No. 8435

Supreme Court of Illinois

Williams, Stephen & Co.

VS.

Thomas Cross

Williams Stephen & Co plys in the Court lecton against Thomas Gops & Muland Beau appent pour difuducts le the Court Justice to Very lu Coul Court lectour Ly flas Crops Halian Mellians the Cham I Stephens I William the William, latedoring business wider The Starte of Walliams Ste places fee Thomas Crop templeaded (defts we with Michael Brown (defts we error Enve to Very The clash of lapscens Could found Genseon well please ifsees Sant facia, in the about Cuese to The of Ferry utimate to hast leave &

[8435-1]

ON Melson Esgr Ocardir. of 11th mist hie and lifty that Abraham Williams William & Stephens of Welliam Milliams Composed the firm of Williams Shiphons Ho Respulfilly to

In the perry Curit Court Lept leave 1838 Williams Stephens & plefs hi the lacet below Thomas Crop, thickan 3 offent Brown defendant in the Court be low Mor to Paris Sepreme Court Herst Seared dieser november lever 1840 William Stephensons & Co. plainty he cero x /homes Crofs willed] took he herhand Brown defendant in error She clash with please your a Sein facias in the about relumente to heast-lever - divided to the should glere, le Mhelin pipligia 18435-27 Service on House beef.

Williams Stephens Ve His & enor to Pary Thomas Crop supleado with Muhaul Brown Chefudanto in caro Macipa Jelen 23. Cet. 1860 L

State of Illinois, supreme court, First Grand Division.

The People of the State of Illinois,
The People of the State of Illinois, To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the County of Learning:
Because, In the record and proceedings, as also in the rendi-
tion of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of
Lerry county, before the Judge thereof between
Abraham Milliams, Milliam J. Stephens & Milliam A Milliams-
lite doing business uncer the Stagle of Williams Stephen Ho
Lite doing business uncer the Style of Millians Stephen Ils plaintiff and Thomas
Cross and Richard Brown -
defendants its is said manifests
error hath intervened to the injury of the aforesaid Milliam,
Stephens Llas
as we are informed by This complaint, and we being willing
that error, if any there be, should be corrected in due form and man=
ner, and that justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that
if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly without delay
send to our Justices of our Supreme Court the record and proceedings
of the plaints aforesaid; with all things touching the same; under your seal,
so that we may have the same before our fustices aforesaid at
Mount Vernon, in the County of Jefferson . on the 12 Lunday after the
2 Monday of Avecula next, that the record and
proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct
the error, what of right ought to be done according to law.
WITNESS, the Hon! July & Catron Chief
Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal
thereof, at Mount Vernon, this twenty think
day of better in the year of
our Lord one thousand eight hundred
and Sixty.

Clerk of the Supreme Court.

SUPREME COURT. First Grand Division. Williams, Stephens Hes Plaintiffsin Error, VS. Thomas Coass Defendant in Error. WRIT OF ERROR. Issue & FILED 23? Ceth. 1860, A Solvestine Clf

FIRST GRAND DIVISION, AT MOUNT VERNON.

NOVEMBER TERM, A. D., 1860.

WILLIAM STEPHENS & Co., Plaintiffs in Error,

VS

Error to Perry.

THOMAS CROSS IMPLEADED WITH RICHARD BROWN,

Defendant in Error.

The Plaintiffs in error commenced a suit before a Justice of the Peace in Perry county, on an account under \$100 against the Defendant in error and Richard Brown, his co-defendant in the suit before the Justice, which account was for goods sold by the plaintiffs to the said Cross and Brown as partners.

The defendant in error was regularly served with process in the suit so commenced before the Justice, but although both defendants were named in the summons, and the summons was regular in form, Brown was not served with process, nor did he appear or in anywise waive the service of process or confess judgment, but the Justice on the day of trial rendered judgment against the defendant in error and Brown.

The defendant appealed to the Circuit Court of Perry county, Brown not joining in the appeal, and on motion of defendant in error, the suit before the Justice was dismissed as to both the defendant in error and Brown his co-defendant before the Justice.

The plaintiffs in error have brought the case by writ of error into this Court, and seek to reverse the judgment of the Circuit Court of Perry county, because they say that the said Court erred in dismissing the suit before the Justice on the motion of the defendant in error.

R. S. NELSON, For Plaintiffs in Error.

Brief of Points and Authorities relied upon by the Plaintiffs.

- 1. The defendant in error cannot take advantage of the want of service on Brown, his co-defendant, on motion to dismiss, and no one can do so but Brown himself.
- 2. The court had jurisdiction over the person of Cross, and as to him the judgment was regular, and the Circuit erred in dismissing the suit as to both the defendants before the Justice, on motion of the defendant in error.

3. No one can take advantage of the error committed by the Justice of the Peace in rendering judgment against Brown, but Brown himself.

4 No objection was made in the Leaster, Comp to proceedings of it is too late to do so in the Correct of appeal Russell & Wall, Printers, Guardian office, Mt. Vernon.

helen for pegs

Stephens Hoo Gross-Stertuit Tilor Nov. 13.18les A. Schuster of

SUPREME COURT, First Grand Division. The People of the State of Illinois, To the Sheriff of Perry County. Because, In the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of Ferry county, before the Judge thereof between Abichem Milliams, Milliam J. Stephens & Milliam W. Williams lote doing business much the tyle of William, Stephens Hoo plaintiffs and Thomas Cross and Richard Brown defendant sit is said that man= ifest error hath intervened to the injury of said Williams, Stephens bloo are informed by Their complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment, we have caused to be brought into our Supreme Courts of the State of Illinois, at Mount Vernon, before the justices thereof; to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said Thomas Coross-Impleated with Richard Brown that be and appear before the justices of our said Supreme Court; at the next term of said Court, to be holden at Mount Vernon, in said State, on the first Tuesday after the second Monday in November next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if he shall think fit; and further to do and receive what the said Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said Thomas Cooss Le- notice together with this writ. WITNESS, the Hon! John D. Calm Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal

thereof, at Mount Vernon, this lighth days of Certater in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and Listy-One Arth Ishustin.
Clerk of the Supreme Court.

Executed the within wort by reading Inleage 15 miles First Grand Division world winds our Palermin Plate of Otherwis, at Mount Vernin, before the revect the errors in the some, in due form and man So and appear before the justices of our said Supreme Court, at the next form of said boast, to be holders at mount verson. in said Starter, on the first Tuesday after the second Stonday in Newsmited read, to hear the records and proceedings aforoxid, and the There the names of these by whom you that give the said thorard, at Moure Viennon, this contract days of the the dear of our Level one . Thousand eight hundred

FIRST GRAND DIVISION, AT MOUNT VERNON.

NOVEMBER TERM, A. D., 1860.

WILLIAM STEPHENS & Co., Plaintiffs in Error,

VS

Error to Perry.

THOMAS CROSS IMPLEADED WITH RICHARD BROWN,

Defendant in Error.

The Plaintiffs in error commenced a suit before a Justice of the Peace in Perry county, on an account under \$100 against the Defendant in error and Richard Brown, his co-defendant in the suit before the Justice, which account was for goods sold by the plaintiffs to the said Cross and Brown as partners.

The defendant in error was regularly served with process in the suit so commenced before the Justice, but although both defendants were named in the summons, and the summons was regular in form, Brown was not served with process, nor did he appear or in anywise waive the service of process or confess judgment, but the Justice on the day of trial rendered judgment against the defendant in error and Brown.

The defendant appealed to the Circuit Court of Perry county, Brown not joining in the appeal, and on motion of defendant in error, the suit before the Justice was dismissed as to both the defendant in error and Brown his co-defendant before the Justice.

The plaintiffs in error have brought the case by writ of error into this Court, and seek to reverse the judgment of the Circuit Court of Perry county, because they say that the said Court erred in dismissing the suit before the Justice on the motion of the defendant in error.

R. S. NELSON, For Plaintiffs in Error.

Brief of Points and Authorities relied upon by the Plaintiffs.

- 1. The defendant in error cannot take advantage of the want of service on Brown, his co-defendant, on motion to dismiss, and no one can do so but Brown himself.
- 2. The court had jurisdiction over the person of Cross, and as to him the judgment was regular, and the Circuit erred in dismissing the suit as to both the defendants before the Justice, on motion of the defendant in error.
- 3. No one can take advantage of the error committed by the Justice of the Peace in rendering judgment against Brown, but Brown himself.

4 There was no motion to His last or objection to the few ceeding artin party Horace in the Const Consequently Russell & Wall, Printers, Guardian office, Mt. Vernon.

Take addantage of any defeat-in the proceedings before Leester in The Court of applicate, or in Thefs lover . Duren by any Reposition of the Shelian for plays

9

11.

7

The reopie of the State of Illinois,
To the Sheriff of Jerry County.
Because, In the record and proceedings, and also in the rendi-
tion of the judgment of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of
Time county before they beday the all the
Tiny county, before the Judge thereof between Abahan
I dephens & Milliams W. Williams late doing
business mide the Stylo of Williams, Stephen Ho-
Milliams, Milliam of Stephens & Milliams It. Milliams late doing business when the Style of Milliams, Stephens Sles- plaintiffs and Thomas
Coross and Birling Brown
Cross and Richard Brown
defendants it is said that man-
ifest error hath intervened to the injury of said Williams
Stephen Ho as we
are informed by This complaint, the record and proceedings of which
and indements we have the first and proceedings of which
said judgment, we have caused to be brought into our Supreme
Courts of the State of Illinois, at Mount Vernon, before the justices
thereof, to correct the errors in the same, in due form and manner, ac=
cording to law; therefore we command you, that by good and lawful men
of your county, you give notice to the said Thomas Cores influence
with Richard Brown

that he be and appear before the justices of our said Supreme Court; at the next term of said Court, to be holden at Mount Vernon, in said State, on the first Tuesday after the second Monday in November next, to hear the records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if he shall think fit; and further to do and receive what the said Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by whom you shall give the said Thomas Coo So- hiphana Ib - notice together with this writ.

WITNESS, the Hon! John D. Caton Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and the seal thereof, at Mount Vernon, this twenty thing day of better in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and Senty both Ishuston Clerk of the Supre

Lerved SUPREME COURT. First Grand Division. SCIRE FACIAS Plaintiffs in Error,

FIRST GRAND DIVISION, AT MOUNT VERNON.

NOVEMBER TERM, A. D., 1860.

WILLIAM STEPHENS & Co., Plaintiffs in Error,

VS

Error to Perry.

THOMAS CROSS IMPLEADED WITH RICHARD BROWN,

Defendant in Error.

The Plaintiffs in error commenced a suit before a Justice of the Peace in Perry county, on an account under \$100 against the Defendant in error and Richard Brown, his co-defendant in the suit before the Justice, which account was for goods sold by the plaintiffs to the said Cross and Brown as partners.

The defendant in error was regularly served with process in the suit so commenced before the Justice, but although both defendants were named in the summons, and the summons was regular in form, Brown was not served with process, nor did he appear or in anywise waive the service of process or confess judgment, but the Justice on the day of trial rendered judgment against the defendant in error and Brown.

The defendant appealed to the Circuit Court of Perry county, Brown not joining in the appeal, and on motion of defendant in error, the suit before the Justice was dismissed as to both the defendant in error and Brown his co-defendant before the Justice.

The plaintiffs in error have brought the case by writ of error into this Court, and seek to reverse the judgment of the Circuit Court of Perry county, because they say that the said Court erred in dismissing the suit before the Justice on the motion of the defendant in error.

R. S. NELSON, For Plaintiffs in Error.

Brief of Points and Authorities relied upon by the Plaintiffs.

- 1. The defendant in error cannot take advantage of the want of service on Brown, his co-defendant, on motion to dismiss, and no one can do so but Brown himself.
- 2. The court had jurisdiction over the person of Cross, and as to him the judgment was regular, and the Circuit erred in dismissing the suit as to both the defendants before the Justice, on motion of the defendant in error.

3. No one can take advantage of the error committed by the Justice of the Peace in rendering judgment against Brown, but Brown himself.

Y No objection aux tuben to perceedings before Sustice of the is too late to o yest in the Court Russell & Wall, Printers, Guardian office, Mt. Vernon.

the steelme for pups in ern

1

1.

7

FIRST GRAND DIVISION. AT MOUNT VERNON.

NOVEMBER TERM, A. D., 1860.

WILLIAM STEPHENS & Co., Plaintiffs in Error,

Error to Perry.

THOMAS CROSS IMPLEADED WITH RICHARD BROWN, Defendant in Error.

THE Plaintiffs in error commenced a suit before a Justice of the Peace in Perry county, on an account under \$100 against the Defendant in error and Richard Brown, his co-defendant in the suit before the Justice, which account was for goods sold by the plaintiffs to the said Cross and Brown as partners.

The defendant in error was regularly served with process in the suit so commenced before the Justice, but although both defendants were named in the summons, and the summons was regular in form, Brown was not served with process, nor did he appear or in anywise waive the service of process or confess judgment, but the Justice on the day of trial rendered judgment against the defendant in error and Brown.

The defendant appealed to the Circuit Court of Perry county, Brown not joining in the appeal, and on motion of defendant in error, the suit before the Justice was dismissed as to both the defendant in error and Brown his co-defendant before the Justice.

The plaintiffs in error have brought the case by writ of error into this Court, and seek to reverse the judgment of the Circuit Court of Perry county, because they say that the said Court erred in dismissing the suit before the Justice on the motion of the defendant in error.

R. S. NELSON, For Plaintiffs in Error.

Brief of Points and Authorities relied upon by the Plaintiffs.

- 1. The defendant in error cannot take advantage of the want of service on Brown, his co-defendant, on motion to dismiss, and no one can do so but Brown himself.
- The court had jurisdiction over the person of Cross, and as to him the judgment was regular, and the Circuit erred in dismissing the suit as to both the defendants before the Justice, on motion of the defendant in error.

No one can take advantage of the error committed by the Justice of the Peace in rendering judgment against Brown, but Brown himself.

Peace in rendering judgment against Brown, but Brown himself.

Ly Mo Motion to destrois han Small Cooper Section

Ly Coope I description of the Correspondently Country

Russell & Wall, Printers, Guardian office, Mt. Vernon.

Ly the Warty Service on Brown in Application

All Meeting for eman

hose4 Milliams, Stephens 460 Thomas Grass 1850 8435 Conthell on Pape 2186. En for Costs & Gagley of Grand Opinion Such asson July 12 62. by. and of otalism 6