

No. 8708

# Supreme Court of Illinois

Jesse K. Dubois, et al,

---

vs.

Daniel L. Gold

---

71641  7

State of Illinois .S.S.

The Supreme Court of said State

First Grand Division

November Term 1858

Jesse H. Hurler, James

McLean, and Jacob

Mary Defts

against Error from Tennessee.

Henrietta G. Gode's Administrators  
of the estate of John G. Bailey  
and Elizabeth G. Bailey Defts  
And now at this day comes the  
said plaintiffs by W. Thomas their  
Attorney, and files the Record of  
the proceedings and judgment  
of the circuit court below, and  
says that in the said Record  
and judgment Manifest Errors  
have intervened to their prejudice,  
and they now set down the following.  
First: The Court Erred in permitting the  
Record of the Term of September Term  
1849 to be read as evidence in the cause,  
Second; The Court Erred in overruling  
the motions to Quash the Executions  
and sit aside the sale made by virtue  
thereof, and also in overruling the  
motions, for an order requiring  
credit to be restored on the judgment  
according to the Record of September  
1845

Third; The Court Errors in overruling  
the motion as submitted.

Wherefore they pray that because of  
these and other errors apparent  
on the Record, the said Judgment  
may be Reversed, set aside, and  
for nothing take. —

W. O. Thomas  
for ~~the~~ party in Error.

To the Clerk.

John H. DeLois, James M. McLean  
and Jacob Mearns } Error from Judgment  
against } of May Term 1858 of the  
Harrison G. Gold as Administrator } Summers Circuit Court,  
of the estate of John C. Peirley and  
and in his own right, and  
Elihu B. Ryan impeached  
with the said Gold.

File the Record, issue the Writ of Error,  
and issue summonses against  
defendants as above described to  
Sheriff of Lawrence County, returnable  
to November Term 1858 of the Court.

W. O. Thomas  
~~the~~

Plaus began and held before the Hon'd  
Circuit Court of Lawrence County Illinois  
at the the October term AD 1837

State of Illinois Lawrence County  
Circuit Court October term AD 1840

John C Reiley  
vs  
James B Dubois Daniel  
Paine Ebenezer & Richard  
James M McLean and  
Jacob May

} Judgment 28<sup>th</sup> Oct 1840  
} for \$1991.64 and  
} Cost \$15.48

To Daniel L Gold Administrator  
of the Estate of John C Reiley deceased and  
purchaser of Real Estate sold under  
Execution issued on the above Entitled  
Judgment

You are hereby notified that  
motion will be entered this morning  
upon the motion of the Court now in  
session to quash the Execution Issued  
on the 23<sup>rd</sup> May 1844 also to set aside  
the sale made under said Execution  
and also for an order directing the  
above Judgment to be credited by  
Seventeen Hundred and thirty Eight  
Dollars in favor of said Defendants as  
of 25<sup>th</sup> September 1843 as required by a  
Decree of this Court sitting in Chancery  
at the September term 1845 in a suit

John Sed and James Nubb administrators  
of John C. Reiley against the Administrators  
Daniel Paine Sumnerville 20<sup>th</sup> Oct 1857

Jesse W. Dubois

By W<sup>m</sup> Thomas atty

The grounds of the above motion are  
that the execution was issued in favor  
of John C. Reiley after he had departed  
this life without any proceedings making  
his personal representatives parties to the  
judgment and this Court sitting in  
Chancery at the September term 1845  
ordered the credit above to be entered  
which has not been entered 20<sup>th</sup> October  
1857  
W<sup>m</sup> Thomas Atty

Sumnerville Decs 20 October 1857

I this day delivered to the within  
named Daniel I held a true copy  
of the foregoing notice

G. W. Whittaker Sheriff  
of Sumner County

October 20<sup>th</sup> 1857 }  
John C. Reiley Plff } Judgment of 28<sup>th</sup>  
vs } October 1840 for \$1996<sup>00</sup>  
Jesse W. Dubois Daniel } + \$15.48 costs  
Paine Ebenezer & Ryan }  
James W. W. Law & Jacob May }

And this day the above named defend-  
ants Jesse H Dubois Ebenezer & Ryan  
James W W Lewis and Jacob Meay  
by William Thomas their Attorney comes  
and moves the Court to quash and  
Execution issued on the judgment above  
entitled on the 23<sup>rd</sup> May 1854 also to set  
aside a sale of Real Estate made by  
virtue of said Execution And also  
for an order directing the above judg-  
ment to be credited by \$1738 in favor  
of said Defendants as of 25<sup>th</sup> September  
1843 as required by a Decree of this Court  
sitting in Chancery at the September  
term 1845 in a suit of John Seed and  
James Nath Administrators of the Estate  
of John L Reiley deceased against the Adm-  
inistrators of the Estate of Daniel Prince  
deceased and thereupon filed the motion  
of said motions upon the entry of the  
foregoing motion William Hearrow appeared  
as attorney for said Seed and by  
consent this cause is continued to the  
next term

And afterwards at the May term A D 1855  
of said Court the following pleas was had  
and entered of Record to wit  
John L Reiley

20

Jesse W Dubois Ebenezer } Judgment of  
} Ryan James W McLean } September term 1840  
} Daniel Penn & Jacob May } for \$ 1991.64  
} & \$ 15.48 costs

The motion entered in this cause at the last term of the Court to quash and Execution and set aside a sale made thereon and also to direct a credit on the above entitled judgment coming in for hearing and the said Ebenezer & Ryan being present in Court and saying that he had not authorized and did not desire his name to be used as a party to this motion It is ordered on motion of Wm Thomas that the names of Ebenezer & Ryan be withdrawn from the Record as such party and the motion aforesaid being heard It is ordered that they be removed whereupon the said Dubois McLean and May presented their bill of exceptions which was signed and sealed and ordered to be made part of the Record

J Harlan *J. Harlan*

State of Illinois Lawrence County }  
} In the Circuit Court of said  
County May term 1838  
John C Keily Plff

against  
 Jesse R Dubois Daniel  
 Paine Ebenezer & Ryan  
 Jacob May & James W W Sean

Judgment of  
 } Oct 1840 for \$1991.64  
 } & \$15.48 cost

Be it remembered that on  
 the hearing of the motion submitted  
 in the above entitled cause at the last  
 term of this Court by Defendants Jesse  
 R Dubois Ebenezer & Ryan James W  
 W Sean and Jacob May by Mr Thomas  
 their Attorney the said Ryan being  
 in Court and saying that he had not  
 authorized and did not desire his  
 name to be used as a party to said  
 motion his name was on motion  
 of said Thomas withdrawn and  
 thereupon the following evidence was  
 introduced in support of said motion  
 first the Judgment recited in the caption  
 as follows to wit

John L Reiley  
 vs  
 Daniel Paine Jesse R  
 Dubois Ebenezer & Ryan  
 James W W Sean and  
 Jacob May partners &c

Assumpsit

At this day came the  
 Plaintiff by his attorney and the Defend  
 ants came in their own proper person and



and confessed themselves to owe to the Plaintiff the sum of One thousand nine hundred and ninety one Dollars and sixty four cents his damages sustained by reason of the nonperformance of the said Defendants of their promises and undertakings therefore it is further considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendants the said sum of One thousand nine hundred and ninety one dollars and sixty four cents together with his costs in this his suit in this behalf expended and thereof have execution &c. Hence the Record of said suit in chancery in which John Lee and James Sabb Administrators of the Estate of John L. Reiley deceased was complainant and the Administrators of the Estate of Daniel Paine deceased were Defendants in which a Decree was entered in September 1845 as follows to wit

In the Lannuce Circuit Court

September term 1845

To the Honorable William Wilson sole Judge of the said Court in chancery sitting Respectfully complaining sheweth unto your Honor your Creditors John Lee and James Sabb Administrators of the Estate of John L. Reiley deceased that John L. Reiley

in his life time or at about the 8<sup>th</sup> day  
of October in the year of our Lord one  
1840 Recovered a judgment in the Sumner  
Circuit Court for the sum of one  
thousand nine hundred and ninety  
one Dollars and sixty five cents against  
St. one Daniel Paine Jesse K. Dubois  
Ebenzer & Ryan James W. McLean and  
Jacob May that after said judgment  
was obtained by said. Riley against  
said Defendants the said Daniel  
Paine paid to the said John C. Riley  
in said judgment the sum of one  
thousand five hundred dollars or  
about the 17<sup>th</sup> day of December in the year  
of our Lord 1840 and took the said  
Riley receipt for the amount of said  
payment and that do the said  
Riley failed and neglected to enter a  
credit in said judgment for the amount  
of said payment and that on the  
25<sup>th</sup> day of September 1843 the said  
Daniel not having received a credit  
in said judgment for the amount of  
said payment brought suit against you  
Orators of said Receipt and Recovered  
a judgment against them as the Adm-  
nistrators of said Riley for the sum of  
one thousand seven hundred and thirty

dollars both of which said judgments  
still remains in full force in no wise  
recipited or satisfied that since the  
recovery of said last mentioned judgment  
on or about the day of 184 the said  
Daniel Perrine departed this life  
intestate and that since his death  
one Joseph Stewart and Perry Lewis  
have been by the Probate Justice of  
the Peace in and for the county of  
Linneco appointed administrators  
of the Estate of the said Daniel Perrine  
your Orators hereby consent on their part  
that said judgment of said Perrine  
against your Orator may be set and  
allowed as a credit on the judgment  
of the said Reiley in his life time against  
the said Perrine Dubois Rogers McLean and  
May as aforesaid your Orators therefore pray  
that the said Joseph Stewart and Perry  
Lewis administrators of said Daniel  
Perrine may be made Defendants to this  
their Bill of complaint and that they  
may be compelled to answer this your  
Orators Bill of complaint and that on  
a final hearing of this cause that your  
honorable court would order and decree  
that the said judgment or credit on  
the said judgment of the Reiley may

be cancelled to the extent of said  
receipt and payment as aforesaid  
and that the judgment of the said  
Paine as your Creditors may be receipted  
and cancelled and entered as satisfied  
and such other and further Relief  
in the premises as shall seeme just  
and right and to Equity belongs  
and your Oerator as in duty bound  
will ever pray  
I Heareless Sol  
for. exempt

The answer of Joseph Stewart and Perry  
Lewis Administrators of David Paine  
deceased to the Bill in Chancery of  
John Seed and James Stabb Administrators  
of John C. Reiley Deceased in the Lannuce  
Circuit Court September term 1845

The said Joseph Stewart and Perry  
Lewis Administrators of David Paine  
deceased being made Defendants  
to said Complainants Bill waving  
the service of process and all further  
notice entered their appearances to said  
Cause and for answer say that matters  
and allegations as to the judgments  
and the times of their recovery in  
said Bill mentioned are true and  
correctly set forth as said respondents

believes that it is true that the said  
sum of fifteen hundred dollars paid  
by said Daniel Paine to John L. Reiley  
at the time stated and for which  
said Reiley receipt was taken was  
intended as a credit upon the judg-  
ment of the said Reiley against  
the said Paine Dubois Ryan  
McLean and May your Orators  
Respondents therefore submitting  
to this Honorable Court whether the  
said judgment of the said Paine  
against the said Reiley can be appli-  
ed as a credit upon the judgment  
of the said Reiley against the said  
Paine Dubois Ryan et al to take  
effect as from the time of the payment  
of said money by Paine to Reiley and  
if it be the opinion of the Court that  
said judgment can be so transferred  
and the credit so applied then your  
Respondents are willing that the said  
judgment of Paine be so transferred  
and the said payment of fifteen  
hundred dollars applied as a credit  
upon the judgment of the said  
Reiley against the said Paine Dubois  
et al so as to take effect from and at  
the time of the said payment to wit

the 17<sup>th</sup> December 1840 and having  
considered fully all that is material  
for them to answer they pray the Order of  
the Court in the premises and that  
they be discharged

Joseph Stewart  
Perry Lewis

John Seed and  
James Nabb Administrators  
of John C. Reiley deceased

vs  
Joseph Stewart and Perry  
Lewis Administrators of  
Daniel Paine Deceased

Now at this day comes  
the complainants and file their Bill  
and the Defendants also moving the  
service of process and further notice  
entered their appearance and answer  
and in appearing from said Bill  
that the said John C. Reiley deceased  
in his lifetime to wit at the October  
Term of the Same Circuit Court  
1840 Recover a judgment against  
Seed & Nabb's Daniel Paine Esq & Ryan  
James W. W. Lear and Jacob May Partners  
&c for the sum of One thousand nine  
hundred and ninety one dollars and

Sixty seven cents and that said Daniel  
Perine deceased in his lifetime to wit  
on the seventeenth day of December 1840  
paid to the said John C. Reiley upon the  
said judgment the sum of One thou-  
sand five hundred dollars for which  
the said Perine got a receipt but  
which the Reiley failed to credit upon  
said judgment all of which facts  
are admitted by the answer of said  
Defendants It is therefore considered  
ordered and adjudged by the Court that  
the said sum of One thousand five hun-  
dred Dollars so paid by the said Daniel  
Perine upon the judgment aforesaid  
be taken and applied as a credit  
on the same to take effect from the  
date of such payment to wit the seventeenth  
day of December 1840 so as effectually to  
stop the interest on so much of said  
judgment from that date and it is  
further ordered and adjudged that the  
judgment recovered by the said Daniel  
Perine deceased against the administrators  
of the said John C. Reiley viz James  
Nathl Henry W. Shepherd and John Leida  
for the sum of One thousand seven  
hundred <sup>& thirty eight</sup> Dollars at the September  
term of the said Lawrence Circuit

Cont 1843. be and the same is hereby  
cancelled and taken as fully satis-  
fied the said judgment being  
Reversed aforesaid \$1300, so paid upon  
the first above named judgment  
This an Execution Issue upon the  
judgment at law on the 25<sup>th</sup> May 1854  
as follows to wit

State of Illinois Lawrence County

The People of the State of Illinois  
To the Sheriff of Lawrence County greeting  
Whereas by our writ of fieri facias No  
1373 we lately commanded you that  
of the goods and chattles lands and  
tenements of Jesse K Dubois Daniel Pomic  
Jacob May James W McLean and  
Ebenezer & Ryers late of your bailiwick  
you cause to be made the sum of  
Nineteen hundred and Ninety one  
Dollars and sixty four cents with Interest  
from the 28<sup>th</sup> day of October 1850 till  
paid which John C Reiley in our  
Circuit Court recovered against them  
for damages and also the sum of  
fifteen Dollars forty eight cents which  
to the said Plaintiff were adjudged  
for his costs And you having made  
return of said writ that you had  
taken by virtue thereof on the 6<sup>th</sup> day



of October 1847 the following Real Estate  
to wit the Mill tract at and near the  
Town of Sunnyside described as  
follows on the records of this county  
namely beginning at a post on the  
South Bank of the Embarras River  
the same being the North West corner  
near two maples thence South & West  
11 chains to a post the corner from  
which ~~two maples~~ a Sugar tree 24  
Inches diameter bears South  $80\frac{1}{2}$   
East 41 links distant and a Sugar  
tree 30 Inches in diameter bears 89  
West fifteen links distant thence  
North  $81\frac{1}{2}$  East 24 chains & 30 links  
~~distant~~ to a post from which  
a Sugar tree 20 Inches diameter  
bears South 26 West 12 links distant  
and a Sugar tree 24 inches diameter  
bears North  $12\frac{1}{2}$  West 83 links distant  
thence North 6 chains & 50 links to  
a post on the bank of the River from  
a maple 12 inches diameter bears  
North  $47\frac{1}{2}$  West 33 links distant  
and a maple 15 inches diameter  
bears north 19 West 25 links distant  
thence down the River with the  
meanderings thereof to the line of  
the original Shoals tract of land

thence North West with the original  
Shoals line crossing the river 28  
chains and 47 links from the North  
Bank of the River to a corner of the  
Original Shoal tract thence S W with  
said line 21 chains and forty links  
to another corner of the Original tract  
thence N W with said line 16 chains  
to another corner thence South West  
with said line 13 chains to a corner  
on the Bank of the River and around  
the same with the meanderings thereof  
to the place of beginning It being all  
the original Shoals tract of land on  
the North side of the River and  
about 18 acres on the South side contain-  
ing about 75 acres except the ground  
main fence whereon Mrs Susan  
Reiley now resides Also another  
tract of land bounded as follows  
on the North by the tract last above  
described and on the West by main  
Street with 50 feet on said Street and  
running back East as far as said lot  
or tract last above described  
And also that other lot or parcel  
of Land containing 3 acres lying  
immediately East of that part of  
the Mill tract that lies on the South

side of the said River bounded on the South and East by the lands of Ezra Baker and on the North and West by the mill tract the same being known as the distillery tract all of which Real Estate so leased upon Remains unsold but and Excepting the following, that part of the mill tract of Land part of the Original Shoals tract which lies within the following boundaries that is to say beginning at a post on the South Bank of the River Embarras found which a maple 13 Inches in diameter North  $47\frac{1}{2}$  West 23 links distant thence South six chains & fifty links to a post from which a sugar tree 30 Inches diameter bears North  $12\frac{1}{2}$  West 83 links distant thence West by South on the line of the Original Shoals tract One hundred & ten feet thence North to the line of the Mill tract on the River thence East with the line and the River to the place of beginning which by virtue of Venetian's writ A.D. 1512 and returns thereon you sold to Henry Mc Shepherd on the 30<sup>th</sup> day of January A.D. 1849 for the sum of One hundred Dollars, We therefore comen

and you by this alia Venitiois Expenses  
wit to expose to sale the ballance of  
the Real Estate, levied on by you  
as aforesaid and described in the  
wit (excepting that tract sold to  
Henry W. Shepherd and above descri-  
bed) for the best price you can obtain  
for the same and have those sums  
of money ready at the clerks office  
in Lanesville within ninety days  
from the date hereof to render to  
the said John C. Reiley or his represen-  
tatives and have them there this wit  
with an endorsement thereon as to  
the manner in which you have  
executed the same

Recd  
Recd

Witness the Clerk of our  
said Circuit Court at  
Lanesville this May  
A.D. 1854

John C. Reiley clk

upon which Venitiois Expenses appears  
the following return to wit  
In obedience to the commands of the  
within writ I have offered to public  
sale at the door of the Court house  
in Lanesville all of the within described  
lands on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of June 1854 and

at said Sub Daniel S. Gold became  
the purchaser as follows to wit the price  
first ascribed for the sum of Four  
Hundred Dollars the second for Five  
Dollars the third for five Dollars and  
the fourth for ten Dollars

Joel Johnson Sheriff S.C.

Fourth It was admitted that John  
Leas and James Subb ceased to be  
the Administrators of the Estate  
of John C. Riley after 1849 and  
that Daniel S. Gold was appointed  
and now is Administrator of said  
Estate and that neither of the Letters  
of Administration on the Estate  
of Riley have ever been recorded in  
this Court the said Gold by his  
attorney contesting the right of said  
May Dubois & McLean to the credit  
as claimed in the notice and motion  
introduced as evidence though the  
same was objected to the Record of  
a suit in Chancery in favor of the  
Administrators of Paine against the  
Administrators of Riley in which a  
Decree was entered in October 1849  
as follows to wit

State of Illinois Lawrence County

& Circuit Court September term AD 1849  
To the Hon<sup>d</sup> Justice Warlow sole Judge  
of the Lawrence Circuit Court in  
Chancery sitting Removably complaining  
Your Orators Joseph Stewart and  
Perry Lewis Administrators of the Estate  
of Daniel Paine deceased under order  
of the court first obtained would respectfully  
represent unto your Honor that at  
the September Term of the Lawrence  
Circuit Court AD 1845 James Stubb and  
John Seed administrators of the Estate of John  
C. Reiley deceased exhibited in said  
Court in the Chancery side thereof  
their Bill of complaint against your  
Orators in substance as follows, To the  
Hon<sup>d</sup> William Wilson sole Judge of said  
Court in Chancery sitting Removably  
complaining sheweth unto your Honor  
your Orators John Seed and James  
Stubb administrators of the Estate of John  
C. Reiley deceased that John C. Reiley in  
his lifetime on or about the 8<sup>th</sup> day of  
October AD 1840 recover a judgment in  
the Lawrence Circuit Court for the sum  
of \$1991<sup>04</sup> Dollars on Daniel Paine  
Jesse R. Dubois Ebenezer J. Pajins and  
James M. M. Lewis and Jacob May that  
after said judgment was obtained

by said Riley vs said Defendants the  
said Daniel Paine paid to the said  
Riley on said Judgment the sum  
of \$1500 on or about the 17<sup>th</sup> day of December  
1840 and took the said Riley receipt  
thereof but that said Riley failed and  
neglected to enter a credit on said  
Judgment for the amount of said  
payment and that on the 25<sup>th</sup> of September  
1843 the said Paine not having received  
a credit on said Judgment for the  
amount of said payment brought suit  
against your Orators on said receipt and  
received a Judgment against them as  
the Administrators of said Riley for  
the sum of \$138 both of which said  
Judgments still remain in full force  
and in manner recused or satisfied, that  
since the recovery of said last Judgment  
on or about the day of the said  
Daniel Paine departed this life intestate  
and that since his death one Joseph  
Stewart and Perry Lewis have been  
by the Probate Court of said County of Sum-  
ner aforesaid appointed the administrators  
of the Estate of the said Daniel Paine  
your Orators hereby consent on their  
part that the said Judgment of  
the said Paine against your Orators

Set and allowed as a credit on the judgment of the said Riley in his lifetime against the said Paine Oubris Ryan McLean and May aforesaid your Orators therefore pray that the said Joseph Stewart and Perry Lewis administrators of the said Daniel Paine may be made defendants to this their Bill of complaint and that they may be compelled to answer this your Orators Bill of complaint and that on a final hearing hereof that your Honorable Court will order and decree that the said payment be credited on the said judgment and that judgment of the said Riley may be canceled to the extent of said receipt and payment as aforesaid and that the judgment of the said Paine against your Orators may be vacated and cancelled and entered satisfied and such other and further Relief in the premises as shall seem just right and to equity belongs and your as in duty bound will ever pray &c

To which said Bill your Orators submitted in substance the following answer The said Joseph Stewart and Perry Lewis administrators of the Estate of Daniel Paine being made Defendants



to said complainants bill waving the  
service of process enter their appearance  
to said cause and for answer say  
that the matters and allegations as to  
the judgments and times of their recovery  
in said Bill mentioned are true and  
correctly set forth and said Respondent  
believes that it is true the said sum  
of fifteen hundred dollars paid by the  
said Daniel Paine to John C. Reiley  
at the time stated and for which  
said Reiley Receipt was taken was  
intended as a credit upon said judg-  
ment of the said Reiley against Paine  
Orbis McLean Ryan and May, Your  
Respondent therefore submitting to this  
Hon<sup>ble</sup> Court whether the said judgment  
of the said Paine or the said Reiley  
can be applied as a credit upon the  
judgment of the said Reiley against  
the said Paine Orbis Ryan McLean  
and May to date as from the time of  
the said payment of the money by  
Paine to Reiley and if it be the opinion  
of the Court that said judgment can  
be so transferred and the credit so  
applied then your respondents are willing  
that the said judgment of Paine be  
so transferred and the said judgment

of fifteen hundred dollars applied as  
a credit upon the judgment of the  
Said Reiley against Paine et al so  
as to take effect from and at the time  
of said payment to wit 17<sup>th</sup> December 1840  
and having answered all that is mater-  
ial for them to answer they pray the  
order of the Court in the premises and  
that they be discharged &c

Your Orators represent that  
at the said term of the Circuit Court  
upon the Bill and answer as above  
set forth a certain order or decree was  
then had and made agreeable to the  
prayer of said Bill (a copy of which  
from the Records of said Court is herewith  
filed marked A and prayed to be  
taken as a part of this Bill) Your  
Orators present that since the proceedings  
afore said had been made  
they had been advised that the said  
sum of fifteen hundred dollars repres-  
ented as having been paid by the said  
Paine to the said Reiley and upon which  
the judgment of the said Pains came  
against Reileys administrators was fur-  
ned was not so paid as set forth but  
that the said Reiley was pushing the  
said Paine as one of the parties of the judg-

of the said Reiley against Peine Dubois  
et al to make payment of the same  
and the said Peine being unable to make  
said payment he deposited with the  
said Reiley certain funds being Illinois  
State Script as your Orators are inform-  
ed and believes as collateral security  
upon said judgment against Peine  
Dubois et al and the same was not  
intended by the said Peine or received  
by said Reiley as payment but only  
as security as aforesaid Your Orator  
further presents that it was upon the  
Receipt given by the said Reiley for  
the funds deposited that your Orators  
instituted proceedings and Recovered  
a judgment at Law against the said  
Reiley Administrators Your Orators  
further presents that since the proce-  
dings herein had as set forth one  
Jesse R Dubois by proceedings instituted  
in the District Court of the United  
States in and for the District of Illinois  
recovered the premises from the said  
Peine et al for the purchase money  
of which the said Reiley Recovered  
the judgment before mentioned against  
the said Peine Dubois, et al and  
that such recovery wholly and entirely

defeats the cause of action of the said  
Judgment upon which the judgment  
of your Orators against the said admin-  
istrators was ordered to be credited  
Your Orators represents that the said  
fifteen hundred dollars so credited was  
the individual funds of the said Paine  
in which the said Dubois et al had  
no sort of interest and the same was  
deposited as aforesaid to prevent your  
Orators initiative from being harrassed by the  
said ~~judgment~~ Reiley for the payment  
of the judgment as aforesaid and  
the said Reiley held the said as deposited  
of the same and rendered no account  
therefor before his death that at the  
time of his death the said judgment  
against the said Paine Dubois et al  
remained in full force and unatisfied  
in credit having ever been given  
thereon shewing that the said Reiley  
never regarded the said fifteen hun-  
dred dollars as a payment Your  
Orators represent that after the death  
of said Reiley being unable to procure  
an adjustment of the said sum  
they entered suit at law against  
the Administrators of the said  
Reiley and recovered the judgment before

mentioned therein Your Orators further  
Represent that the judgment of Reiley  
against Paine Dubois et al was due  
and owing by all of said Company  
on the condition <sup>of the purchase</sup> aforesaid from Reiley  
to said Company, Your Orators further  
presents that the estate of the said  
Paine has proved largely insolvent and  
that to apply the credit as aforesaid  
of the judgment of your Orators against  
said Reiley's administrators upon the  
judgment of Reiley against Paine Dubois  
et al will work great injustice to the  
individual creditors of said Paine and  
that the same is a fraud upon them  
and was consented to by your Orators  
only under opinion of the Court upon  
the matter stated in said Bill filed again  
st your Orators and at the time believed  
to be true, Your Orators charge that the  
said Paine has never received any  
benefit from said Reiley and that the  
judgment against him et al in said  
Court is against equity and good  
conscience and ought not to be  
collected and more especially should  
not be collected of the individual  
firms of said Paine and that if  
the said Order or decree made as aforesaid

be suffered to remain and continue in  
full force your Orators will be greatly  
injured and entirely without Redress  
and insist that said original Bill  
filed for the appropriation of the frag-  
ment ought to have been dismissed and  
would have so disposed of by the Court  
but for the ignorance of your Orators in  
the premises and the want of full fair  
and proper investigations of the matters  
therein set forth wherefore inasmuch  
as your Orators are without remedy at  
Law and can only be relieved in a  
Court of Equity where matters of this kind  
are proper to be heard they would pray  
your Honor will take cognizance of  
their cause and when said cause of the  
Administrators of Reiley aforesaid vs your  
Orators and that the said order and  
decrees made therein be reconsidered and  
reversed and that the original Bill of the  
Administrators be dismissed and that  
your Orators be permitted to proceed to  
collect their said judgment of fifteen  
hundred dollars in same manner as  
if no such order for placing the same as  
a credit upon the judgment of Reiley  
against Paine et al was not made.  
So the end therefore that the prayer of

this Bill may be granted your Orators  
pray the said John Seed and James  
Nabb administrators of said Reiley  
be made defendants hereto and may  
answer the premises and that the said  
suit and proceeding had originally herein  
may stand void against the said  
parties or that they may shew good  
cause to the contrary that the said  
cause may be heard upon such  
supplemental matter as aforesaid at  
the same time that it is reheard in  
said Original Bill and that the said  
decree may be reversed and the said  
original Bill be dismissed out of this  
Honorable Court - and that your Orators  
may have such relief other and  
further in the premises as under  
the circumstances hereinbefore particu-  
larly mentioned to your Honor shall seem  
meet and the nature of the cause may  
require. May it please your Court  
to grant the peoples most gracious  
writ of Subpoena in Chancery to the  
said John Seed & James Nabb directed  
& commanding to Returnable to us  
in duey bound they will ever pray to  
Honor } Joseph Stewart &  
Sol. } Perry Lewis

State of Illinois Lawrence County ss  
The People of the State of Illinois  
to the Sheriff of Lawrence County Greeting  
We command you to summon  
John Sed and James Natt administrators  
of all and singular goods and chattles  
rights credits monies and effects that  
were of John C. Reiley deceased if they can  
be found in your County to be and app-  
ear before the Judge of our Circuit Court  
on the first day of the next term thereof  
to be holden at the Court house in Law-  
renceville on the nineteenth day of September  
next to answer Joseph Stewart and Perry  
Lewis Administrators of all and singular  
the rights credits monies and effects that  
were of Daniel Paine deceased the charges  
and allegations contained in their  
Bill of Complaint in our said Court  
exhibited And have you then and there  
this writ with return thereon as to the  
manner in which you execute the  
same

Witness Frederick A Thomas  
clerk of our said Court at  
Lawrenceville this 18<sup>th</sup> day  
of August AD 1849

F A Thomas cl<sup>k</sup>

Before which summons there appears  
the following return to wit

Recd  
Clerk



Served the within writ on James Sabb  
on the 21<sup>st</sup> day of August A.D. 1849 by  
delivering a copy of the same to him  
and served the same on John Seed  
on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of August 1849 by delivering  
a copy of the same to him

J Young S. G. C.

And afterwards at the September term  
A.D. 1849 of said Court the following decree  
was had and entered of Record to wit  
Joseph Stewart & Perry Lewis

Administrators of Daniel Paine dec<sup>d</sup>

vs } In Chancery  
John Seed & James Sabb

Administrators of John C. Reily dec<sup>d</sup>

Now at this day comes  
the parties by their solicitors when the  
cause was submitted to the court - upon  
Bill & Exhibits whereupon it was ordered  
and decreed by the court here that  
the matters and things in complain-  
ants bill be taken for confessed and  
that the said decree heretofore rendered  
in this court in the cause wherein  
James Sabb and John Seed administrators  
of John C. Reily deceased were complain-  
ants and the said Joseph Stewart

and Perry Lewis Administrators of the  
Estate of Daniel Payne deceased were  
Defendants at the September term  
1845 of this Court be reconsidered  
reversed and for naught esteemed  
and that the said complainants  
defendants in said suit be allowed  
to withdraw their answer in the  
same since that the original Bill  
filed in the said cause by James Stubb  
and John Said Administrator of John  
C. Reiley deceased as aforesaid be dismissed  
and the entire proceedings in  
said cause be considered as void and  
without effect. It is further ordered  
and decreed that the complainants  
in this cause be permitted to enforce  
this judgment heretofore recovered by  
their interest against Administrators  
of John C. Reiley deceased as fully and  
summarily as if no proceeding had  
heretofore been had in said suit  
instituted as aforesaid at the September  
Term 1845. It is further ordered  
and decreed that the complainants  
recover of the Defendants their cost  
and charges about their suit in this  
behalf expended &c,

upon which evidence introduced by

the parties as aforesaid, and which was  
all the evidence in the cause the  
Court overruled the motion aforesaid  
to which opinion and decision of  
the Court overruling said motion the  
said Dubois May and McLean except  
and pray that this their Bill of  
exceptions may be signed & sealed  
& which is accordingly done

J. Hearlan *Secy*

State of Illinois 3<sup>ds</sup>  
Lawrence County 3<sup>ds</sup>

I Silas J Stiles clerk  
of the Circuit Court in and for the  
County of Lawrence and State of  
Illinois do hereby certify that the  
foregoing 32 pages contains a full  
and complete copy of the Return  
and proceeding in the foregoing  
action

In testimony whereof  
I have hereunto set  
my name and affixed  
the seal of said Court  
at Lawrenceville this  
16<sup>th</sup> day of June A.D. 1858  
S. J. Stiles clk

J. C. Reiley  
per motion  
Jesse R. Dubois  
et al

copy



fee \$7.50  
Postage 27  
\$7.77

Filed October 12, 1858.  
N. Johnston CLK  
Paid \$5.00

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
SUPREME COURT,

SS. *1<sup>st</sup> Grand Division* WRIT OF ERROR.  
THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS;

To the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the county of *Lawrence* GREETING,

BECAUSE in the record and proceedings, as also in the rendition of the judgment of a plea which was in the  
Circuit Court of *Lawrence* county, before the Judge thereof, between

*Jesse H. Dabois, James M. McLean*  
*and Jacob May* - - - - -

plaintiffs, and *Daniel S. Gold - Administrator of*  
*the estate of John C. Riley and Ebenezer G*  
*Ryan* - - - - -

defendants it is said manifest error hath intervened, to the injury of the aforesaid *Jesse H. Dabois,*  
*James M. McLean and Jacob May*

as we are informed by *this*

complaint, and we being willing that error, should be corrected if any there be, in due form and manner, and that  
justice be done to the parties aforesaid, command you that if judgment thereof be given, you distinctly and openly  
without delay, send to our Justices of the Supreme Court, the record and proceedings of the plea, aforesaid,  
with all things touching the same, under your seal, so that we may have the same before our Justices aforesaid at

Mount Vernon, in the county of jefferson, on the *1<sup>st</sup> Tuesday after the 2<sup>d</sup> Monday*  
*of November* next, that the record and proceedings, being inspected, we may cause to be done therein, to correct the  
error, what of right ought to be done according to law:

*John D. Coster*

Witness, the Hon. ~~WALTER B. STILES~~ Chief Justice  
of our said court, and the seal thereof, at Mount Vernon this

*twelfth* day of *October*

in the year of Our Lord One Thousand Eight Hundred  
and Fifty- *eight*

*Noah Johnston*  
Clerk Supreme Court,



Isaac H. Debois,  
 James M. McCann &  
 Jacob May  
 Depts in error

as { with of error  
 Daniel L. Golder  
 Administrator of the  
 estate of John C. Riley &  
 Ebenezer J. Rippen  
 Depts in error

Issued office Oct, 12, 1858,  
N. Johnston Ck

Jacksonville 5 October 1858

Dear Johnstone Esq.

P.S. - I herewith Enclose a Record to  
be filed with the J.S., please issue, without  
delay, and Enclose Same to Sheriff  
of Lawrence County by mail.

I shall be at Jacksonville the 3<sup>rd</sup>  
Monday of this month, and will  
obtain the Same. - I will have  
the printing done too.

Respectfully

Yours obt. Servt.

W. H. Thomas



Letter.

W. Thomas

To

N. Johnson

5 Oct 158

Filed October 12, 1858.

A. Johnston Clerk

STATE OF ILLINOIS,  
SUPREME COURT.

ss. *1<sup>st</sup> Grand Division*

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

To the Sheriff of *Lawrence* County,

Because in the record and proceedings, and also in the rendition of the judgment, of a plea which was in the Circuit Court of *Lawrence*

County, before the judge thereof, between *Jesse H. Dubois, James*

*M. McLean and Jacob May, Petiffs,*

*and Daniel L. Gold. Administrator of*

*the estate of John C. Riley and Ebenezzer*

*J. Ryan - - - - -*

defendant, it is said that manifest error hath intervened to the injury of said *Jesse H. Dubois,*

*James M. McLean and Jacob May*

as we are informed by *their* complaint, the record and proceedings of which said judgment, we have caused to

be brought into our Supreme Court of the State of Illinois, at Mt. Vernon, before the Justices thereof, to correct

the errors in the same, in due form and manner, according to law; therefore we command you, that by good and

lawful men of your county, you give notice to the said *Daniel L. Gold. Adm.*

*of the estate of the said John C. Riley*

*and Ebenezzer J. Ryan - - - - -*

that *they* be and appear before the Justices of our said Supreme Court, on the first day of the next term of

said Court, to be holden at Mount Vernon, in said State, on the *first Tuesday after the* Second Monday in November next, to hear the

records and proceedings aforesaid, and the errors assigned, if *they* shall think fit; and further

to do and receive what the said Court shall order in this behalf; and have you then there the names of those by

whom you shall give the said *Daniel L. Gold & E. J. Ryan*

notice, together with this writ.

*John D. Catton*

Witness, the Hon. ~~Stephen M. Taylor~~, Chief Justice of our said

Court, and the seal thereof, at Mount Vernon, this *twentieth*

day *of October* in the year of our Lord,

one thousand eight hundred and fifty-*eight*

*Noah Johnston*

Clerk of Supreme Court.

I have annexed this writ of hearing  
the same to the within named  
Daniel L. Gold and E. J. Ryan  
on this 18th day of Oct 1858

G. M. Whitaker, S.C.

Jesse H. Dubois,  
James M. McLean  
and Jacob May  
Plffs in error  
vs } Sci for

Daniel L. Gold  
Administrator of the  
estate of John C. Riley &  
Ebenezer G. Ryan  
Dfts in error  
Jus for Services  
paid by W. Thomas

G. M. Whitaker  
S.C.



State of Illinois, in Supreme Court.  
**FIRST GRAND DIVISION.**

---

JESSE K. DUBOIS, JAMES L. McLEAN, AND JACOB MAY.

AGAINST

DANIEL L. GOLD, ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF JOHN C. REILEY, DECEASED, AND EBENEZER Z. RYAN.

ERROR FROM LAWRENCE.

The facts of this case as presented by the Record are as follows:

At the October term, 1840, Lawrence Circuit Court, John C. Reiley obtained a judgment against Jesse K. Dubois, Daniel Paine, Ebenezer Z. Ryan, James M. McClean, and Jacob May, for \$1,991, 64 cts., and shortly thereafter departed this life.

At the September term, 1843, of said court the defendant, Daniel Paine, recovered a judgment against John Seeds and James Nabb, administrators of the estate of John C. Reiley, for the sum of \$1730 and costs, and shortly thereafter died intestate.

At the September term, 1845, of said Court, the said John Seeds and James Nabb, as such Administrators, filed their bill in Chancery against Joseph Stewart and Perry Lewis, Administrators of the estate of said Daniel Paine, for the purpose of compelling a set off of the amount of the judgment obtained against them against that amount of the judgment in favor of said Reiley. They set out the existence of the judgment in favor of John C. Reiley, and state "that after said judgment was obtained by said Reiley against said defendants, the said Daniel Paine paid to the said John C. Reiley on said judgment, the sum of \$1500 on or about the 17th of December, 1840, and took the said Reiley's receipt for the amount of said payment; and that he the said Reiley, failed and neglected to enter a credit on said judgment for the amount of said payment, and that on the 25th of September, 1843, the said Daniel Paine, not having received a credit on said judgment for the amount of said payment, brought suit against them on said receipt and recovered judgment against them as the administrators of said Reiley, for the sum of \$1730, both of which said judgments still remain in full force, in nowise receipted or satisfied; that since the recovery of the last mentioned judgment, the said Daniel Paine departed this life and Joseph Stewart and Perry Lewis have been appointed Administrators, &c.

They then state their "willingness, that the judgment in favor of Paine may be set off against that amount of the judgment in favor of Reiley, and pray the Court to decree the same."

Stewart and Lewis Answer the Bill admitting the truth of the statements thereof, and submitting to the court the question as to allowing the offset between the two estates.

Upon the hearing of the cause, the court decreed that the offset should be allowed, and that the judgment should be credited by the payment of \$1500, as of the 17th December, 1840.

To the September term, 1849, of the Circuit Court, the said Joseph Stewart and Perry Lewis as Administrators of Daniel Paine, filed their bill in chancery against said John Seed and James Nabb administrators of John C. Reiley, setting out the facts; first of the existence of the two judgments at law, and second of the decree, requiring the one to be credited on the other and then state in substance, first, that since the said decree, they have been advised that that the said sum of \$1500 was not paid on said judgment in favor of said Reiley, but the said Reiley was pushing the said Paine as one of the parties to the judgment, to make payment of the same, who being unable to make such payment, deposited with said Reiley certain funds, being Illinois State Scrip, as collateral security upon said judgment, and the same was not intended by the said Paine nor received by the said Reiley as payment, but only as security as aforesaid.

Second; that since the proceedings, as before set forth, one Jessee K. Dubois by a proceeding in the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Illinois, recovered the property from Paine and others, for the purchase money of which, the said Reiley recovered the judgment against Dubois, Paine, &c., and that such recovery solely and entirely defeats the cause of action upon which the judgment in favor of said Reiley was obtained.

Third; that the \$1500 so ordered to be credited was the individual funds of said Paine, in which the other parties to the judgment had no interest, and that the judgment was due and owing by all the parties against whom it was rendered.

Fourth; That the estate of said Paine has proved largely insolvent, and that to allow the credit as required by said decree will work great injustice to the individual creditors of said Paine; that the same is a fraud upon said creditors, and was consented to by them only under the opinion of the court, upon the matters stated in the bill, which at the time was believed to be true.

Fifth; That said Paine has never received any benefit from said Reiley, and that the judgment is against equity and good conscience, and ought not to be collected, and more especially should not be collected of the individual funds of said Paine.

Sixth; That the bill filed by the administrators of Reiley, for the purpose of obtaining the set off, ought to have been dismissed, and would have been so disposed of but for the ignorance of your orators in the premises, and the want of full, fair and proper investigation of the matter.

Whereupon they pray, that the decree requiring the offset may be set aside and for nothing held, and that they be permitted to collect the judgment against the estate of Reiley &c.

Upon the filing of this bill a summons was issued against, and served upon, the administrators of Reiley, who failed to appear and answer, and at the first term of the court, the bill was taken as confessed, and a decree was passed according to the prayer thereof.

On the 22d May, 1854, an execution was issued on the judgment in favor of John C. Reiley, of the October term, 1840, on which, on the 10th of June, 1854, four parcels of real estate were sold and the said Daniel L. Gold became the purchaser.

At the October Term, 1857, of the court, a motion was submitted in behalf of Jesse K. Dubois, Ebenezer Z. Ryan, James M. McLean, and Jacob May, to quash the said execution issued 22d May, 1854, and set aside the sale made by virtue thereof, upon the ground, that the execution was issued in favor of John C. Reiley after he had departed this life, without any proceedings making his personal representatives parties to the judgment.

And also for an order directing the judgment to be credited by \$1730 as of 25th September, 1843, as required by the decree of September, 1845.

Upon the entering of the motion the said Daniel L. Gold appeared to the same and the matter was continued to the next term.

At the May term, 1858, the motion was heard, when it was admitted that John Seeds and James Nabb ceased to be the administrators of the estate of John C. Reily, after 1849, and that Daniel L. Gold was appointed and was at the time of said hearing the administrator of said estate, also that neither the letters of administration on the estate of said Reiley, had ever been recorded in said court.

Upon this hearing the said Gold offered as evidence the record of the decree of September 1849, which was objected to by the opposite party, but the objection was overruled, to which exception was taken.

The said E. Z. Ryan appeared in person in court at said hearing and represented that he had not authorized, and did not wish his name to be used as a party to said proceeding, and his name was therefore stricken out as such party.

The court upon consideration overruled the motions submitted as aforesaid, to which the said Dubois, McLean and May excepted.

The errors assigned are:

First; The court erred in permitting the record of the decree of September, 1849, to be used as evidence in the case;

Second; The court erred in overruling the motion to quash the execution and set aside the sales made by virtue thereof, and also in overruling the motion for an order requiring credit to be entered on the judgment according to the decree of September, 1845.

Third; The court erred in overruling the motions as submitted.

WILLIAM THOMAS, for Plffs.

Faint, mostly illegible text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.

No 32.

Walter, McLean &

May.

4 2 Abstract

3

Golden

8708

W. Thomas

for Myself

1854

State of Illinois, in Supreme Court.  
**FIRST GRAND DIVISION.**

---

JESSE K. DUBOIS, JAMES L. MCLEAN, AND JACOB MAY.

AGAINST

DANIEL L. GOLD, ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF JOHN C. REILEY, DECEASED, AND EBENEZER Z. RYAN,  
ERROR FROM LAWRENCE.

The facts of this case as presented by the Record are as follows:

At the October term, 1840, Lawrence Circuit Court, John C. Reiley obtained a judgment against Jesse K. Dubois, Daniel Paine, Ebenezer Z. Ryan, James M. McClean, and Jacob May, for \$1,991, 64 cts., and shortly thereafter departed this life.

At the September term, 1843, of said court the defendant, Daniel Paine, recovered a judgment against John Seeds and James Nabb, administrators of the estate of John C. Reiley, for the sum of \$1730 and costs, and shortly thereafter died intestate.

At the September term, 1845, of said Court, the said John Seeds and James Nabb, as such Administrators, filed their bill in Chancery against Joseph Stewart and Perry Lewis, Administrators of the estate of said Daniel Paine, for the purpose of compelling a set off of the amount of the judgment obtained against them against that amount of the judgment in favor of said Reiley. They set out the existence of the judgment in favor of John C. Reiley, and state "that after said judgment was obtained by said Reiley against said defendants, the said Daniel Paine paid to the said John C. Reiley on said judgment, the sum of \$1500 on or about the 17th of December, 1840, and took the said Reiley's receipt for the amount of said payment; and that he the said Reiley, failed and neglected to enter a credit on said judgment for the amount of said payment, and that on the 25th of September, 1843, the said Daniel Paine, not having received a credit on said judgment for the amount of said payment, brought suit against them on said receipt and recovered judgment against them as the administrators of said Reiley, for the sum of \$1730, both of which said judgments still remain in full force, in nowise receipted or satisfied; that since the recovery of the last mentioned judgment, the said Daniel Paine departed this life and Joseph Stewart and Perry Lewis have been appointed Administrators, &c.

They then state their "willingness, that the judgment in favor of Paine may be set off against that amount of the judgment in favor of Reiley, and pray the Court to decree the same."

Stewart and Lewis Answer the Bill admitting the truth of the statements thereof, and submitting to the court the question as to allowing the offset between the two estates.

Upon the hearing of the cause, the court decreed that the offset should be allowed, and that the judgment should be credited by the payment of \$1500, as of the 17th December, 1840.

To the September term, 1849, of the Circuit Court, the said Joseph Stewart and Perry Lewis as Administrators of Daniel Paine, filed their bill in chancery against said John Seed and James Nabb administrators of John C. Reiley, setting out the facts; first of the existence of the two judgments at law, and second of the decree, requiring the one to be credited on the other and then state in substance, first, that since the said decree, they have been advised that that the said sum of \$1500 was not paid on said judgment in favor of said Reiley, but the said Reiley was pushing the said Paine as one of the parties to the judgment, to make payment of the same, who being unable to make such payment, deposited with said Reiley certain funds, being Illinois State Scrip, as collateral security upon said judgment, and the same was not intended by the said Paine nor received by the said Reiley as payment, but only as security as aforesaid.

Second; that since the proceedings, as before set forth, one Jesse K. Dubois by a proceeding in the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Illinois, recovered the property from Paine and others, for the purchase money of which, the said Reiley recovered the judgment against Dubois, Paine, &c., and that such recovery solely and entirely defeats the cause of action upon which the judgment in favor of said Reiley was obtained.

Third; that the \$1500 so ordered to be credited was the individual funds of said Paine, in which the other parties to the judgment had no interest, and that the judgment was due and owing by all the parties against whom it was rendered.

Fourth; That the estate of said Paine has proved largely insolvent, and that to allow the credit as required by said decree will work great injustice to the individual creditors of said Paine; that the same is a fraud upon said creditors, and was consented to by them only under the opinion of the court, upon the matters stated in the bill, which at the time was believed to be true.

Fifth; That said Paine has never received any benefit from said Reiley, and that the judgment is against equity and good conscience, and ought not to be collected, and more especially should not be collected of the individual funds of said Paine.

Sixth; That the bill filed by the administrators of Reiley, for the purpose of obtaining the set off, ought to have been dismissed, and would have been so disposed of but for the ignorance of your orators in the premises, and the want of full, fair and proper investigation of the matter.

Whereupon they pray, that the decree requiring the offset may be set aside and for nothing held, and that they be permitted to collect the judgment against the estate of Reiley &c.

Upon the filing of this bill a summons was issued against, and served upon, the administrators of Reiley, who failed to appear and answer, and at the first term of the court, the bill was taken as confessed, and a decree was passed according to the prayer thereof.

On the 22d May, 1854, an execution was issued on the judgment in favor of John C. Reiley, of the October term, 1840, on which, on the 10th of June, 1854, four parcels of real estate were sold and the said Daniel L. Gold became the purchaser.

At the October Term, 1857, of the court, a motion was submitted in behalf of Jesse K. Dubois, Ebenezer Z. Ryan, James M. McLean, and Jacob May, to quash the said execution issued 22d May, 1854, and set aside the sale made by virtue thereof, upon the ground, that the execution was issued in favor of John C. Reiley after he had departed this life, without any proceedings making his personal representatives parties to the judgment.

And also for an order directing the judgment to be credited by \$1730 as of 25th September, 1843, as required by the decree of September, 1845.

Upon the entering of the motion the said Daniel L. Gold appeared to the same and the matter was continued to the next term.

At the May term, 1858, the motion was heard, when it was admitted that John Seeds and James Nabb ceased to be the administrators of the estate of John C. Reily, after 1849, and that Daniel L. Gold was appointed and was at the time of said hearing the administrator of said estate, also that neither the letters of administration on the estate of said Reiley, had ever been recorded in said court.

Upon this hearing the said Gold offered as evidence the record of the decree of September 1849, which was objected to by the opposite party, but the objection was overruled, to which exception was taken.

The said E. Z. Ryan appeared in person in court at said hearing and represented that he had not authorized, and did not wish his name to be used as a party to said proceeding, and his name was therefore stricken out as such party.

The court upon consideration overruled the motions submitted as aforesaid, to which the said Dubois, McLean and May excepted.

The errors assigned are:

First; The court erred in permitting the record of the decree of September, 1849, to be used as evidence in the case;

Second; The court erred in overruling the motion to quash the execution and set aside the sales made by virtue thereof, and also in overruling the motion for an order requiring credit to be entered on the judgment according to the decree of September, 1845.

Third; The court erred in overruling the motions as submitted.

WILLIAM THOMAS, for Pliffs.



No 32

Nov. A.D. 1858

Jesse K. Dubois and  
others - Pp in em

24

D. L. Gold A.D. 1862

E. J. Ryan

Sept in em

Em to Lawrence

Revenue for  
Michigan - and  
Revenue

8708