Parks
his Attorney, and on his motion it appearing to the
Court that Charles Kaster has been duly Subpoenaed on
the part of the said plaintiff to attend the present term
of this Court, to testify in this Cause, and the said Charles
Kaster, being three times Solemnly called, and coming
not it is ordered that a writ of Attachment issue against
the said Charles Kaster for his Contempt in having failed
to attend this Court to testify herein, at this term although
lawfully subpoenaed,

And afterwards to Wit on the said twenty first day of
February A.D. 1857, the same being as yet of the said
Special February Term of said Court for the year last
aforesaid. proceedings were had in said Court, which
are entered of record in the words and figures following
to Wit,

James Hamilton }
 vs } Replevin
 41. Henry Sone }

Now Come said parties the said plaintiff by Parks his Attorney, and the said defendant by Clark his attorney, And thereupon the said plaintiff enters his motion founded upon affidavits filed, for a Continuance herein, and after arguments of Counsel the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered that the said motion be and the same is hereby Overruled at the Costs of the said plaintiff, to which decision of the Court overruling said motion the said plaintiff excepts, And afterwards issue being joined herein, it is ordered that a jury Come to try the same, whereupon the said plaintiff enters his Challenge to the array of Jurors, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is ordered that the said Challenge be and the same is hereby denied at the Costs of the said plaintiff to which he excepts

And afterwards come a jury to Wit J. H. Brown,
 Rufus Sayles, J. C. Peck, John E. Clarkson, C. Plimpton
 Thomas C. Eli Wilder, J. C. Stevens, Benjamin
 Porter, F. H. Porter, Philip Blanchard and Edmund
 Baker, who being duly empanneled and sworn to well
 and truly try the issue, and a true Verdict give according
 to the evidence, and having heard the evidence, delivered the
 following Verdict. We the jury find the issue for the
 defendant, and thereupon the said plaintiff enters his
 motion for a new trial which motion the Court being fully
 advised overrules and adjudges that said plaintiff take
 nothing by his writ and that said defendant have return
 of the property and one cent damages for the Captain thereof
 and that he recover his Costs and damages in this action
 and that he have execution therefor, And afterwards on

13 motion of the said plaintiff, it is ordered that he have two weeks from this date, in which to file his bill of exceptions herein, and thereupon said plaintiff may appeal, which is granted on condition that said plaintiff within two weeks from this date hereof file with the Clerk of this Court his bond with good and sufficient surety to be approved by said Clerk in the penal sum of two hundred and fifty dollars.

And be it also remembered that on the twenty fifth day of February A.D. 1857, said day being a day in vacation between the Special February Term and the June Term of said Circuit Court, James Hamilton by Parks and Haines his attorney filed in the Office of the Clerk of said Court his bill of exceptions which said bill of exceptions are in the words and figures following to wit

15

State of Illinois
Lake County Circuit Court

James Hamilton } February Special
vs } Term for 1837
Henry Lowe } Replevin

Be it remembered that on the 3^d day of February A.D 1837 the same being one of the days of the February Term of said Court for said year whereupon being set for the Third day of said Term was called for Trial; upon Motion of Plaintiff and by consent of parties the same was set down for trial for Monday of the second week of said term. The term was terminated by an adjournment without day on Saturday of the first week of said February Term. Previous to said adjournment the following order was entered upon the journal of said Court

" It is hereby ordered and appointed by the Court
" that a special term of this court for the transaction of civil
" and criminal business be held on the Third Tuesday of Feb
" uary instant it being the Seventeenth day of the pre-
" ent month "

At the time of entering said order it was stated by the Judge of said Court that the first business in order at said special term would be the disposal of some criminal business on the docket among which was an indictment for murder to be tried the court also by the concurrence of the parties & without any objection named several civil suits that would be ^{for} trial after the disposal of the crml busness and among them this suit

And be it further remembered that on the 18th-day of February it being the second day of the special February term of this court this cause was called in the absence of C. C. Parks the counsel who had special charge

16 of the case but his partner Mr E. Haines being present
(the said Parks being confined to his house by sickness) &
Friday the 20 day of February was named by counsel for
the defendant as a day of trial, neither the said Parks
nor the said plaintiff being at the time in court, but
his partner the said Haines being present and there
being no agreement or understanding that said cause
was to be tried on that day, though it was understood
to be for trial during the term as before stated. And be
it further remembered that on Friday the 20th day of
February this cause was called for trial and the same was
continued by the court until the next day (Feb 21)
to give parties time to procure their witnesses.

And be it further remembered that on the 21st
day of February being the fifth & last day of said spe-
cial term in the afternoon of the day this cause being
No 41 on the docket was called for trial: all the
causes standing before this on the same having been dis-
posed of, some in the regular February term, and some
in the Special February term, the docket not being called
in its order at this special term, the plaintiff object-
ed to proceeding to trial in said cause out of its order
which objection was overruled by the court and the
plaintiff then and there excepted.

The plaintiff then made a motion for continuance
founded upon affidavits of which the following are copies
State of Illinois Lake County Circuit Court

"James Hamilton }
vs. { Replevin
"Henry Lowe }

"Lake county vs. James Hamilton the
"above named plaintiff being inform says that Charles
R. Caster is a material witness in this cause, without whose
testimony he this defendant cannot safely proceed to

17th trial. This defendant further says that he expects to prove
by said witness that defendant took and carried away
from the land of this plaintiff the wood replevined in this
case in the night time. This defendant further says that
he is informed and believes that every effort has been
used by his counsel that could be used to procure
it's attendance, and that he said witness has been
abstained and an attachment issued for him
which is still unreturned"

"Sworn and Subscribed before me } (signed) James Hamilton
this 21st day of February A.D. 1837
(Signed) J. H. Windsor Deputy Clerk"

"State of Illinois

Lake County circuit court

"James Hamilton }
vs } Replevin
"Henry Lowe }

"Lake County J. S. Calvin C. Parks
one of the attorneys for the plaintiff in the above entitled
cause being sworn says that yesterday morning was
the first intimation that he had from any quarter
that this cause would be called for trial during the
present week, in fact from information received
at his house, while on a sick bed, that the jury were
discharged the first day of the present term. This
defendant supposed that this cause would not be
called for trial during the present term. This defendant
further says that on being informed by the court that
the plaintiff must prepare for trial, this defendant
caused subpoenas to be immediately issued and for
plaintiff's witnesses and among them was a witness
by the name of Charles Raster whose testimony this
defendant was informed and verily believes was

18 "very material. This defendant further says that the first subpoena issued was immediately placed in the hands of an officer who took the morning train of cars for Port Clinton the residence of said Rastor. This defendant further says that fearing said Rastor might not be served with the first subpoena he caused another to be issued and placed in the hands of another officer and paid him money to bear his expenses and has used every exertion to procure the attendance of said Rastor since said trial was proposed.

"Sworn and Subscribed before (signed) C.C. Parks
me this 21st February 1837 }

"J. W. Windsor Deputy Clerk" It appearing to this court that this cause has been continued at the June and November terms of 1836 at the instance of the defendant & also by the return of the Sheriff that said Charles Rastor had been served with subpoena in this cause on the 20th day of February instant in said County of Lake, and that on the morning of the 21st day of February instant an attachment was issued for said witness, after the argument of Counsel and the Court being fully advised in the premises overruled said motion for continuance and the defendant then and there excepted. On the first day of the term a petit jury which was summoned by order of Court, (no regular panel having been drawn for this term) gave their attendance, but owing to a change of venue granted in said murder case the said jury was discharged on that day, no other business at that time being ready the Judge at the time stating that a jury could be summoned in the latter part of the week when required. On this the 21st there being

no petit jury in attendance to try said cause the following order was entered on the journal by direction of the judge.

The Petit jury having heretofore been discharged from further attendance as such jurors for this term of Court it is ordered that the Sheriff summon a special petit jury of twelve good and lawful men to be in attendance for that and thereupon the said Sheriff comes and returns into court the following named persons summoned by him to attend as such jurors to wit J. M. Brown and others to the number of twelve and said jurors being called to try this cause the plaintiff by his counsel interposed a challenge to the array of jurors which challenge was overruled by the court and the defendant then and there excepted.

The said plaintiff now here in open court tenders this his Bill of Exceptions and prays that the same may be signed and sealed by the court and it is done accordingly

George Maniero Seal
Judge of Judicial Circuit
Illinois

This is substantially correct

Ferry & Clarke

On two occasions viz: in first the two cases commenced by the Sheriff without the service of venue's being upon the said order only, and it is that the petit jury having been discharged the court had no power to cause another jury to be summoned during the term or at least during the trial of the cause.

20 And that afterwards to wit, on the eleventh day of March A.D. 1857, the same being a day in vacation between the ^{savoy} Special February Term and the June term of said Court for the year last aforesaid, anAppealed bond was filed in the Office of the Clerk of said Court, which is in the words and figures following to wit,

Know all men by these presents that we James Hamilton as principal and Richard Lappin as security aforesaid and firmly bound unto Henry Lowe in the penal sum of two hundred and fifty dollars for the faithful payment of which we bind ourselves our heirs executors and administrators jointly and severally firmly by these presents, In testimony whereof we have hereunto set our hands and seals this 23^d day of February A.D. 1857.

The condition of the above bond is such that whereas a judgment was rendered at the Special February Term of the Lake County Circuit Court for 1857 in favor of the said Henry Lowe and against the said James Hamilton in replevin that the said Henry Lowe have a return of the wood replevied and that he the said Henry Lowe recover his Costs, from which said judgment the said

21 James Hamilton prayed an appeal to the Supreme Court, now if the said James Hamilton shall pay or cause to be paid all damages and costs in said cause in case said judgment shall be affirmed in said supreme court and also if the said James Hamilton shall prosecute his said appeal to final judgment and abide the order and determination of the Supreme Court therein then this bond to be void otherwise of force.

Approved March 7 1857 } James Hamilton Seal
See Manner Judge &c. Richard Sapphir Esq

Richard Sapphir Sworn says he is a resident of the City of Chicago & further says that he is worth twelve thousand thousand dollars over and above all indebtedness & liabilities

Wm L Church clk

State of Illinois }
Lake County }

I, Augustus B. Cotes, Clerk of the Circuit Court in and for Lake County in the State of Illinois, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a true transcript from the records of my office of the pleading on file therein in a suit lately pending in said Court wherein James Hamilton was plaintiff and Henry Lowe was defendant and also of the appeal bond and bill of exceptions on file in said cause, and also of the record of all the proceedings had and entered of record in said court in said cause.

In Testimony Whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said Court at Waukegan in said County this 21st day of April A.D. 1857

A.B. Cotes Clerk
by J. H. Wender Deputy

107
Supreme Court State of
Illinois

James Hamilton
plaintiff in Error

v.
Henry Some
Defendant

Return Writ of Error

Filed May 11, 1857

J. Leland
Clerk

Fees for transcript \$ 3,85-

Paid by James Hamilton & J. Leland Clerk

In Supreme Court - Illinois
3rd Grand Division
James Hamilton }
as
Harry Lowe }

It is stipulated in
this case that the same be heard
before the Supreme Court of this State
on Tuesday the 14th day of May next
or as soon thereafter as counsel can
be heard.

April 22nd 1857

Park & Harris

Atty's for Pet'r,
George Clarke Williams
Atty's for Adjt

107 44
Supre Court Law
of Division

James Hamilton

Harry Lowe

Stipulated

Filed April 23 1813

S. Leland
Clerk

State of Illinois
In Supreme Court
James Hamilton }
 ^{Reff. in am.}
 by }
Henry Lowe }
 ^{Reff. in am.}
 } move to the Lake County
 Circuit Court

Sir - Please excuse and
Send to us a writ of error in the above
entitled cause determined at the next term
of Supreme Court

To & Oblys
 Clerk of Supreme Court Parks & Harris
 Ottawa Reffattys
 April 7. 1857

Please send amount of fees required by you
we will remit by return mail

Yours &
Parks & Harris

Hamilton

44

or

Sowe

Recipie

Filed Apr 18, 1857

S. Leland
Clerk

State of Illinois
Supreme Court ss.
3rd Grand Division

April Term 1857

James Hamilton Plaintiff in error
vs.
Henry Love Defendant

Now comes the said Plaintiff in error by Parks & Haines his attorneys and says that in the record and proceedings aforesaid, and also in giving Judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this. to wit:

1st The Lake County Circuit Court erred in overruling Plaintiff's objections to taking up the cause for trial out of its order on the Docket.

2nd In overruling Plaintiff's motion for continuance.

3rd In overruling Plaintiff's Challenge to the array.

4th In trying the cause and rendering Judgment without issue being joined.

5th In rendering Judgment and awarding a writ of ~~Habeas~~ Retione Habendo when there was no issue upon Defendants plea of property in himself.

6th In rendering Judgment in favor of Defendant and against Plaintiff.

And the said Plaintiff in error says that the Judgment aforesaid for the

Errors aforesaid, and the other errors in the records
and proceedings aforesaid, may be reviewed and
annulled, and altogether held for naught, and
that he may be restored to all things which he has
lost by reason of said Judgment &c -

Parks & Raines

vs. et al. ~~Plaintiff~~
~~Attys for Plaintiff in Error~~
~~Supreme Court record former.~~

~~for judgment for costs and expenses of recording~~
~~for wronged plaintiff opposite to the contrary~~
~~for wronged plaintiff proper for compensation~~
~~to the people~~

~~for costs for right use of the court as~~
~~suec as wronged plaintiff opposite to the contrary~~
~~for costs proper record~~

~~one year~~
~~for record~~
~~for wronged plaintiff proper record~~
~~for costs proper record~~
~~for costs proper record~~

~~for costs proper record~~
~~for costs proper record~~

3. Proper record before year 1922
Defendant agrees 22.
Date & affix

104
Hamilton
vs. 44
Source

Augt. of Errors

Filed May 11, 1897

In Supreme Court,
THIRD GRAND DIVISION,
APRIL TERM, 1857.

JAMES HAMILTON, }
PLAINTIFF IN ERROR, }
vs. }
HENRY LOWE, }
DEFENDANT IN ERROR. }
ERROR TO LAKE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT.

Page 4 April 7th, 1856, plaintiff in error filed his declaration in replevin for the taking and detention of 50 cords of wood.

6 April 23rd, A. D. 1856, defendant filed his pleas: First—*Non Cepit*. Second—Property in himself.

(No replication to the second plea was filed.)

February 21st, 1857, trial by jury; verdict: "We, the jury, find the issue for the 12 defendant." Judgment thereon that plaintiff take nothing by his writ, and that defendant have return of the property and one cent damages for the caption thereof, and that he recover his costs and damages in this action, and that he have execution therefor.

Appeal prayed and bond filed. The plaintiff, doubting his right to an appeal, caused a writ of error to issue.

The bill of exceptions (being entitled in the case) is in the words and figures following, that is to say:

15 *Be it remembered*, That on the 3rd day of February, A. D. 1857, the same being one of the days of the February term of said Court for said year, this cause being set for the third day of said term, was called for trial; upon motion of plaintiff, and by consent of parties, the same was set down for trial for Wednesday of the second week of said term. The term was terminated by an adjournment, without day, on Saturday of the first week of said February term. Previous to said adjournment the following order was entered upon the journal of said Court:

"It is hereby ordered and appointed by the Court that a special term of this Court, "for the transaction of civil and criminal business, be held on the third Tuesday of "February, instant, it being the seventeenth day of the present month."

At the time of entering said order, it was stated by the Judge of said Court, that the first business in order at said special term would be the disposal of some criminal

business on the docket, among which was an indictment for murder to be tried. The Court also, by the concurrence of the parties and without any objections, named several civil suits that would be for trial, and among them this suit.

And be it further remembered, That on the 18th day of February, it being the second day of the special February term of this Court, this cause was called in the absence of C. C. Parks, the counsel who has special charge of the case, *but his partner, Mr. E. M. Haines, being present*, (the said Parks being confined to his house by sickness,) and Friday, the 20th day of February, was named by counsel for the defendant as a day of trial, neither the said Parks or the said plaintiff being at the time in court, *but his partner, the said Haines, being present*, and there being no agreement or understanding that said cause was to be tried on that day, though it was understood to be for trial during the term, as before stated.

And be it further remembered, That on Friday the 20th day of February, this cause was called for trial, and the same was continued by the Court until the next day, (February 21st,) to give parties time to procure their witnesses.

And be it further remembered, That on the 21st day of February, being the fifth and last day of said special term, in the afternoon of the day, this cause, being No. 41 on the docket, was called for trial, all the causes standing before this on the same 16 having been disposed of, some in the regular February term and some in the special February term, the docket not being called in its order at this special term. The plaintiff objected to proceeding to trial in said cause out of its order, which objection was overruled by the Court, and the plaintiff then and there excepted.

The plaintiff then made a motion for continuance, founded upon affidavits, of which the following are copies:

“ STATE OF ILLINOIS,
“ Lake County Circuit Court.

“ James Hamilton }
“ vs. } Replevin.
“ Henry Lowe. }

“ *Lake County, ss.* James Hamilton, the above named plaintiff, being sworn, says “that Charles Kastor is a material witness in this cause, without whose testimony he, “this deponent, cannot safely proceed to trial. This deponent further says, that he “expects to prove by said witness that defendant took and carried away from the “land of this plaintiff the wood replevied, in this case, in the night time. This “deponent further says that he is informed, and believes, that every effort has been “used by his counsel that could be used to procure his attendance, and that he, said “witness, has been subpoenaed and an attachment issued for him which is still “unreturned.

“ Sworn and subscribed before me, } (Signed) “ JAMES HAMILTON.”
“ this 21st day of Feb., A.D. 1857. }
“ (Signed) “ J. H. WINDSOR, Deputy Clerk.”

“ STATE OF ILLINOIS.
“ Lake County Circuit Court.

“ James Hamilton }
“ vs. } Replevin.
“ Henry Lowe. }

“ *Lake County, ss.* Calvin C. Parks, one of the attorneys for the plaintiff in the “above entitled cause, being sworn, says: That yesterday morning was the first “intimation that he had from any quarter, that this cause would be called for trial “during the present week. In fact, from information received at his house, while on

"a sick bed, that the jury were discharged the first day of the present term, this deponent supposed that this cause would not be called during the present term. This deponent further says, that on being informed by the Court that the plaintiff must prepare for trial, this deponent caused subpoenas to be immediately issued for plaintiff's witnesses, and among them was a witness by the name of Charles Kastor, whose testimony this deponent was informed and verily believes was very material. This deponent further says, that the first subpoena issued was immediately placed in the hands of an officer, who took the morning train of cars for Port Clinton, the residence of said Kastor. This deponent further says, that fearing said Kastor might not be served with the first subpoena, he caused another to be issued and placed in the hands of another officer, and paid him money to bear his expenses, and has used every exertion to procure the attendance of said Kastor since said trial was proposed.

"Sworn and subscribed before me, } (Signed) " C. C. PARKS."
"this 21st February, 1857. }

18 (Signed) " J. H. WINDSOR, *Deputy Clerk.*"

That this cause had been continued at the June and also November term of 1856, at the request of the defendant. It appearing to the Court, by the Return of the Sheriff, that said Charles Kastor had been served with subpoena in this cause, on the 20th day of February, instant, in said County of Lake, and on the morning of the 21st day of February, instant, an attachment was issued for said witness. After the argument of counsel, and the Court, being fully advised in the premises, overruled said motion for continuance, and plaintiff then and there excepted.

On the first day of the term, a petit jury, which was summoned by order of Court, (no regular panel having been drawn for the term,) gave their attendance, but owing to a change of venue in said murder cause the said jury was discharged on that day, no other business at that time being ready, the Judge at the time stating that a jury could be summoned in the latter part of the week, when required. On this day, the 21st, there being no petit jury in attendance to try said cause, the following order was entered on the journal, by direction of the Judge:

19 "The petit jury having heretofore been discharged from further attendance as such jurors for this term of Court, it is ordered that the Sheriff of the county summons a special petit jury of twelve good and lawful men, to be in attendance forthwith; and thereupon the said Sheriff comes and returns the following named persons summoned by him to attend as such jurors, to wit: J. M. Brown," and others to the number of twelve; and said jury being called to try said cause, the plaintiff, by his counsel, interposed a challenge to the array, which challenge was overruled by the Court, and the plaintiff then and there excepted *on two grounds, viz.: that the jury was summoned by the Sheriff without the issuing of a venire, but upon the said order only; and, second, that the petit jury having been discharged the Court had no power to cause another jury to be summoned during the term, or at least during this week of the term.*

And this plaintiff, now here in open Court, tenders this his bill of exceptions, and prays that the same may be signed and sealed by the Court, and it is done accordingly.

GEORGE MANIERRE, [L. S.]
Judge Seventh Judicial Circuit, Illinois.

ERRORS ASSIGNED.

- 1st. In overruling plaintiff's objection to taking up the cause for trial out of its order on the docket.
- 2nd. In overruling plaintiff's motion for continuance.
- 3rd. In overruling plaintiff's challenge to the array.
- 4th. In trying the cause and rendering judgment without issue being joined.
- 5th. In rendering judgment and awarding a writ of *Retorno Habendo* when there was no issue upon defendant's plea of property in himself.
- 6th. General assignment.

PARKS & HAINES,
Attorneys for Plaintiff in Error.

Plaintiff for \$8.00

10744

Hamilton

P.

Some
Abstract

Filed May 11, 1853

L. Leland
Clerk